



UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT  
TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of report (Date of earliest event reported) January 11, 2007

**MGIC Investment Corporation**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Wisconsin

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

1-10816

(Commission File Number)

39-1486475

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

MGIC Plaza, 250 East Kilbourn Avenue, Milwaukee, WI

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

53202

(Zip Code)

(414) 347-6480

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

(Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

[Item 2.02. Results of Operations and Financial Condition](#)

[Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits](#)

[SIGNATURES](#)

[INDEX TO EXHIBITS](#)

[Press Release](#)

---

[Table of Contents](#)

**Item 2.02. Results of Operations and Financial Condition**

The Company issued a press release on January 11, 2007 announcing its results of operations for the quarter ended December 31, 2006 and certain other information. The press release is furnished as Exhibit 99.

**Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits**

(d) Exhibits

Pursuant to General Instruction B.2 to Form 8-K, the Company's January 11, 2007 press release is furnished as Exhibit 99 and is not filed.

---

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION

Date: January 11, 2007

By: \s\ Joseph J. Komanecki  
Joseph J. Komanecki  
Senior Vice President, Controller and  
Chief Accounting Officer

---

**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

**Exhibit  
Number**   **Description of Exhibit**

99            Press Release dated January 11, 2007. (Pursuant to General Instruction B.2 to Form 8-K, this press release is furnished and is not filed.)



**Investor Contact:** Michael J. Zimmerman, Investor Relations, (414) 347-6596, [mike\\_zimmerman@mgic.com](mailto:mike_zimmerman@mgic.com)  
**Media Contact:** Katie Monfre, Corporate Communications, (414) 347-2650, [katie\\_monfre@mgic.com](mailto:katie_monfre@mgic.com)

## **MGIC Investment Corporation Fourth Quarter Net Income of \$121.5 Million**

**MILWAUKEE (January 11, 2007)** <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> MGIC Investment Corporation (NYSE:MTG) today reported net income for the quarter ended December 31, 2006 of \$121.5 million, compared with the \$128.1 million for the same quarter a year ago, a decrease of 5.2%. Diluted earnings per share was \$1.47 for the quarter ending December 31, 2006, compared to \$1.44 for the same quarter a year ago, an increase of 2.1%.

Net income for the full year of 2006 was \$564.7 million, compared with \$626.9 million for 2005, a decrease of 9.9%. Diluted earnings per share for the full year was \$6.65 compared with \$6.78 in 2005, a decrease of 1.9%.

Curt S. Culver, chairman and chief executive officer of MGIC Investment Corporation and Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation (MGIC), said that the financial performance of 2006 reflects higher credit losses, the strong contribution of our joint ventures, the resumption of growth of insurance in force, and strong cashflows that enabled the repurchase of 6.1 million shares.

Total revenues for the fourth quarter were \$367.2 million, down 1.0 percent from \$370.9 million in the fourth quarter of 2005. The decline in revenues resulted from a 2.7 percent decrease in net premiums earned to \$297.0 million. Net premiums written for the quarter were \$305.6 million, compared with \$316.7 million in the fourth quarter last year, a decrease of 3.5 percent.

Total revenues for the year were \$1.47 billion, compared with \$1.53 billion in 2005. The decrease in revenues for the year resulted primarily from a 4.1 percent decrease in premiums earned to \$1.19 billion from \$1.24 billion last year and decreases in realized gains. Net premiums written for the year were \$1.22 billion, compared with \$1.25 billion in 2005, a decrease of 2.8 percent.

New insurance written in the fourth quarter was \$15.5 billion, compared to \$15.3 billion in the fourth quarter of 2005. New insurance written for the quarter included \$5.1 billion of bulk business compared with \$5.9 billion in the same period last year. New insurance written for the full year of 2006 was \$58.2 billion compared to \$61.5 billion in 2005 and included \$18.9 billion of bulk business compared to \$21.4 billion in 2005.

Persistency, or the percentage of insurance remaining in force from one year prior, was 69.6 percent at December 31, 2006, compared with 61.3 percent at December 31, 2005, and 60.2 percent at December 31, 2004.

---

As of December 31, 2006, MGIC's primary insurance in force was \$176.5 billion, compared with \$170.0 billion at December 31, 2005, and \$177.1 billion at December 31, 2004. The book value of MGIC Investment Corporation's investment portfolio was \$5.3 billion at December 31, 2006, compared with \$5.3 billion at December 31, 2005, and \$5.4 billion at December 31, 2004.

