

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-10816

**MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**WISCONSIN**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**39-1486475**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**MGIC PLAZA, 250 EAST KILBOURN AVENUE,  
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**53202**

(Zip Code)

**(414) 347-6480**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class:

Common Stock, Par Value \$1 Per Share  
Common Share Purchase Rights

Name of Each Exchange on Which  
Registered:

New York Stock Exchange

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of Class:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).  Yes  No

State the aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of June 30, 2014: Approximately \$3.1 billion\*

\* Solely for purposes of computing such value and without thereby admitting that such persons are affiliates of the Registrant, shares held by directors and executive officers of the Registrant are deemed to be held by affiliates of the Registrant. Shares held are those shares beneficially owned for purposes of Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 but excluding shares subject to stock options.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant's classes of common stock as of February 13, 2015: 338,920,963

The following documents have been incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K, as indicated:

<u>Document</u>	<u>Part and Item Number of Form 10-K Into Which Incorporated*</u>
Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders	Items 10 through 14 of Part III

\* In each case, to the extent provided in the Items listed.

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I		
Item 1.	<a href="#">Business.</a>	1
Item 1A.	<a href="#">Risk Factors.</a>	37
Item 1B.	<a href="#">Unresolved Staff Comments.</a>	62
Item 2.	<a href="#">Properties.</a>	62
Item 3.	<a href="#">Legal Proceedings.</a>	62
Item 4.	<a href="#">Mine Safety Disclosures.</a>	64
PART II		
Item 5.	<a href="#">Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.</a>	66
Item 6.	<a href="#">Selected Financial Data.</a>	67
Item 7.	<a href="#">Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</a>	69
Item 7A.	<a href="#">Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</a>	114
Item 8.	<a href="#">Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.</a>	115
Item 9.	<a href="#">Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.</a>	192
Item 9A.	<a href="#">Controls and Procedures.</a>	192
Item 9B.	<a href="#">Other Information.</a>	193
PART III		
Item 10.	<a href="#">Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.</a>	193
Item 11.	<a href="#">Executive Compensation.</a>	193
Item 12.	<a href="#">Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.</a>	193
Item 13.	<a href="#">Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.</a>	194
Item 14.	<a href="#">Principal Accountant Fees and Services.</a>	194
PART IV		
Item 15.	<a href="#">Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.</a>	194
<a href="#">SIGNATURES</a>		
EX-10.2.12		
EX-10.2.13		
EX-10.6		
EX-10.8		
EX-10.11.1		
EX-10.11.2		
EX-21		
EX-23		
EX-31.1		
EX-31.2		
EX-32		
EX-99.20		
EX-99.21		
EX-99.22		
EX-99.23		
EX-99.24		

---

## PART I

### Item 1. Business.

#### A. General

We are a holding company and through wholly-owned subsidiaries we provide private mortgage insurance and ancillary services. In 2014, our net premiums written were \$882.0 million and our primary new insurance written was \$33.4 billion. As of December 31, 2014, our primary insurance in force was \$164.9 billion and our direct primary risk in force was \$42.9 billion. For further information about our results of operations, see our consolidated financial statements in Item 8. As of December 31, 2014, our principal mortgage insurance subsidiary, Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation (“MGIC”), was licensed in all 50 states of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and Guam. During 2014, we wrote new insurance in each of those jurisdictions.

#### *Overview of the Private Mortgage Insurance Industry and its Operating Environment*

We established the private mortgage insurance industry in 1957 to provide a private market alternative to federal government insurance programs. Private mortgage insurance covers losses from homeowner defaults on residential mortgage loans, reducing, and in some instances eliminating, the loss to the insured institution if the homeowner defaults.

The Federal National Mortgage Association (“Fannie Mae”) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (“Freddie Mac”) have been the major purchasers of the mortgage loans underlying new insurance written by private mortgage insurers. In this annual report, we refer to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac collectively as the “GSEs.” The GSEs purchase residential mortgages as part of their governmental mandate to provide liquidity in the secondary mortgage market. The GSEs cannot buy low down payment mortgage loans without certain forms of credit enhancement, the primary form of which is private mortgage insurance. Therefore, private mortgage insurance facilitates the sale of low down payment mortgages in the secondary mortgage market to the GSEs and plays an important role in the housing finance system by assisting consumers, especially first-time and lower net-worth homebuyers, to affordably finance homes with less than a 20% down payment. In this annual report, we refer to loans with less than 20% down payments as “low down payment” mortgages or loans. Private mortgage insurance also reduces the regulatory capital that depository institutions are required to hold against certain low down payment mortgages that they hold as assets.

The private mortgage insurance industry is greatly impacted by macroeconomic conditions that affect home loan originations and credit performance of home loans, including unemployment rates, housing prices, restrictions on mortgage credit due to stringent underwriting standards, interest rates and household formations. The financial crisis and the downturn in the housing market that began in 2007 had a significant negative impact on the industry and our company. The operating environment for private mortgage insurers has been improving in recent years as the economy has started to recover.

Currently, our business strategy is focused on 1) maximizing the amount of new insurance written while maintaining rational underwriting guidelines and pricing for appropriate returns given the credit risk undertaken, 2) continuing to manage our capital and liquidity position, 3) helping to shape the future of housing policy, and 4) maintaining our industry leading cost advantage.

During 2014, \$176 billion of mortgages were insured with primary coverage by private mortgage insurers, compared to \$207 billion in 2013 and \$175 billion in 2012. These figures include \$8 billion, \$32 billion and \$44 billion of refinance transactions that were originated under the Home Affordable Refinance Program (“HARP”) in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We do not include HARP transactions in our new insurance written total because we consider them a modification of the coverage on existing insurance in force. The volume of mortgages insured by private mortgage insurers decreased in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily as a result of decreased refinance activity in 2014. Although the 2014 volume was significantly greater than the recent low in 2010 of \$70 billion, it remains significantly below the volumes of 2001 through 2007 when, on average, approximately \$311 billion of mortgages were insured with primary coverage by private mortgage insurers.

For most of our business, we and other private mortgage insurers compete directly with federal and state governmental and quasi-governmental agencies that sponsor government-backed mortgage insurance programs, principally the Federal Housing Administration (the “FHA”) and the Veterans Administration (“VA”). The combined market share of the FHA and VA increased significantly following the financial crisis. In 2009, the FHA and VA accounted for 84.7% of all low down payment residential mortgages that were subject to FHA, VA or primary private mortgage insurance, up from 22.7% in 2007, according to statistics reported by *Inside Mortgage Finance*, a mortgage industry publication that computes and publishes primary market share information.

The combined market share of the FHA and VA has decreased since 2009, a trend that has been positive for the private mortgage insurance industry (although the VA has maintained its gains). The combined market share of the FHA and VA was 63.5% in 2013 and 59.4% in 2014. The decrease has been influenced by the different rate structures and changes to underwriting criteria implemented by several private mortgage insurers, including MGIC, as well as increases to FHA’s pricing and changes to its policy terms. The FHA reduced its annual mortgage insurance premiums significantly in January 2015. How this price reduction will impact the FHA’s future market share will depend in part on the future level of fees charged by the GSEs (which increases the costs to borrowers including those who purchase private mortgage insurance) and the total profitability that may be realized by mortgage lenders from securitizing FHA-insured loans through Ginnie Mae when compared to delivering loans to Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. For more information, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Results of Consolidated Operations – New Insurance Written,” in Item 7.

Depending on market conditions and expectations, the private mortgage insurance industry also competes with alternatives to mortgage insurance, such as capital market transactions structured to transfer risk of default on residential mortgages, investors willing to hold credit risk on their own balance sheets without credit enhancement, and “piggyback loans,” which combine a first lien loan with a second lien loan.

Our new insurance written increased to \$33.4 billion in 2014 from \$29.8 billion in 2013 and \$24.1 billion in 2012. This increase is primarily the result of the decrease in the combined market share of the FHA and VA and the increase in MGIC's market share within the private mortgage insurance industry. By comparison, the combined effects of the elevated market share of the FHA and VA and the depressed levels of mortgage loan originations following the financial crisis, contributed to a decrease in our new insurance written from \$76.8 billion in 2007 to \$12.3 billion in 2010.

For 2014, we reported net income of \$251.9 million, our first year of annual profitability since 2006. During the last several years preceding the financial crisis, the mortgage lending industry increasingly made home loans to individuals with higher risk credit profiles, at higher loan-to-value ("LTV") ratios, and based on less documentation and verification of information regarding the borrower. Beginning in 2007, job creation slowed and the housing markets began slowing in certain areas, with declines in certain other areas. In 2008 and 2009, employment in the U.S. decreased substantially and nearly all geographic areas in the U.S. experienced home price declines. Together, these conditions resulted in significant adverse developments for us and our industry. After earning an average of approximately \$580 million annually from 2004 through 2006 and \$169 million in the first half of 2007, we had aggregate net losses of \$5.3 billion for the years 2007-2013.

Beginning in late 2007 and into 2008, we implemented a series of changes to our underwriting requirements that were designed to improve the risk profile of our new business. The loans insured in the periods leading up to the effectiveness of the new requirements continue to experience significantly higher than historical lifetime claim rates and incurred losses. From time to time, in response to market conditions, we continue to change the types of loans that we insure and the requirements under which we insure them. In 2013, we liberalized our underwriting guidelines somewhat, in part through aligning most of our underwriting requirements with the GSEs for loans that receive and are processed in accordance with certain approval recommendations from a GSE automated underwriting system. Our underwriting requirements are available on our website at <http://www.mgic.com/underwriting/index.html>.

Because the GSEs have been the major purchasers of the mortgages underlying new insurance written by private mortgage insurers, the private mortgage insurance industry in the U.S. is defined in large part by the requirements and practices of the GSEs. These requirements and practices, as well as those of the federal regulators that oversee the GSEs and lenders, impact the operating results and financial performance of companies in the mortgage insurance industry. In 2008, the federal government took control of the GSEs through a conservatorship process. The Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA") is the conservator of the GSEs and has the authority to control and direct their operations. The U.S. Department of the Treasury reported its recommendations regarding options for ending the conservatorship of the GSEs in February 2011, and while it does not provide any definitive timeline for GSE reform, it does recommend using a combination of federal housing policy changes to wind down the GSEs, shrink the government's footprint in housing finance (including FHA insurance), and help bring private capital back to the mortgage market. Since then, Members of Congress have introduced several bills intended to scale back the GSEs, however, no legislation has been enacted. As a result of the matters referred to above, it is uncertain what role the GSEs, FHA and private capital, including private mortgage insurance, will play in the domestic residential housing finance system in the future or the impact of any such changes on our business. In addition, the timing of the impact of any resulting changes on our business is uncertain. Most meaningful changes would require Congressional action to implement and it is difficult to estimate when Congressional action would be final and how long any associated phase-in period may last. See the risk factor titled "Changes in the business practices of the GSEs, federal legislation that changes their charters or a restructuring of the GSEs could reduce our revenues or increase our losses" in Item 1A.

The GSEs have mortgage insurer eligibility requirements for private mortgage insurers that insure loans delivered to the GSEs. In July 2014, the FHFA released draft Private Mortgage Insurer Eligibility Requirements (“draft PMIERS”). The draft PMIERS include revised financial requirements for mortgage insurers (the “GSE Financial Requirements”) that require a mortgage insurer’s “Available Assets” (generally only the most liquid assets of an insurer) to meet or exceed “Minimum Required Assets” (which are based on an insurer’s book and are calculated from tables of factors with several risk dimensions and are subject to a floor amount). We currently expect the PMIERS to be published in final form no earlier than late in the first quarter of 2015 and their effective date to occur 180 days thereafter. The draft PMIERS provided mortgage insurers with up to two years after the final PMIERS are published to meet the GSE Financial Requirements (the “transition period”).

Shortly after the draft PMIERS were released, we estimated that we would have a shortfall in Available Assets on December 31, 2014, which would be somewhat reduced over a two year period. We have various alternatives available to improve MGIC’s Available Assets position, including modifying our reinsurance agreement executed in 2013, contributing additional funds that are on hand today from our holding company to MGIC, using a portion of assets available in regulated insurance affiliates of MGIC, and seeking non-dilutive debt capital. While there can be no assurance that MGIC will meet the GSE Financial Requirements by their effective date, we believe we will implement one or more of these alternatives so that we would continue to be an eligible mortgage insurer. If MGIC ceases to be eligible to insure loans purchased by one or both of the GSEs, it would significantly reduce the volume of our new business writings.

As noted in “—Reinsurance Agreements” below, in April 2013, we entered into a quota share reinsurance agreement with a group of unaffiliated reinsurers. That agreement applies to new insurance written between April 1, 2013 and December 31, 2015 (with certain exclusions). In December 2013, we entered into an Addendum to the quota share agreement that applies to certain insurance written before April 1, 2013. We do not expect that we would receive full credit under the GSE Financial Requirements for our existing reinsurance agreement. We have recently been in discussions with the participating reinsurers regarding modifications to the agreement so that we would receive additional credit for the agreement under the GSE Financial Requirements.

In addition to the FHA, VA, other governmental agencies and the alternatives to mortgage insurance discussed above, we also compete with other mortgage insurers. The U.S. private mortgage insurance industry has historically been very competitive and has become even more so with the addition of three new entrants, including two newly capitalized start-ups that are not encumbered with a portfolio of pre-financial crisis mortgages and one mortgage insurer where customer focus was significantly expanded following its acquisition by a worldwide insurer and reinsurer. The industry currently consists of seven active mortgage insurers and their affiliates.

As market conditions change, we change the types of loans that we insure as well as the underwriting requirements and terms under which we insure them. Price competition has been present in the market for some time: in the third quarter of 2014, we reduced many of our standard lender-paid single premium rates to match competition; and in the fourth quarter of 2013, we reduced all of our standard borrower-paid monthly premium rates and most of our standard single premium rates to match competition. Currently, we are seeing price competition in the form of lender-paid single premium programs customized for individual lenders with rates materially lower than those on the standard rate card. During most of 2013, when almost all of our single premium rates were above those most commonly used in the market, single premium policies were approximately 10% of our total new insurance written; they were approximately 15% in 2014 and we expect a higher percentage in 2015 primarily as a result of us selectively matching reduced rates. The premium from a single premium policy is collected upfront and generally earned over the estimated life of the policy. In contrast, premiums from a monthly premium policy are received and earned each month over the life of the policy. Depending on the actual life of a single premium policy and its premium rate relative to that of a monthly premium policy, a single premium policy may generate more or less premium than a monthly premium policy over its life. Currently, we expect to receive less lifetime premium from a new lender-paid single premium policy than we would from a new borrower-paid monthly premium policy. As a result of the recent increase in the percentage of our new insurance written from lender-paid single premium policies, our weighted average premium rate on new insurance written has decreased from 2013 to 2014. As the percentage of our new business represented by lender-paid single premium policies continues to grow, all other things equal, our weighted average premium rates on new insurance written in the future will decrease. If we reduce or discount prices on any premium plan in response to future price competition, it may further decrease our weighted average premium rates.

Our losses incurred from our risk in force have declined in recent years in part due to the improving economy and the run-off of the insurance policies we wrote before the financial crisis, both of which resulted in fewer defaulted loans, as well as an improved cure rate on defaulted loans. Our losses incurred were \$496.1 million in 2014, compared to \$838.7 million in 2013 and \$2.1 billion in 2012. Although rescissions materially reduced our incurred losses in 2009 and 2010, they had no significant impact on our losses incurred in 2011 through 2014, other than a 2012 reduction in the rescission benefit in loss reserves of \$0.2 billion due to probable rescission settlement agreements. Although our loss reserves as of December 31, 2014 continued to be impacted by expected rescission activity, the impact was less than as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and significantly less than as of December 31, 2011, in part due to the run-off of insurance policies we wrote between 2005-2008 and the effects of rescission settlement agreements we entered into.

The circumstances in which we are entitled to rescind coverage have narrowed for insurance we have written in recent years. During the second quarter of 2012, we began writing a portion of our new insurance under an endorsement to our then existing master policy (the “Gold Cert Endorsement”), which limited our ability to rescind coverage compared to that master policy. To comply with requirements of the GSEs, in 2014 we introduced a new master policy. Our rescission rights under our new master policy are comparable to those under our previous master policy, as modified by the Gold Cert Endorsement, but may be further narrowed if the GSEs permit modifications to them. All of our primary new insurance on loans with mortgage insurance application dates on or after October 1, 2014, will be written under our new master policy. As of December 31, 2014, approximately 29% of our flow, primary insurance in force was written under our Gold Cert Endorsement or our new master policy.