At December 31, 2006, the percentage of loans that were delinquent, excluding bulk loans, was 4.08 percent, compared with 4.52 percent at December 31, 2005, and 3.99 percent at December 31, 2004. Including bulk loans, the percentage of loans that were delinquent at December 31, 2006 was 6.13 percent, compared to 6.58 percent at December 31, 2005, and 6.05 percent at December 31, 2004.

Losses incurred in the fourth quarter were \$187.3 million, up from \$171.6 million reported for the same period last year. Losses incurred for the full year 2006 were \$613.6 million up from \$553.5 million in 2005. Underwriting expenses were \$75.4 million in the fourth quarter versus \$70.8 million reported for the same period last year. Underwriting expenses for the full year 2006 were \$294.8 million up from \$279.0 million in 2005.

Income from joint ventures, net of tax, for the quarter was \$47.0 million, up from \$36.8 million for the same period last year. Full year 2006 joint venture contributions, net of tax, were \$169.5 million versus \$147.3 million in 2005.

### **About MGIC**

MGIC ([www.mgic.com](http://www.mgic.com)), the principal subsidiary of MGIC Investment Corporation, is the nation's leading provider of private mortgage insurance coverage with \$176.5 billion primary insurance in force covering 1.3 million mortgages as of December 31, 2006. MGIC serves approximately 5,000 lenders with locations across the country and in Puerto Rico, helping families achieve homeownership sooner by making affordable low-down-payment mortgages a reality.

### **Webcast Details**

As previously announced, MGIC Investment Corporation will hold a webcast today at 10 a.m. ET to allow securities analysts and shareholders the opportunity to hear management discuss the company's quarterly results. To attend the webcast, go to [www.mgic.com](http://www.mgic.com), click on the "Investor" button, then click the "News Releases" button, proceed to "Webcasts/Presentations," and select "Audio Archives" at the company's website at [www.mgic.com](http://www.mgic.com). The webcast is also being distributed over CCBN's Investor Distribution Network to both institutional and individual investors. Investors can listen to the call through CCBN's individual investor center at [www.companyboardroom.com](http://www.companyboardroom.com) or by visiting any of the investor sites in CCBN's Individual Investor Network. The webcast will be available for replay through February 13, 2007.

This press release, which includes certain additional statistical and other information, including non-GAAP financial information, is available on the Company's website at [www.mgic.com](http://www.mgic.com) under "Investor — News and Financials – News Releases."

---



## Safe Harbor Statement

### Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors:

The Company's revenues and losses could be affected by the risk factors discussed below, which should be reviewed in conjunction with the Company's periodic reports to the SEC. These factors may also cause actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by forward looking statements that the Company may make. Forward looking statements consist of statements which relate to matters other than historical fact. Among others, statements that include words such as the Company "believes", "anticipates" or "expects", or words of similar import, are forward looking statements. The Company is not undertaking any obligation to update any forward looking statements it may make even though these statements may be affected by events or circumstances occurring after the forward looking statements were made.

### The amount of insurance the Company writes could be adversely affected if lenders and investors select alternatives to private mortgage insurance.

These alternatives to private mortgage insurance include:

- lenders originating mortgages using piggyback structures to avoid private mortgage insurance, such as a first mortgage with an 80% loan-to-value ("LTV") ratio and a second mortgage with a 10%, 15% or 20% LTV ratio (referred to as 80-10-10, 80-15-5 or 80-20 loans, respectively) rather than a first mortgage with a 90%, 95% or 100% LTV ratio that has private mortgage insurance,
- investors holding mortgages in portfolio and self-insuring,
- investors using credit enhancements other than private mortgage insurance or using other credit enhancements in conjunction with reduced levels of private mortgage insurance coverage, and
- lenders using government mortgage insurance programs, including those of the Federal Housing Administration and the Veterans Administration.

While no data is publicly available, the Company believes that piggyback loans are a significant percentage of mortgage originations in which borrowers make down payments of less than 20% and that their use is primarily by borrowers with higher credit scores. During the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company introduced on a national basis a program designed to recapture business lost to these mortgage insurance avoidance products. This program accounted for 9.1% of flow new insurance written in 2006 and 6.5% of flow new insurance written for all of 2005.

### Deterioration in the domestic economy or in home prices in the segment of the market the Company serves or changes in the mix of business may result in more homeowners defaulting and the Company's losses increasing.