Although loan modification programs continued to mitigate our losses in 2014, the number of completed loan modifications in 2014 was somewhat less than in 2013 and 2012. We currently expect new loan modifications will continue to only modestly mitigate our losses in 2015. For more information, see the risk factor titled “Loan modifications and other similar programs may not continue to provide benefits to us and our losses on loans that re-default can be higher than what we would have paid had the loan not been modified” in Item 1A.

Private mortgage insurers are subject to comprehensive, detailed regulation by state insurance departments. The insurance laws of 16 jurisdictions, including Wisconsin, our domiciliary state, require a mortgage insurer to maintain a minimum amount of statutory capital relative to the risk in force (or a similar measure) in order for the mortgage insurer to continue to write new business. We refer to these requirements as the “State Capital Requirements.” While they vary among jurisdictions, currently the most common State Capital Requirements allow for a maximum risk-to-capital ratio of 25 to 1. Wisconsin does not regulate capital by using a risk-to-capital measure but instead requires a minimum policyholder position (“MPP”). The “policyholder position” of a mortgage insurer is its net worth or surplus, contingency reserve and a portion of the reserves for unearned premiums.

During part of 2012 and 2013, MGIC’s risk-to-capital ratio exceeded 25 to 1. We funded MGIC Indemnity Corporation (“MIC”), a direct subsidiary of MGIC, to write new business in jurisdictions where MGIC no longer met, and was not able to obtain a waiver of, the State Capital Requirements. In the third quarter of 2012, we began writing new mortgage insurance in MIC in those jurisdictions. In March 2013, our holding company issued additional equity and convertible debt securities and transferred \$800 million to increase MGIC’s capital. As a result, later in 2013, MGIC was again able to write new business in all jurisdictions and MIC suspended writing new business. At December 31, 2014, MGIC’s risk-to-capital ratio was 14.6 to 1, below the maximum allowed by the jurisdictions with State Capital Requirements, and its policyholder position was \$673 million above the required MPP of \$1.0 billion.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”) previously announced that it plans to revise the minimum capital and surplus requirements for mortgage insurers that are provided for in its Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Model Act. A working group of state regulators is considering this issue, although no date has been established by which the NAIC must propose changes to such requirements.

Due to the changing environment described above, as well as other factors discussed below, at this time we are facing the following significant uncertainties:

- Whether we will comply with the new GSE Financial Requirements when they become effective and, therefore, may continue to write insurance on new residential mortgage loans that are sold to the GSEs. For additional information about this uncertainty, see Note 1 – “Nature of Business – Capital” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 and our risk factor titled “We may not continue to meet the GSEs’ mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and our returns may decrease if we are required to maintain significantly more capital in order to maintain our eligibility” in Item 1A.
- Whether competition from other mortgage insurers, the FHA and VA will result in a loss of our market share, a decrease in our revenues as a result of price competition or an increase in our losses as a result of the effects of competition on underwriting guidelines. For additional information about this uncertainty, see our risk factor titled “Competition or changes in our relationships with our customers could reduce our revenues, reduce our premium yields and/or increase our losses” in Item 1A.
- Whether private mortgage insurance will remain a significant credit enhancement alternative for low down payment single family mortgages. A possible restructuring or change in the charters of the GSEs could significantly affect our business. For additional information about this uncertainty, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Overview — GSE Reform” in Item 7 and our risk factor titled “Changes in the business practices of the GSEs, federal legislation that changes their charters or a restructuring of the GSEs could reduce our revenues or increase our losses” in Item 1A.

### ***General Information About Our Company***

We are a Wisconsin corporation organized in 1984. Our principal office is located at MGIC Plaza, 250 East Kilbourn Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202 (telephone number (414) 347-6480).

As used in this annual report, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to MGIC Investment Corporation’s consolidated operations. The discussion of our business in this document generally does not apply to our Australian operations, which have historically been immaterial. The results of our operations in Australia are included in the consolidated results disclosed. For information about our Australian operations, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Overview — Australia” in Item 7.

Our revenues and losses may be materially affected by the risk factors applicable to us that are included in Item 1A of this annual report. These risk factors are an integral part of this annual report. These risk factors may also cause actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by forward looking statements that we may make. Forward looking statements consist of statements which relate to matters other than historical fact. Among others, statements that include words such as we “believe,” “anticipate” or “expect,” or words of similar import, are forward looking statements. We are not undertaking any obligation to update any forward looking statements or other statements we may make even though these statements may be affected by events or circumstances occurring after the forward looking statements or other statements were made. No reader of this annual report should rely on these statements being current at any time other than the time at which this annual report was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## B. Our Products and Services

### *Mortgage Insurance*

In general, there are two principal types of private mortgage insurance: “primary” and “pool.” In our industry, a “book” is a group of loans that a mortgage insurer insures in a particular period, normally a calendar year. We refer to the insurance that has been written by MGIC (including MIC for portions of 2012 and 2013) as the “MGIC Book.”

**Primary Insurance.** Primary insurance provides mortgage default protection on individual loans and covers a percentage of the unpaid loan principal, delinquent interest and certain expenses associated with the default and subsequent foreclosure or sale approved by us (collectively, the “claim amount”). In addition to the loan principal, the claim amount is affected by the mortgage note rate and the time necessary to complete the foreclosure or sale process, which over the past several years has been lengthened, in part, due to new loss mitigation protocols established by servicers and to changes in some state foreclosure laws that may include, for example, a requirement for additional review and/or mediation processes. The insurer generally pays the coverage percentage of the claim amount specified in the primary policy, but has the option to pay 100% of the claim amount and acquire title to the property. Primary insurance is generally written on first mortgage loans secured by owner occupied single-family homes, which are one-to-four family homes and condominiums. Primary insurance can be written on first liens secured by non-owner occupied single-family homes, which are referred to in the home mortgage lending industry as investor loans, and on vacation or second homes. Primary coverage can be used on any type of residential mortgage loan instrument approved by the mortgage insurer.

References in this document to amounts of insurance written or in force, risk written or in force and other historical data related to our insurance refer only to direct (before giving effect to reinsurance) primary insurance, unless otherwise indicated. Primary insurance may be written on a flow basis, in which loans are insured in individual, loan-by-loan transactions, or may be written on a bulk basis, in which each loan in a portfolio of loans is individually insured in a single, bulk transaction. New primary insurance written was \$33.4 billion in 2014, compared to \$29.8 billion in 2013 and \$24.1 billion in 2012.

The following table shows, on a direct basis, primary insurance in force (the unpaid principal balance of insured loans as reflected in our records) and primary risk in force (the coverage percentage applied to the unpaid principal balance) for the MGIC Book as of the dates indicated.

**Primary Insurance and Risk In Force**

	<b>December 31,</b>				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(In billions)				
Direct Primary Insurance In Force	\$ 164.9	158.7	\$ 162.1	\$ 172.9	\$ 191.3
Direct Primary Risk In Force	\$ 42.9	41.1	\$ 41.7	\$ 44.5	\$ 49.0

For loans sold to Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, the coverage percentage must comply with the requirements established by the particular GSE to which the loan is delivered. The GSEs have different loan purchase programs that allow different levels of mortgage insurance coverage. Under the “charter coverage” program, on certain loans lenders may choose a mortgage insurance coverage percentage that is less than the GSEs’ “standard coverage” and only the minimum required by the GSEs’ charters, with the GSEs paying a lower price for such loans. In 2014, nearly all of our volume was on loans with GSE standard or higher coverage.

For loans that are not sold to the GSEs, the lender determines the coverage percentage from those that we offer. Higher coverage percentages generally result in increased severity, which is the amount paid on a claim, and lower coverage percentages generally result in decreased severity. We charge higher premium rates for higher coverage percentages. However, there can be no assurance that the higher premium rates adequately reflect the risks associated with higher coverage percentages. In accordance with GAAP for the mortgage insurance industry, reserves for losses are only established for loans in default. Because, historically, relatively few defaults occur in the early years of a book of business, the higher premium revenue from higher coverage has historically been recognized before any significant higher losses resulting from that higher coverage may be incurred. For more information, see “- Exposure to Catastrophic Loss; Defaults; Claims; Loss Mitigation - Claims.”

In general, mortgage insurance coverage cannot be terminated by the insurer. However, subject to any restrictions, such as are in our Gold Cert Endorsement or our revised master policy, we may terminate or rescind coverage for, among other reasons, non-payment of premium, and in the case of fraud, certain material misrepresentations made in connection with the application for the insurance policy or if the loan was never eligible for coverage under our policy. For more information, see “— Exposure to Catastrophic Loss; Defaults; Claims; Loss Mitigation — Loss Mitigation.” Mortgage insurance coverage is renewable at the option of the insured lender, at the renewal rate fixed when the loan was initially insured. Lenders may cancel insurance written on a flow basis at any time at their option or because of mortgage repayment, which may be accelerated because of the refinancing of mortgages. In the case of a loan purchased by Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae, a borrower meeting certain conditions may require the mortgage servicer to cancel insurance upon the borrower’s request when the principal balance of the loan is 80% or less of the home’s current value.

Mortgage insurance for loans secured by one-family, primary residences can be canceled under the federal Homeowners Protection Act (the “HPA”). In general, the HPA requires a servicer to cancel the mortgage insurance if a borrower requests cancellation when the principal balance of the loan is first scheduled to reach 80% of the original value, or reaches that percentage through payments, if 1) the borrower is current on the loan and has a “good payment history” (as defined by the HPA), 2) the value of the property has not declined below the original value, and 3) if required by the mortgage owner, the borrower’s equity in the property is not subject to a subordinate lien. Additionally, the HPA requires mortgage insurance to terminate automatically when the principal balance of the loan is first scheduled to reach 78% of the original value and the borrower is current on loan payments or thereafter becomes current. Annually, servicers must inform borrowers of their right to cancel or terminate mortgage insurance. The provisions of the HPA described above apply only to borrower paid mortgage insurance, which is described below.

Coverage tends to continue for borrowers experiencing economic difficulties and living in areas experiencing housing price depreciation. The persistency of coverage for those borrowers coupled with cancellation of coverage for other borrowers can increase the percentage of an insurer’s portfolio comprised of loans with more credit risk. This development can also occur during periods of heavy mortgage refinancing because borrowers experiencing property value appreciation are less likely to require mortgage insurance at the time of refinancing, while borrowers not experiencing property value appreciation are more likely to continue to require mortgage insurance at the time of refinancing or not qualify for refinancing at all (including if they have experienced economic difficulties) and thus remain subject to the mortgage insurance coverage.

The percentage of primary new insurance written with respect to loans representing refinances was 13% in 2014, compared to 26% in 2013 and 36% in 2012. When a borrower refinances a mortgage loan insured by us by paying it off in full with the proceeds of a new mortgage that is also insured by us, the insurance on that existing mortgage is cancelled, and insurance on the new mortgage is considered to be new primary insurance written. Therefore, continuation of our coverage from a refinanced loan to a new loan results in both a cancellation of insurance and new insurance written. When a lender and borrower modify a loan rather than replace it with a new one, or enter into a new loan pursuant to a loan modification program, our insurance continues without being cancelled, assuming that we consent to the modification or new loan. As a result, such modifications or new loans, including those modified under HARP, are not included in our new insurance written.

In addition to varying with the coverage percentage, our premium rates for insurance vary depending upon the perceived risk of a claim on the insured loan and thus take into account, among other things, the loan-to-value ratio, the borrower’s credit score, whether the loan is a fixed payment loan or a non-fixed payment loan (a non-fixed payment loan is referred to in the home mortgage lending industry as an adjustable rate mortgage), the mortgage term and whether the property is the borrower’s primary residence. Prior to 2010, only our premium rates for A-, subprime loans and certain other loans varied based on the borrower’s credit score. See footnote 2 to the table titled “Default Statistics for the MGIC Book” in “ — Exposure to Catastrophic Loss; Defaults; Claims; Loss Mitigation — Defaults” below for the definitions of A-, subprime and reduced documentation loans, as such terms are used in this annual report.

Premium rates cannot be changed after the issuance of coverage. Because we believe that over the long term each region of the United States is subject to similar factors affecting risk of loss on insurance written, we generally utilize a nationally based, rather than a regional or local, premium rate policy. However, depending upon regional economic conditions, we have made, and may make, changes to our underwriting requirements to implement more restrictive standards in certain markets and for loan characteristics that we categorize as higher risk.

The borrower's mortgage loan instrument may require the borrower to pay the mortgage insurance premium. Our industry refers to the related mortgage insurance as "borrower paid." If the borrower is not required to pay the premium and mortgage insurance is required in connection with the origination of the loan, then the premium is paid by the lender, who may recover the premium through an increase in the note rate on the mortgage or higher origination fees. Our industry refers to mortgage insurance on such loans as "lender paid." Most of our primary insurance in force is borrower paid mortgage insurance.

There are several payment plans available to the borrower, or lender, as the case may be. Under the single premium plan, the borrower or lender pays us in advance a single payment covering a specified term exceeding twelve months. Under the monthly premium plan, the borrower or lender pays us a monthly premium payment to provide only one month of coverage. Under the annual premium plan, an annual premium is paid to us in advance, and we earn and recognize the premium over the next twelve months of coverage, with annual renewal premiums paid in advance thereafter and earned over the subsequent twelve months of coverage.

During 2014, 2013 and 2012, the single premium plan represented approximately 15%, 10% and 9%, respectively, of our new insurance written. The monthly premium plan represented approximately 85%, 90% and 91%, respectively. The annual premium plan represented less than 1% of new insurance written in each of those years. As noted above, our single premium plan policies have increased in part as a result of the 2014 and 2013 reductions in our single premium rates and our selectively matching reduced rates being offered by competitors. We expect a higher percentage of business from single premium plans, including lender-paid single premium plans, in 2015. Currently, we expect to receive less lifetime premium from a new lender-paid single premium policy than we would from a new borrower-paid monthly premium policy. As the percentage of our new business represented by lender-paid single premium policies continues to grow, all other things equal, our weighted average premium rates on new insurance written in the future will decrease. If we reduce or discount prices on any premium plan in response to future price competition, it may further decrease our weighted average premium rates.

**Pool Insurance.** Pool insurance is generally used as an additional "credit enhancement" for certain secondary market mortgage transactions. Pool insurance generally covers the excess of the loss on a defaulted mortgage loan which exceeds the claim payment under the primary coverage, if primary insurance is required on that mortgage loan, as well as the total loss on a defaulted mortgage loan which did not require primary insurance. Pool insurance may have a stated aggregate loss limit for a pool of loans and may also have a deductible under which no losses are paid by the insurer until losses on the pool of loans exceed the deductible.

We have written no new pool risk since 2009, however, for a variety of reasons, including responding to capital market alternatives to private mortgage insurance and customer demands, we may write pool risk in the future. Our direct pool risk in force was \$0.8 billion (\$0.3 billion on pool policies with aggregate loss limits and \$0.5 billion on pool policies without aggregate loss limits) at December 31, 2014, compared to \$1.0 billion (\$0.4 billion on pool policies with aggregate loss limits and \$0.6 billion on pool policies without aggregate loss limits) at December 31, 2013, and \$1.3 billion (\$0.4 billion on pool policies with aggregate loss limits and \$0.9 billion on pool policies without aggregate loss limits) at December 31, 2012.

**Wall Street Bulk Transactions.** In the fourth quarter of 2007, we stopped writing bulk insurance for loans that served as collateral in home equity securitizations (we refer to these as “Wall Street bulk transactions”). These securitizations represented approximately 6% of our risk in force at December 31, 2014. In general, the loans insured by us in Wall Street bulk transactions consisted of loans with reduced underwriting documentation, cash out refinances that exceed the standard underwriting requirements of the GSEs, A- loans, subprime loans and jumbo loans. A jumbo loan has an unpaid principal balance that exceeds the conforming loan limit. The conforming loan limit is the maximum unpaid principal amount of a mortgage loan that can be purchased by the GSEs. For more information about conforming loan limits, see footnote 4 to the table titled “Characteristics of Primary Risk in Force” in “— Risk in Force and Product Characteristics of Risk in Force” below.