Losses result from events that reduce a borrower's ability to continue to make mortgage payments, such as unemployment, and whether the home of a borrower who defaults on his mortgage can be sold for an amount that will cover unpaid principal and interest and the expenses of the sale. Favorable economic conditions generally reduce the likelihood that borrowers will lack sufficient income to pay their mortgages and also favorably affect the value of homes, thereby reducing and in some cases even eliminating a loss from a mortgage default. A deterioration in economic conditions generally increases the likelihood that borrowers will not have sufficient income to pay their mortgages and can also adversely affect housing values. During the period 2003 to the third quarter of 2006, according to the Office of Federal Housing Oversight, home prices nationally increased 36%. Recent forecasts predict that home prices will have minimal if any increase in 2007, and may decline in certain regions.

---

The mix of business the Company writes also affects the likelihood of losses occurring. In recent years, the percentage of the Company's volume written on a flow basis that includes segments the Company views as having a higher probability of claim has continued to increase. These segments include loans with LTV ratios over 95% (including loans with 100% LTV ratios), FICO credit scores below 620, limited underwriting, including limited borrower documentation, or total debt-to-income ratios of 38% or higher, as well as loans having combinations of higher risk factors.

Approximately 8% of the Company's primary risk in force written through the flow channel, and 65% of the Company's primary risk in force written through the bulk channel, consists of adjustable rate mortgages ("ARMs"). The Company believes that during a prolonged period of rising interest rates, claims on ARMs would be substantially higher than for fixed rate loans, although the performance of ARMs has not been tested in such an environment. Moreover, even if interest rates remain unchanged, claims on ARMs with a "teaser rate" (an initial interest rate that does not fully reflect the index which determines subsequent rates) may also be substantially higher because of the increase in the mortgage payment that will occur when the fully indexed rate becomes effective. In addition, the Company believes the volume of "interest-only" loans (which may also be ARMs) and loans with negative amortization features, such as pay option ARMs, increased in 2005 and 2006. Because interest-only loans and pay option ARMs are a relatively recent development, the Company has no data on their historical performance. The Company believes claim rates on certain of these loans will be substantially higher than on loans without scheduled payment increases that are made to borrowers of comparable credit quality.

Competition or changes in the Company's relationships with its customers could reduce the Company's revenues or increase its losses.

Competition for private mortgage insurance premiums occurs not only among private mortgage insurers but also with mortgage lenders through captive mortgage reinsurance transactions. In these transactions, a lender's affiliate reinsures a portion of the insurance written by a private mortgage insurer on mortgages originated or serviced by the lender. As discussed under "The mortgage insurance industry is subject to risk from private litigation and regulatory proceedings" below, the Company provided information to the New York Insurance Department and the Minnesota Department of Commerce about captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements. Other insurance departments or other officials, including attorneys general, may also seek information about or investigate captive mortgage reinsurance.

The level of competition within the private mortgage insurance industry has also increased as many large mortgage lenders have reduced the number of private mortgage insurers with whom they do business. At the same time, consolidation among mortgage lenders has increased the share of the mortgage lending market held by large lenders.

The Company's private mortgage insurance competitors include:

- PMI Mortgage Insurance Company,
  - GE Mortgage Insurance Corporation,
  - United Guaranty Residential Insurance Company,
  - Radian Guaranty Inc.,
  - Republic Mortgage Insurance Company,
  - Triad Guaranty Insurance Corporation, and
  - CMG Mortgage Insurance Company.
-

If interest rates decline, house prices appreciate or mortgage insurance cancellation requirements change, the length of time that the Company's policies remain in force could decline and result in declines in the Company's revenue.

In each year, most of the Company's premiums are from insurance that has been written in prior years. As a result, the length of time insurance remains in force (which is also generally referred to as persistency) is an important determinant of revenues. The factors affecting the length of time the Company's insurance remains in force include:

- the level of current mortgage interest rates compared to the mortgage coupon rates on the insurance in force, which affects the vulnerability of the insurance in force to refinancings, and
- mortgage insurance cancellation policies of mortgage investors along with the rate of home price appreciation experienced by the homes underlying the mortgages in the insurance in force.

During the 1990s, the Company's year-end persistency ranged from a high of 87.4% at December 31, 1990 to a low of 68.1% at December 31, 1998. At December 31, 2006 persistency was at 69.6%, compared to the record low of 44.9% at September 30, 2003. Over the past several years, refinancing has become easier to accomplish and less costly for many consumers. Hence, even in an interest rate environment favorable to persistency improvement, the Company does not expect persistency will approach its December 31, 1990 level.