### **Geographic Dispersion**

The following tables reflect the percentage of primary risk in force in the top 10 states and top 10 core-based statistical areas for the MGIC Book at December 31, 2014:

#### **Dispersion of Primary Risk in Force**

##### Top 10 States

1.	California	7.7%
2.	Texas	6.5
3.	Florida	5.9
4.	Pennsylvania	5.2
5.	Ohio	4.7
6.	Illinois	4.0
7.	Michigan	3.7
8.	New York	3.6
9.	Washington	3.4
10.	Georgia	3.3
Total		<u>48.0%</u>

**Top 10 Core-Based Statistical Areas**

1.	Chicago-Naperville-Joliet	2.7%
2.	Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta	2.3
3.	Houston-Baytown-Sugarland	2.1
4.	Washington-Arlington-Alexandria	1.9
5.	Philadelphia	1.9
6.	Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale	1.9
7.	Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington	1.8
8.	Seattle-Bellevue-Everett	1.5
9.	Denver-Aurora-Broomfield	1.5
10.	Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro	1.5
<b>Total</b>		<b>19.1%</b>

The percentages shown above for various core-based statistical areas can be affected by changes, from time to time, in the federal government's definition of a core-based statistical area.

**Insurance In Force by Policy Year**

The following table sets forth for the MGIC Book the dispersion of our primary insurance in force as of December 31, 2014, by year(s) of policy origination since we began operations in 1985:

**Primary Insurance In Force by Policy Year**

<u>Policy Year</u>	Total (In millions)	Percent of Total
1985-2003	\$ 5,590	3.4%
2004	4,440	2.7
2005	8,520	5.2
2006	13,333	8.1
2007	28,311	17.2
2008	15,654	9.5
2009	4,979	3.0
2010	4,199	2.5
2011	6,177	3.8
2012	16,868	10.2
2013	25,269	15.3
2014	31,578	19.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 164,918</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Risk In Force and Product Characteristics of Risk in Force**

At each of December 31, 2014 and 2013, 98% of our risk in force was primary insurance and the remaining risk in force was pool insurance. The following table sets forth for the MGIC Book the dispersion of our primary risk in force as of December 31, 2014, by year(s) of policy origination since we began operations in 1985:

**Primary Risk In Force by Policy Year**

<u>Policy Year</u>	<u>Total (In millions)</u>	<u>Percent of Total</u>
1985-2003	\$ 1,573	3.7%
2004	1,276	2.9
2005	2,422	5.6
2006	3,634	8.5
2007	7,285	17.0
2008	3,938	9.2
2009	1,133	2.6
2010	1,098	2.5
2011	1,627	3.8
2012	4,375	10.2
2013	6,523	15.2
2014	8,062	18.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 42,946</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

The following table reflects at the dates indicated the (1) total dollar amount of primary risk in force for the MGIC Book and (2) percentage of that primary risk in force, as determined on the basis of information available on the date of mortgage origination, by the categories indicated.

## Characteristics of Primary Risk in Force

	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Primary Risk in Force (In Millions):	\$ 42,946	\$ 41,060
Loan-to-value ratios: <sup>(1)</sup>		
95.01% and above	18.7%	22.1%
90.01-95%	44.5	39.6
85.01-90%	30.4	31.3
80.01-85%	4.6	4.9
80% and below	1.8	2.1
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Loan Type:		
Fixed <sup>(2)</sup>	93.8%	95.0%
Adjustable rate mortgages (“ARMs”) <sup>(3)</sup>	6.2	5.0
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Original Insured Loan Amount: <sup>(4)</sup>		
Conforming loan limit and below	96.1%	95.4%
Non-conforming	3.9	4.6
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Mortgage Term:		
15-years and under	3.1%	3.3%
Over 15 years	96.9	96.7
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Property Type:		
Single-family detached	87.0%	90.9%
Condominium/Townhouse/Other attached	12.3	8.4
Other <sup>(5)</sup>	0.7	0.7
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Occupancy Status:		
Owner occupied	96.4%	95.9%
Second home	2.3	2.4
Investor property	1.3	1.7
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Documentation:		
Reduced documentation <sup>(6)</sup>	4.8%	5.8%
Full documentation	95.2	94.2
Total	100.0%	100.0%
FICO Score: <sup>(7)</sup>		
Prime (FICO 620 and above)	94.4%	93.3%
A Minus (FICO 575 – 619)	4.3	5.1
Subprime (FICO below 575)	1.3	1.6
Total	100.0%	100.0%

(1) Loan-to-value ratio represents the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of the dollar amount of the first mortgage loan to the value of the property at the time the loan became insured and does not reflect subsequent housing price appreciation or depreciation. Subordinate mortgages may also be present.

(2) Includes fixed rate mortgages with temporary buydowns (where in effect the applicable interest rate is typically reduced by one or two percentage points during the first two years of the loan), ARMs in which the initial interest rate is fixed for at least five years and balloon payment mortgages (a loan with a maturity, typically five to seven years, that is shorter than the loan’s amortization period).

- (3) Includes ARMs where payments adjust fully with interest rate adjustments. Also includes pay option ARMs and other ARMs with negative amortization features, which collectively at December 31, 2014 and 2013, represented 0.9% and 1.1%, respectively, of primary risk in force. As indicated in note (2), does not include ARMs in which the initial interest rate is fixed for at least five years. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, ARMs with loan-to-value ratios in excess of 90% represented 0.9% and 1.1%, respectively, of primary risk in force.
- (4) Loans within the conforming loan limit have an original principal balance that does not exceed the maximum original principal balance of loans that the GSEs are eligible to purchase. The conforming loan limit, for one unit properties, is subject to annual adjustment and was \$417,000 for 2007 and early 2008; this amount was temporarily increased to up to \$729,500 in the most costly communities in early 2008 and remained at such level through September 30, 2011. The limit was decreased to \$417,000 although it remains \$625,500 in high cost communities for loans originated after September 30, 2011. Non-conforming loans are loans with an original principal balance above the conforming loan limit.
- (5) Includes cooperatives and manufactured homes deemed to be real estate.
- (6) Reduced documentation loans, many of which are commonly referred to as “Alt-A” loans, are originated under programs in which there is a reduced level of verification or disclosure compared to traditional mortgage loan underwriting, including programs in which the borrower’s income and/or assets are disclosed in the loan application but there is no verification of those disclosures and programs in which there is no disclosure of income or assets in the loan application. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, reduced documentation loans represented 4.8% and 5.8% respectively, of primary risk in force. In accordance with industry practice, loans approved by GSE and other automated underwriting (AU) systems under “doc waiver” programs that do not require verification of borrower income are classified by us as “full documentation.” Based in part on information provided by the GSEs, we estimate full documentation loans of this type were approximately 4% of 2007 new insurance written. Information for other periods is not available. We understand these AU systems grant such doc waivers for loans they judge to have higher credit quality. We also understand that the GSEs terminated their “doc waiver” programs in the second half of 2008.
- (7) Represents the FICO score at loan origination. The weighted average “decision FICO score” at loan origination for new insurance written in 2014 and 2013 was 743 and 752, respectively. The FICO credit score for a loan with multiple borrowers is the lowest of the borrowers’ decision FICO scores. A borrower’s “decision FICO score” is determined as follows: if there are three FICO scores available, the middle FICO score is used; if two FICO scores are available, the lower of the two is used; if only one FICO score is available, it is used. A FICO credit score is a score based on a borrower’s credit history generated by a model developed by Fair Isaac Corporation.

#### ***Other Products and Services***

***Contract Underwriting.*** A non-insurance subsidiary of ours performs contract underwriting services for lenders. In performing those services, we underwrite loans to conform to prescribed guidelines. The guidelines might be the lender’s own guidelines or the guidelines of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or a non-GSE investor. These services are provided for loans that require private mortgage insurance as well as for loans that do not require private mortgage insurance. The complaint in the RESPA litigation that we settled in 2003, which litigation is referred to in our risk factor titled “We are involved in legal proceedings and are subject to the risk of additional legal proceedings in the future” in Item 1A, alleged, among other things, that the pricing of contract underwriting provided by us violated RESPA.

Under our contract underwriting agreements, we may be required to provide certain remedies to our customers if certain standards relating to the quality of our underwriting work are not met, and we have an established reserve for such future obligations. The contract remedy expense of the subsidiary performing the contract underwriting services was approximately \$4 million, \$5 million and \$27 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Claims for remedies may be made a number of years after the underwriting work was performed.