If the volume of low down payment home mortgage originations declines, the amount of insurance that the Company writes could decline which would reduce the Company's revenues.

The factors that affect the volume of low-down-payment mortgage originations include:

- The level of home mortgage interest rates,
- the health of the domestic economy as well as conditions in regional and local economies,
- housing affordability,
- population trends, including the rate of household formation,
- the rate of home price appreciation, which in times of heavy refinancing can affect whether refinance loans have LTV ratios that require private mortgage insurance, and
- government housing policy encouraging loans to first-time homebuyers.

In general, the majority of the underwriting profit (premium revenue minus losses) that a book of mortgage insurance generates occurs in the early years of the book, with the largest portion of the underwriting profit realized in the first year. Subsequent years of a book generally result in modest underwriting profit or underwriting losses. This pattern of results occurs because relatively few of the claims that a book will ultimately experience occur in the first few years of the book, when premium revenue is highest, while subsequent years are affected by declining premium revenues, as persistency decreases due to loan prepayments, and higher losses.

If all other things were equal, a decline in new insurance written in a year that followed a number of years of higher volume could result in a lower contribution to the mortgage insurer's overall results. This effect may occur because the older books will be experiencing declines in revenue and increases in losses with a lower amount of underwriting profit on the new book available to offset these results.

Whether such a lower contribution would in fact occur depends in part on the extent of the volume decline. Even with a substantial decline in volume, there may be offsetting factors that could increase the contribution in the current year. These offsetting factors include higher persistency and a mix of business with higher average premiums, which could have the effect of increasing revenues, and improvements in the economy, which could have the effect of reducing losses. In addition, the effect on the insurer's overall results from such a lower contribution may be offset by decreases in the mortgage insurer's expenses that are unrelated to claim or default activity, including those related to lower volume.

---

Changes in the business practices of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could reduce the Company's revenues or increase its losses.

The business practices of the Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac"), each of which is a government sponsored entity ("GSE"), affect the entire relationship between them and mortgage insurers and include:

- the level of private mortgage insurance coverage, subject to the limitations of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's charters, when private mortgage insurance is used as the required credit enhancement on low down payment mortgages,
- whether Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac influence the mortgage lender's selection of the mortgage insurer providing coverage and, if so, any transactions that are related to that selection,
- whether Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac will give mortgage lenders an incentive, such as a reduced guaranty fee, to select a mortgage insurer that has a "AAA" claims-paying ability rating to benefit from the lower capital requirements for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac when a mortgage is insured by a company with that rating,
- the underwriting standards that determine what loans are eligible for purchase by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, which thereby affect the quality of the risk insured by the mortgage insurer and the availability of mortgage loans,
- the terms on which mortgage insurance coverage can be canceled before reaching the cancellation thresholds established by law, and
- the circumstances in which mortgage servicers must perform activities intended to avoid or mitigate loss on insured mortgages that are delinquent.

The mortgage insurance industry is subject to the risk of private litigation and regulatory proceedings.

Consumers are bringing a growing number of lawsuits against home mortgage lenders and settlement service providers. In recent years, seven mortgage insurers, including MGIC, have been involved in litigation alleging violations of the anti-referral fee provisions of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, which is commonly known as RESPA, and the notice provisions of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, which is commonly known as FCRA. MGIC's settlement of class action litigation against it under RESPA became final in October 2003. MGIC settled the named plaintiffs' claims in litigation against it under FCRA in late December 2004 following denial of class certification in June 2004. There can be no assurance that MGIC will not be subject to future litigation under RESPA or FCRA or that the outcome of any such litigation would not have a material adverse effect on the Company. In August 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit decided a case under FCRA to which the Company was not a party that may make it more likely that the Company will be subject to litigation regarding when notices to borrowers are required by FCRA.

In June 2005, in response to a letter from the New York Insurance Department (the "NYID"), the Company provided information regarding captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements and other types of arrangements in which lenders receive compensation. In February 2006, the NYID requested MGIC to review its premium rates in New York and to file adjusted rates based on recent years' experience or to explain why such experience would not alter rates. In March 2006, MGIC advised the NYID that it believes its premium rates are reasonable and that, given the nature of mortgage insurance risk, premium rates should not be determined only by the experience of recent years. In February 2006, in response to an administrative subpoena from the Minnesota Department of Commerce (the "MDC"), which regulates insurance, the Company provided the MDC with information about captive mortgage reinsurance and certain other matters. The Company subsequently provided additional information to the MDC. Other insurance departments or other officials, including attorneys general, may also seek information about or investigate captive mortgage reinsurance.

The anti-referral fee provisions of RESPA provide that the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") as well as the insurance commissioner or attorney general of any state may bring an action to enjoin violations of these provisions of RESPA. The insurance law provisions of many states prohibit paying for the referral of insurance business and provide various mechanisms to enforce this prohibition. While the Company believes its captive reinsurance arrangements are in conformity with applicable laws and regulations, it is not possible to predict the outcome of any such reviews or investigations nor is it possible to predict their effect on the Company or the mortgage insurance industry.

---

Net premiums written could be adversely affected if the Department of Housing and Urban Development repropose and adopts a regulation under the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act that is equivalent to a proposed regulation that was withdrawn in 2004.

HUD regulations under RESPA prohibit paying lenders for the referral of settlement services, including mortgage insurance, and prohibit lenders from receiving such payments. In July 2002, HUD proposed a regulation that would exclude from these anti-referral fee provisions settlement services included in a package of settlement services offered to a borrower at a guaranteed price. HUD withdrew this proposed regulation in March 2004. Under the proposed regulation, if mortgage insurance were required on a loan, the package must include any mortgage insurance premium paid at settlement. Although certain state insurance regulations prohibit an insurer's payment of referral fees, had this regulation been adopted in this form, the Company's revenues could have been adversely affected to the extent that lenders offered such packages and received value from the Company in excess of what they could have received were the anti-referral fee provisions of RESPA to apply and if such state regulations were not applied to prohibit such payments.

The Company could be adversely affected if personal information on consumers that it maintains is improperly disclosed.

As part of its business, the Company maintains large amounts of personal information on consumers. While the Company believes it has appropriate information security policies and systems to prevent unauthorized disclosure, there can be no assurance that unauthorized disclosure, either through the actions of third parties or employees, will not occur. Unauthorized disclosure could adversely affect the Company's reputation and expose it to material claims for damages.

The Company's income from joint ventures could be adversely affected by credit losses, insufficient liquidity or competition affecting those businesses.

C-BASS: Credit-Based Asset Servicing and Securitization LLC ("C-BASS") is principally engaged in the business of investing in the credit risk of credit sensitive single-family residential mortgages. C-BASS is particularly exposed to funding risk and to credit risk through ownership of the higher risk classes of mortgage backed securities from its own securitizations and those of other issuers. In addition, C-BASS's results are sensitive to its ability to purchase mortgage loans and securities on terms that it projects will meet its return targets. C-BASS's mortgage purchases in 2005 and 2006 have primarily been of subprime mortgages, which bear a higher risk of default. Further, a higher proportion of subprime mortgage originations in 2005 and in 2006, as compared to 2004, were interest-only loans, which C-BASS views as having greater credit risk. C-BASS has not purchased any pay option ARMs, which are another type of higher risk mortgage. Credit losses are affected by housing prices. A higher house price at default than at loan origination generally mitigates credit losses while a lower house price at default generally increases losses. Over the last several years, in certain regions home prices have experienced rates of increase greater than historical norms and greater than growth in median incomes. During the period 2003 to the third quarter of 2006, according to the Office of Federal Housing Oversight, home prices nationally increased 36%. Recent forecasts predict that home prices will have minimal if any increase in 2007, and may decline in certain regions.

With respect to liquidity, the substantial majority of C-BASS's on-balance sheet financing for its mortgage and securities portfolio is dependent on the value of the collateral that secures this debt. C-BASS maintains substantial liquidity to cover margin calls in the event of substantial declines in the value of its mortgages and securities. While C-BASS's policies governing the management of capital at risk are intended to provide sufficient liquidity to cover an instantaneous and substantial decline in value, such policies cannot guaranty that all liquidity required will in fact be available. Further, approximately 43% of C-BASS's financing has a term of less than one year, and is subject to renewal risk.

The interest expense on C-BASS's borrowings is primarily tied to short-term rates such as LIBOR. In a period of rising interest rates, the interest expense could increase in different amounts and at different rates and times than the interest that C-BASS earns on the related assets, which could negatively impact C-BASS's earnings.

---

Although there has been growth in the volume of subprime mortgage originations in recent years, volume is expected to decline in 2006, which may result in C-BASS purchasing fewer mortgages for securitization. Since 2005, there has been an increasing amount of competition to purchase subprime mortgages, from mortgage originators that formed real estate investment trusts and from firms, such as investment banks and commercial banks, that in the past acted as mortgage securities intermediaries but which are now establishing their own captive origination capacity. Many of these competitors are larger and have a lower cost of capital.