**Other.** We provide various fee-based services for the mortgage finance industry, such as analysis of loan originations, loan portfolios and servicing portfolios; training; and mortgage lead generation.

***Reinsurance Agreements.***

At December 31, 2014, approximately 61% of our insurance in force is subject to reinsurance agreements, compared to 55% at December 31, 2013. For the fourth quarter of 2014 approximately 87% of our new insurance written was subject to reinsurance agreements, compared to 92% in the fourth quarter of 2013.

**External Reinsurance.** In April 2013, we entered into a quota share reinsurance agreement with a group of unaffiliated reinsurers. These reinsurers are not captive reinsurers. The April 2013 agreement applies to new insurance written between April 1, 2013 and December 31, 2015 (with certain exclusions) and covers incurred losses, with renewal premium through December 31, 2018. Early termination is possible under specified scenarios. The structure of the reinsurance agreement is a 30% quota share, with a 20% ceding commission as well as a profit commission. In December 2013, we entered into an Addendum to that quota share agreement that includes a 40% quota share that applies to certain insurance written before April 1, 2013. Although reinsuring against possible loan losses does not discharge us from liability to a policyholder, it may reduce the amount of capital we are required to retain against potential future losses for rating agency and insurance regulatory purposes. Although our quota share reinsurance agreement has been approved by the GSEs, we do not expect it would be given full credit under the GSE Financial Requirements when they become effective. We have been in discussions with the participating reinsurers regarding modifications to the agreement so that we would receive additional credit under the GSE Financial Requirements. If MGIC is disallowed full credit under either the GSE Financial Requirements or the State Capital Requirements, MGIC may terminate the agreement, without penalty.

**Captive Reinsurance.** In a captive reinsurance arrangement, the reinsurer is affiliated with the lender for whom MGIC provides mortgage insurance. Since June 2005, various state and federal regulators have conducted investigations or requested information regarding captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements in which we participated, in part, in order to consider compliance with the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA"). In 2013, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida approved a settlement between MGIC and the CFPB that resolved federal investigation of MGIC's participation in captive reinsurance arrangements in the mortgage insurance industry. The settlement concludes the investigation with respect to MGIC without the CFPB or the court making any findings of wrongdoing. Three other mortgage insurers agreed to similar settlements. As part of the settlements, MGIC and the other mortgage insurers agreed that they would not enter into any new captive reinsurance agreement or reinsure any new loans under any existing captive reinsurance agreement for a period of ten years. In accordance with this settlement, all of our active captive arrangements have been placed into run-off.

We received requests from the Minnesota Department of Commerce (the “MN Department”) beginning in February 2006 regarding captive mortgage reinsurance and certain other matters in response to which MGIC has provided information on several occasions, including as recently as May 2011. In August 2013, MGIC and several competitors received a draft Consent Order from the MN Department containing proposed conditions to resolve its investigation, including unspecified penalties. We are engaged in discussions with the MN Department regarding the draft Consent Order. We also received a request in June 2005 from the New York Department of Financial Services for information regarding captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements and other types of arrangements in which lenders receive compensation. Other insurance departments or other officials, including attorneys general, may also seek information about, investigate, or seek remedies regarding captive mortgage reinsurance.

Seven mortgage insurers, including MGIC, were involved in litigation alleging that “inflated” captive reinsurance premiums were paid in violation of RESPA. MGIC’s settlement of this class action litigation against it became final in October 2003. Since December 2006, class action litigation has been brought against a number of large lenders alleging that their captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements violated RESPA. Beginning in December 2011, MGIC, together with various mortgage lenders and other mortgage insurers, has been named as a defendant in twelve lawsuits, alleged to be class actions, filed in various U.S. District Courts. The complaints in all of the cases allege various causes of action related to the captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements of the mortgage lenders, including that the lenders’ captive reinsurers received excessive premiums in relation to the risk assumed by those captives, thereby violating RESPA. Seven of those cases had been dismissed prior to February 2015 without any further opportunity to appeal. Of the remaining five cases, three were dismissed with prejudice in February 2015 pursuant to stipulations of dismissal from the plaintiffs, and the remaining two cases are expected to be dismissed with prejudice in connection with plaintiffs’ stipulations in such cases. There can be no assurance that we will not be subject to further litigation under RESPA or that the outcome of any such litigation, including the lawsuits mentioned above, would not have a material adverse effect on us.

For further information about our reinsurance agreements, see Note 11, “Reinsurance,” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

### **Customers**

Originators of residential mortgage loans such as savings institutions, commercial banks, mortgage brokers, credit unions, mortgage bankers and other lenders have historically determined the placement of mortgage insurance written on a flow basis and as a result are our customers. To obtain primary insurance from us written on a flow basis, a mortgage lender must first apply for and receive a mortgage guaranty master policy from us. Our top 10 customers, none of whom represented more than 10% of our consolidated revenues, generated 19.5% of our new insurance written on a flow basis in 2014, compared to 23.0% in 2013 and 24.8% in 2012. Our largest customer accounted for approximately 4% of our flow new insurance written in 2014 compared to approximately 7% in 2013. Our relationships with our customers could be adversely affected by a variety of factors, including tightening of and adherence to our underwriting requirements, which have resulted in our declining to insure some of the loans originated by our customers and insurance rescissions that affect the customer. Information about some of the other factors that can affect a mortgage insurer’s relationship with its customers can be found in our risk factor titled “Competition or changes in our relationships with our customers could reduce our revenues, reduce our premium yields and/or increase our losses” in Item 1A.

## **Sales and Marketing and Competition**

**Sales and Marketing.** We sell our insurance products through our own employees, located throughout all regions of the United States and in Puerto Rico.

**Competition.** Our competition includes other mortgage insurers, governmental agencies and products designed to eliminate the need to purchase private mortgage insurance. For flow business, we and other private mortgage insurers compete directly with federal and state governmental and quasi-governmental agencies, principally the FHA and the VA. These agencies sponsor government-backed mortgage insurance programs, which during 2014, 2013 and 2012 accounted for approximately 59.4%, 63.5% and 68.1%, respectively, of the total low down payment residential mortgages which were subject to governmental or primary private mortgage insurance. For more information about the market share of the FHA and VA, see “Overview of the Private Mortgage Insurance Industry and its Operating Environment” above.

In addition to competition from the FHA and the VA, we and other private mortgage insurers face competition from state-supported mortgage insurance funds in several states, including California and New York. From time to time, other state legislatures and agencies consider expanding the authority of their state governments to insure residential mortgages.

The private mortgage insurance industry is highly competitive. We believe that we currently compete with other private mortgage insurers based on pricing, underwriting requirements, financial strength, customer relationships, name recognition, reputation, the strength of our management team and field organization, the ancillary products and services provided to lenders (including contract underwriting services), the depth of our databases covering insured loans and the effective use of technology and innovation in the delivery and servicing of our mortgage insurance products.

The U.S. private mortgage insurance industry currently consists of seven active mortgage insurers and their affiliates. The names of these mortgage insurers can be found in our risk factor titled “Competition or changes in our relationships with our customers could reduce our revenues, reduce our premium yields and/or increase our losses” in Item 1A. Until 2010 the mortgage insurance industry had not had new entrants in many years. Since 2010, two new public companies were formed and began writing business and a worldwide insurer and reinsurer with mortgage insurance operations in Europe completed the purchase of a competitor and is currently writing business. At December 31, 2014, we had the third largest book of direct primary insurance in force. According to Inside Mortgage Finance, through 2010, we had been the largest private mortgage insurer (as measured by new insurance written) for more than ten years. In 2014, we had the third largest market share (as measured by new insurance written) and it was 19.8% in 2014, compared to 16.4% in 2013 and 18.4% in 2012, in each case excluding HARP refinances.