*Sherman:* The results of Sherman Financial Group LLC (“Sherman”), which is principally engaged in the business of purchasing and servicing delinquent consumer assets, are sensitive to its ability to purchase receivable portfolios on terms that it projects will meet its return targets. While the volume of charged-off consumer receivables and the portion of these receivables that have been sold to third parties such as Sherman has grown in recent years, there is an increasing amount of competition to purchase such portfolios, including from new entrants to the industry, which has resulted in increases in the prices at which portfolios can be purchased.

---

**MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS**

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Twelve Months Ended December 31,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)			
Net premiums written	\$ 305,614	\$ 316,673	\$ 1,217,236	\$ 1,252,310
Net premiums earned	\$ 297,032	\$ 305,139	\$ 1,187,409	\$ 1,238,692
Investment income	61,791	57,335	240,621	228,854
Realized gains (losses)	(2,698)	(1,956)	(4,264)	14,857
Other revenue	11,111	10,408	45,403	44,127
Total revenues	367,236	370,926	1,469,169	1,526,530
Losses and expenses:				
Losses incurred	187,286	171,552	613,635	553,530
Underwriting, other expenses	75,446	70,755	294,809	279,045
Interest expense	11,341	9,773	39,348	41,091
Ceding commission	(1,049)	(988)	(3,951)	(3,629)
Total losses and expenses	273,024	251,092	943,841	870,037
Income before tax and joint ventures	94,212	119,834	525,328	656,493
Provision for income tax	19,721	28,541	130,097	176,932
Income from joint ventures, net of tax (1)	46,978	36,828	169,508	147,312
Net income	\$ 121,469	\$ 128,121	\$ 564,739	\$ 626,873
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding (Shares in thousands)	82,435	88,847	84,950	92,443
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.44	\$ 6.65	\$ 6.78
(1) Diluted EPS contribution from C-BASS	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.20	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.78
Diluted EPS contribution from Sherman	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.78

NOTE: See "Certain Non-GAAP Financial Measures" for diluted earnings per share contribution from realized gains (losses).

**MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET AS OF**

	December 31, 2006	December 31, 2005	December 31, 2004
	(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)		
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Investments (1)	\$ 5,252,422	\$ 5,295,430	\$ 5,418,988
Cash and cash equivalents	293,738	195,256	166,468
Reinsurance recoverable on loss reserves (2)	13,417	14,787	17,302
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	9,620	9,608	6,836
Home office and equipment, net	32,603	32,666	36,382
Deferred insurance policy acquisition costs	12,769	18,416	27,714
Other assets	1,007,102	791,406	707,001
	<u>\$ 6,621,671</u>	<u>\$ 6,357,569</u>	<u>\$ 6,380,691</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>			
<b>Liabilities:</b>			
Loss reserves (2)	1,125,715	1,124,454	1,185,594
Unearned premiums	189,661	159,823	143,433
Short- and long-term debt	781,277	685,163	639,303
Other liabilities	229,141	223,074	268,722
Total liabilities	2,325,794	2,192,514	2,237,052
Shareholders' equity	4,295,877	4,165,055	4,143,639
	<u>\$ 6,621,671</u>	<u>\$ 6,357,569</u>	<u>\$ 6,380,691</u>
Book value per share	\$ 51.88	\$ 47.31	\$ 43.05
(1) Investments include unrealized gains on securities marked to market pursuant to FAS 115	128,752	119,836	193,864
(2) Loss reserves, net of reinsurance recoverable on loss reserves	1,112,298	1,109,667	1,168,292

## CERTAIN NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Twelve Months Ended December 31,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)			
<b>Diluted earnings per share contribution from realized gains (losses):</b>				
Realized gains (losses)	\$ (2,698)	\$ (1,956)	\$ (4,264)	\$ 14,857
Income taxes at 35%	(944)	(685)	(1,492)	5,200
After tax realized gains	(1,754)	(1,271)	(2,772)	9,657
Weighted average shares	82,435	88,847	84,950	92,443
Diluted EPS contribution from realized gains (losses)	<u>\$ (0.02)</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ (0.03)</u>	<u>\$ 0.10</u>

Management believes the diluted earnings per share contribution from realized gains (losses) provides useful information to investors because it shows the after-tax effect that sales of securities from the Company's investment portfolio, which are discretionary transactions, had on earnings.

## OTHER INFORMATION

New primary insurance written ("NIW") (\$ millions)	<u>\$ 15,482</u>	<u>\$ 15,342</u>	<u>\$ 58,242</u>	<u>\$ 61,503</u>
New risk written (\$ millions):				
Primary	<u>\$ 4,181</u>	<u>\$ 4,250</u>	<u>\$ 15,937</u>	<u>\$ 16,836</u>
Pool (1)	<u>\$ 40</u>	<u>\$ 155</u>	<u>\$ 240</u>	<u>\$ 358</u>
Product mix as a % of primary flow NIW				
> 95% LTVs	38%	23%	34%	22%
ARMs	8%	11%	10%	13%
Refinances	24%	28%	23%	28%
Net paid claims (\$ millions)				
Flow	\$ 72	\$ 64	\$ 273	\$ 281
Bulk (2)	65	62	252	249
Other	20	22	86	82
	<u>\$ 157</u>	<u>\$ 148</u>	<u>\$ 611</u>	<u>\$ 612</u>

- (1) Represents contractual aggregate loss limits and, for the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, for \$11 million and \$56 million, \$59 million and \$959 million, respectively, of risk without such limits, risk is calculated at \$1 million and \$4 million, \$2 million and \$51 million, respectively, the estimated amount that would credit enhance these loans to a 'AA' level based on a rating agency model.
- (2) Bulk loans are those that are part of a negotiated transaction between the lender and the mortgage insurer.



## OTHER INFORMATION

	As of		
	December 31, 2006	December 31, 2005	December 31, 2004
Direct Primary Insurance In Force (\$ millions)	176,531	170,029	177,091
Direct Primary Risk In Force (\$ millions)	47,079	44,860	45,981
Direct Pool Risk In Force (\$ millions)(1)	3,063	2,909	3,022
Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation — Risk-to-capital ratio	6.4:1	6.3:1	6.8:1
Primary Insurance:			
Insured Loans	1,283,174	1,303,084	1,413,678
Persistency	69.6%	61.3%	60.2%
Total loans delinquent	78,628	85,788	85,487
Percentage of loans delinquent (delinquency rate)	6.13%	6.58%	6.05%
Loans delinquent excluding bulk loans	42,438	47,051	44,925
Percentage of loans delinquent excluding bulk loans (delinquency rate)	4.08%	4.52%	3.99%
Bulk loans delinquent	36,190	38,737	40,562
Percentage of bulk loans delinquent (delinquency rate)	14.87%	14.72%	14.06%
A-minus and subprime credit loans delinquent (2)	34,360	36,485	35,824
Percentage of A-minus and subprime credit loans delinquent (delinquency rate)	18.94%	18.30%	16.49%

(1) Represents contractual aggregate loss limits and, at December 31, 2006, December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, for \$4.4 billion, \$5.0 billion and \$4.9 billion of risk without such limits, risk is calculated at \$473 million, \$469 million and \$418 million, the estimated amounts that would credit enhance these loans to a 'AA' level based on a rating agency model.

(2) A-minus and subprime credit is included in flow, bulk and total.