The mortgage insurance industry historically viewed a financial strength rating of Aa3/AA- as critical to writing new business, in part because it was required in order to maintain the highest level of eligibility with the GSEs. At the time that this annual report was finalized, the financial strength of MGIC was rated Ba3 (with a stable outlook) by Moody's Investors Service and BB+ (with a stable outlook) by Standard & Poor's Rating Services. As a result of MGIC's financial strength rating being below Aa3/AA-, it has been operating with each GSE as an eligible insurer under a remediation plan. As noted above, the GSEs are revising their mortgage insurer eligibility requirements including by replacing the financial strength rating requirements with the GSE Financial Standards. For further information about the importance of MGIC's capital, see our risk factor titled "We may not continue to meet the GSEs' mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and our returns may decrease if we are required to maintain significantly more capital in order to maintain our eligibility" in Item 1A. Depending on the evolution of housing finance reform, the level of issuances of non-GSE mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") may increase in the future. Financial strength ratings may be considered by issuers of non-GSE MBS in determining whether to purchase private mortgage insurance for loans supporting such securities. In assigning financial strength ratings, in addition to considering the adequacy of the mortgage insurer's capital to withstand very high claim scenarios under assumptions determined by the rating agency, we believe rating agencies review a mortgage insurer's historical and projected operating performance, franchise risk, business outlook, competitive position, management, corporate strategy, and other factors. The rating agency issuing the financial strength rating can withdraw or change its rating at any time.

### ***Risk Management***

We believe that mortgage credit risk is materially affected by:

- the borrower's credit profile, including the borrower's credit history, debt-to-income ratios and cash reserves, and the willingness of a borrower with sufficient resources to make mortgage payments when the mortgage balance exceeds the value of the home;
- the loan product, which encompasses the loan-to-value ratio, the type of loan instrument, including whether the instrument provides for fixed or variable payments and the amortization schedule, the type of property and the purpose of the loan;
- origination practices of lenders and the percentage of coverage on insured loans;
- the size of insured loans; and
- the condition of the economy, including housing values and employment, in the area in which the property is located.

We believe that, excluding other factors, claim incidence increases:

- for loans to borrowers with lower FICO credit scores compared to loans to borrowers with higher FICO credit scores;

- for loans with less than full underwriting documentation compared to loans with full underwriting documentation;
- during periods of economic contraction and housing price depreciation, including when these conditions may not be nationwide, compared to periods of economic expansion and housing price appreciation;
- for loans with higher loan-to-value ratios compared to loans with lower loan-to-value ratios;
- for ARMs when the reset interest rate significantly exceeds the interest rate of loan origination;
- for loans that permit the deferral of principal amortization compared to loans that require principal amortization with each monthly payment;
- for loans in which the original loan amount exceeds the conforming loan limit compared to loans below that limit; and
- for cash out refinance loans compared to rate and term refinance loans.

Other types of loan characteristics relating to the individual loan or borrower may also affect the risk potential for a loan. The presence of a number of higher-risk characteristics in a loan materially increases the likelihood of a claim on such a loan unless there are other characteristics to lower the risk.

We charge higher premium rates to reflect the increased risk of claim incidence that we perceive is associated with a loan, although not all higher risk characteristics are reflected in the premium rate. There can be no assurance that our premium rates adequately reflect the increased risk, particularly in a period of economic recession, high unemployment, slowing home price appreciation or housing price declines. For additional information, see our risk factors in Item 1A, including the one titled “The premiums we charge may not be adequate to compensate us for our liabilities for losses and as a result any inadequacy could materially affect our financial condition and results of operations.”

Beginning in late 2007 and into 2008, we implemented a series of changes to our underwriting requirements that were designed to improve the risk profile of our new business. The changes primarily affected borrowers who had multiple risk factors such as a high loan-to-value ratio, a lower FICO score and limited documentation or are financing a home in a market we categorized as higher risk. Beginning in September 2009, we have made changes to our underwriting requirements that have allowed certain loans to be eligible for insurance that were not eligible prior to those changes and we expect to continue to make changes in appropriate circumstances in the future.

**Delegated Underwriting and Automated Underwriting.** In the past, we allowed approved lenders to commit us to insure loans originated through the flow channel using their own underwriting guidelines that we had pre-approved. Subsequently, some lenders developed their own automated underwriting systems. After we reviewed such systems, we agreed to allow certain lenders to commit us to insure loans that their systems approved. From 2000 through January 2007, the use of automated underwriting systems by the GSEs and lenders increased materially. During this same period, we allowed loans approved by the automated underwriting systems of the GSEs and certain approved lenders to be automatically approved for MGIC mortgage insurance. As a result, during this period, a substantial majority of the loans insured by us through the flow channel were approved as a result of loan approvals by automated underwriting systems. Beginning in 2007 and continuing through 2012, loans would not automatically be insured by us even though the loans were approved by the underwriting systems described above. Beginning in 2013, we liberalized our underwriting guidelines somewhat, in part through aligning most of our underwriting requirements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac for loans that receive and are processed in accordance with certain approval recommendations from a GSE automated underwriting system. As a result of the liberalization of our underwriting requirements, the migration of marginally lower FICO business from the FHA to us (which we believe also occurred for other private mortgage insurers) and other factors, our business written in the last several quarters is expected to have a somewhat higher claim incidence than business written in recent years. However, we believe this business presents an acceptable level of risk.

Most applications for mortgage insurance are submitted to us electronically and we rely upon the lender's representations and warranties that the data submitted is true and correct when making our insurance decision. In the case of electronic submissions, a lender transmits application data to us through a variety of electronic interfaces and all submitted data is electronically evaluated against our underwriting requirements. If the loan meets the underwriting requirements, a commitment to insure the loan is issued. If the requirements are not met, the loan is reviewed by one of our underwriters. Substantially all of the remaining applications are accompanied by documents from the lender's loan origination file. Data is entered from those applications and electronically evaluated against our underwriting requirements, in addition to a review of the documents by our underwriters. If the loan meets the underwriting requirements, a commitment to insure the loan is issued. Our underwriters are authorized to approve loans that do not meet all of our underwriting requirements, including after discussing the loan with the lender. Together, the number of loans for which underwriting exceptions were made accounted for fewer than 2% of the loans we insured in each of 2013 and 2014.

### ***Exposure to Catastrophic Loss; Defaults; Claims; Loss Mitigation***

**Exposure to Catastrophic Loss.** The private mortgage insurance industry has from time to time experienced catastrophic losses similar to the losses we have experienced in recent years. For background information about the current cycle of such losses, refer to "General – Overview of Private Mortgage Insurance Industry and its Operating Environment" above. To the extent our premium yield materially declines without either a corresponding decrease in our risk written or achieving other benefits, we become less likely to be able to withstand the occurrence of a catastrophic loss scenario. Prior to the most recent cycle of such losses, the last time that private mortgage insurers experienced substantial losses was in the mid-to-late 1980s. From the 1970s until 1981, rising home prices in the United States generally led to profitable insurance underwriting results for the industry and caused private mortgage insurers to emphasize market share. To maximize market share, until the mid-1980s, private mortgage insurers employed liberal underwriting practices, and charged premium rates which, in retrospect, generally did not adequately reflect the risk assumed, particularly on pool insurance. These industry practices compounded the losses which resulted from changing economic and market conditions which occurred during the early and mid-1980s, including (1) severe regional recessions and attendant declines in property values in the nation's energy producing states; (2) the lenders' development of new mortgage products to defer the impact on home buyers of double digit mortgage interest rates; and (3) changes in federal income tax incentives which initially encouraged the growth of investment in non-owner occupied properties.

**Defaults.** The claim cycle on private mortgage insurance generally begins with the insurer’s receipt of notification of a default on an insured loan from the lender. We consider a loan to be in default when it is two or more payments past due. Most lenders report delinquent loans to us within this two month period. The incidence of default is affected by a variety of factors, including the level of borrower income growth, unemployment, divorce and illness, the level of interest rates, rates of housing price appreciation or depreciation and general borrower creditworthiness. Defaults that are not cured result in a claim to us. See “- Claims.” Defaults may be cured by the borrower bringing current the delinquent loan payments or by a sale of the property and the satisfaction of all amounts due under the mortgage. In addition, when a policy is rescinded or a claim is denied we remove the default from our default inventory.