	<u>Q1 2005</u>	<u>Q2 2005</u>	<u>Q3 2005</u>	<u>Q4 2005</u>	<u>Q1 2006</u>	<u>Q2 2006</u>	<u>Q3 2006</u>	<u>Q4 2006</u>
<b>Insurance inforce</b>								
Flow (\$ bil)	\$ 135.1	\$ 132.8	\$ 130.9	\$ 129.5	\$ 128.6	\$ 129.5	\$ 131.9	\$ 134.4
Bulk (\$ bil)	\$ 37.0	\$ 39.0	\$ 39.3	\$ 40.5	\$ 38.3	\$ 40.3	\$ 41.5	\$ 42.1
<b>Risk inforce</b>								
% Prime (FICO 620 & >)	84.6%	84.2%	84.0%	84.3%	84.8%	85.1%	86.0%	85.8%
% A minus (FICO 575 - 619)	11.0%	11.1%	11.1%	10.9%	10.6%	10.4%	9.8%	10.0%
% Subprime (FICO < 575)	4.4%	4.7%	4.9%	4.8%	4.6%	4.5%	4.2%	4.2%
<b>Bulk % of risk inforce by credit grade</b>								
Prime (FICO 620 & >)	58.4%	58.0%	57.5%	59.6%	59.9%	62.2%	65.8%	65.0%
A minus (FICO 575 - 619)	27.1%	26.7%	26.8%	25.3%	25.2%	23.7%	21.4%	22.2%
Subprime (FICO < 575)	14.5%	15.3%	15.7%	15.1%	14.9%	14.1%	12.8%	12.8%
<b>Flow % of risk inforce by credit grade</b>								
% Prime (FICO 700 and >)	51.4%	51.9%	52.3%	52.6%	52.7%	52.9%	53.1%	53.1%
% Prime (FICO 620 - 699)	41.8%	41.5%	41.2%	41.0%	41.0%	40.8%	40.6%	40.4%
% A minus (FICO 575 - 619)	5.7%	5.6%	5.5%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.5%
% Subprime (FICO < 575)	1.1%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	1.0%
<b>New insurance written</b>								
Flow (\$ bil)	\$ 8.9	\$ 10.4	\$ 11.4	\$ 9.4	\$ 7.9	\$ 10.1	\$ 10.8	\$ 10.4
Bulk (\$ bil)	\$ 2.5	\$ 6.2	\$ 6.8	\$ 5.9	\$ 2.1	\$ 6.0	\$ 5.8	\$ 5.1
<b>Average loan size of Insurance in force (000's)</b>								
Flow	\$ 122.7	\$ 123.2	\$ 123.8	\$ 124.6	\$ 125.3	\$ 126.5	\$ 128.0	\$ 129.3
Bulk	\$ 136.7	\$ 141.7	\$ 147.8	\$ 153.9	\$ 155.2	\$ 162.8	\$ 169.3	\$ 172.8
<b>Average Coverage Rate of Insurance in force</b>								
Flow	24.8%	25.0%	25.1%	25.2%	25.3%	25.4%	25.5%	25.5%
Bulk	30.3%	30.0%	30.1%	30.3%	30.3%	30.4%	30.4%	30.4%
<b>Paid Losses (000's)</b>								
Average claim payment — flow	\$ 26.5	\$ 25.8	\$ 26.4	\$ 26.5	\$ 26.4	\$ 27.1	\$ 28.5	\$ 27.4
Average claim payment — bulk	\$ 25.6	\$ 25.6	\$ 27.1	\$ 27.5	\$ 27.3	\$ 27.2	\$ 30.8	\$ 31.7
Average claim payment — total	\$ 26.1	\$ 25.7	\$ 26.7	\$ 27.0	\$ 26.9	\$ 27.2	\$ 29.6	\$ 29.3
<b>Risk sharing Arrangements — Flow Only</b>								
% insurance inforce subject to risk sharing (1)	48.0%	48.0%	47.7%	47.8%	48.0%	47.6%	47.5%	
% Quarterly NIW subject to risk sharing (1)	47.0%	46.7%	47.8%	49.0%	48.0%	47.4%	46.5%	
Premium ceded (millions)	\$ 30.2	\$ 30.3	\$ 30.5	\$ 31.9	\$ 32.4	\$ 32.6	\$ 33.0	\$ 35.4
<b>Documentation Type — % of Risk in Force that is Alt A</b>								
Bulk	26.7%	27.8%	29.5%	32.5%	33.4%	37.9%	42.4%	42.1%
Flow	6.7%	6.6%	6.7%	6.9%	7.1%	7.3%	7.7%	7.9%
Total	11.7%	12.1%	12.7%	13.9%	14.0%	15.6%	17.2%	17.2%
<b>Other:</b>								
Shares repurchased								
# of shares (000)	1,100.1	3,350.0	1,109.3	3,183.1	1,372.9	1,824.8	2,697.0	216.9
Average price	\$ 62.33	\$ 60.73	\$ 62.84	\$ 60.35	\$ 66.67	\$ 67.25	\$ 58.88	\$ 58.00
C-BASS Investment	\$ 304.8	\$ 330.6	\$ 341.8	\$ 362.6	\$ 385.5	\$ 413.9	\$ 430.1	\$ 449.5
Sherman Investment	\$ 69.4	\$ 101.4	\$ 50.9	\$ 79.3	\$ 47.2	\$ 74.4	\$ 124.9	\$ 163.8
GAAP loss ratio (insurance operations only)	31.3%	43.9%	47.8%	56.2%	38.3%	49.7%	55.7%	63.0%
GAAP expense ratio (insurance operations only)	15.9%	15.1%	15.7%	16.9%	17.5%	16.7%	16.4%	17.2%

**Footnotes:**

(1) Latest Quarter data not available due to lag in reporting