The following table shows the number of primary and pool loans insured in the MGIC Book, including A- and subprime loans, the related number of loans in default and the percentage of loans in default, or default rate, as of December 31, 2010-2014:

**Default Statistics for the MGIC Book**

	December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
<b>PRIMARY INSURANCE</b>					
Insured loans in force	968,748	960,163	1,006,346	1,090,086	1,228,315
Loans in default <sup>(1)</sup>	79,901	103,328	139,845	175,639	214,724
Default rate – all loans	8.25%	10.76%	13.90%	16.11%	17.48%
Prime loans in default <sup>(2)</sup>	50,307	65,724	90,270	112,403	134,787
Default rate – prime loans	5.82%	7.82%	10.44%	12.20%	13.11%
A-minus loans in default <sup>(2)</sup>	13,021	16,496	20,884	25,989	31,566
Default rate – A-minus loans	27.61%	30.41%	32.92%	35.10%	36.69%
Subprime loans in default <sup>(2)</sup>	5,228	6,391	7,668	9,326	11,132
Default rate – subprime loans	35.20%	38.70%	40.78%	43.60%	45.66%
Reduced documentation loans delinquent <sup>(3)</sup>	11,345	14,717	21,023	27,921	37,239
Default rate – reduced doc loans	27.08%	30.41%	35.23%	37.96%	41.66%
<b>POOL INSURANCE</b>					
Insured loans in force <sup>(4)</sup>	62,869	87,584	119,061	374,228	468,361
Loans in default	3,797	6,563	8,594	32,971	43,329
Percentage of loans in default (default rate)	6.04%	7.49%	7.22%	8.81%	9.25%

General Notes:

- (a) Servicers continue to pay our premiums for nearly all of the loans in our default inventory, but in some cases, servicers stop paying our premiums. In those cases, even though the loans continue to be included in our default inventory, the applicable loans are removed from our insured loans in force. Loans where servicers have stopped paying premiums include 4,074 defaults with risk in force of \$205 million as of December 31, 2014.

- (b) During the 4th quarter of 2011 we conducted a review of our single life of loan policies and concluded that approximately 21,000 of these policies were no longer in force, and as a result we canceled these policies with insurance in force of approximately \$2.3 billion and risk in force of approximately \$0.5 billion.
- (1) At December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010, 4,746, 6,948, 11,731, 12,610 and 20,898 loans in default, respectively, were in our claims received inventory.
- (2) We define prime loans as those having FICO credit scores of 620 or greater, A-minus loans as those having FICO credit scores of 575-619, and subprime credit loans as those having FICO credit scores of less than 575, all as reported to MGIC at the time a commitment to insure is issued. In this annual report we classify loans without complete documentation as “reduced documentation” loans regardless of FICO credit score rather than as prime, “A-” or “subprime” loans; in the table above, such loans appear only in the reduced documentation category and they do not appear in any of the other categories.
- (3) In accordance with industry practice, loans approved by GSE and other automated underwriting (AU) systems under “doc waiver” programs that do not require verification of borrower income are classified by us as “full documentation.” Based in part on information provided by the GSEs, we estimate full documentation loans of this type were approximately 4% of 2007 new insurance written. Information for other periods is not available. We understand these AU systems grant such doc waivers for loans they judge to have higher credit quality. We also understand that the GSEs terminated their “doc waiver” programs in the second half of 2008.
- (4) The number of loans insured under pool policies declined significantly from 2011 to 2012, partly due to the cancellation of certain pool policies due to the exhaustion of their aggregate loss limits.

Different areas of the United States may experience different default rates due to varying localized economic conditions from year to year. The following table shows the percentage of primary loans we insured that were in default as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 for the 15 states for which we paid the most losses during 2014:

#### State Default Rates

	December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Florida	17.66%	27.48%	36.49%
Illinois	10.28	14.28	20.12
California	5.87	8.22	13.79
Maryland	12.80	17.08	20.59
Pennsylvania	8.26	10.06	11.84
Ohio	6.48	8.46	10.76
New Jersey	18.72	21.87	24.76
Washington	5.59	8.26	13.25
Georgia	8.15	10.67	14.68
Michigan	5.45	7.43	10.35
New York	14.97	16.56	17.48
North Carolina	7.34	9.91	12.91
Arizona	5.66	8.45	14.63
Nevada	13.68	20.06	30.32
Wisconsin	5.07	6.27	8.65
All other states	7.05	8.75	10.62

The primary default inventory in those same states as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appears in a table found in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Results of Consolidated Operations – Losses – Losses Incurred,” in Item 7.

**Claims.** Claims result from defaults that are not cured or a short sale that we approve. Whether a claim results from an uncured default depends, in large part, on the borrower's equity in the home at the time of default, the borrower's or the lender's ability to sell the home for an amount sufficient to satisfy all amounts due under the mortgage and the willingness and ability of the borrower and lender to enter into a loan modification that provides for a cure of the default. Various factors affect the frequency and amount of claims, including local housing prices and employment levels, and interest rates. If a default goes to claim, any premium collected from the time of default to time of the claim payment is returned to the servicer along with the claim payment.

Under the terms of our master policy, the lender is required to file a claim for primary insurance with us within 60 days after it has acquired title to the underlying property (typically through foreclosure). Until a few years ago, it took, on average, approximately twelve months for a default that is not cured to develop into a paid claim. Over the past several years, the average time it takes to receive a claim associated with a default has increased. This is, in part, due to new loss mitigation protocols established by servicers and to changes in some state foreclosure laws that may include, for example, a requirement for additional review and/or mediation processes. It is difficult to estimate how long it may take for current and future defaults that do not cure to develop into paid claims.

Within 60 days after a claim has been filed and all documents required to be submitted to us have been delivered, we have the option of either (1) paying the coverage percentage specified for that loan, with the insured retaining title to the underlying property and receiving all proceeds from the eventual sale of the property (we have elected this option for the vast majority of claim payments in the recent past), or (2) paying 100% of the claim amount in exchange for the lender's conveyance of good and marketable title to the property to us. After we receive title to properties, we sell them for our own account. If we fail to pay a claim timely, we would be subject to additional interest expense.

Claim activity is not evenly spread throughout the coverage period of a book of primary business. Relatively few claims are typically received during the first two years following issuance of coverage on a loan. This is typically followed by a period of rising claims which, based on industry experience, has historically reached its highest level in the third and fourth years after the year of loan origination. Thereafter, the number of claims typically received has historically declined at a gradual rate, although the rate of decline can be affected by conditions in the economy, including slowing home price appreciation or housing price depreciation. Moreover, when a loan is refinanced, because the new loan replaces, and is a continuation of, an earlier loan, the pattern of claims frequency for that new loan may be different from the historical pattern for other loans. Persistency, the condition of the economy, including unemployment, and other factors can affect the pattern of claim activity. For example, a weak economy can lead to claims from older books increasing, continuing at stable levels or experiencing a lower rate of decline. As of December 31, 2014, 45% of our primary insurance in force was written subsequent to December 31, 2011, 48% was written subsequent to December 31, 2010, and 51% was written subsequent to December 31, 2009. See "Our Products and Services - Mortgage Insurance - Insurance In Force by Policy Year" above.

Another important factor affecting MGIC Book losses is the amount of the average claim size, which is generally referred to as claim severity. The primary average claim paid on the MGIC Book was \$45,596 in 2014, compared to \$46,375 in 2013, \$48,722 in 2012, \$49,887 in 2011 and \$50,173 in 2010. The main determinants of claim severity are the amount of the mortgage loan, the coverage percentage on the loan, loss mitigation efforts and local market conditions.

Information about net claims we paid during 2014, 2013 and 2012 appears in the table below.

Net paid claims (In millions)	2014	2013	2012
Prime (FICO 620 & >)	\$ 755	\$ 1,163	\$ 1,558
A-Minus (FICO 575-619)	124	179	235
Subprime (FICO < 575)	38	50	65
Reduced doc (All FICOs) (1)	157	219	372
Pool (2)	84	104	334
Other (3)	1	107	5
Direct losses paid	\$ 1,159	\$ 1,822	\$ 2,569
Reinsurance	(34)	(61)	(90)
Net losses paid	\$ 1,125	\$ 1,761	\$ 2,479
LAE	29	36	45
Net losses and LAE before terminations	\$ 1,154	\$ 1,797	\$ 2,524
Reinsurance terminations	-	(3)	(6)
Net losses and LAE paid	\$ 1,154	\$ 1,794	\$ 2,518

- (1) In this annual report we classify loans without complete documentation as “reduced documentation” loans regardless of FICO credit score rather than as prime, “A-” or “subprime” loans; in the table above, such loans appear only in the reduced documentation category and they do not appear in any of the other categories.
- (2) 2014, 2013 and 2012 include \$42 million, \$42 million and \$100 million, respectively, paid under the terms of the settlement with Freddie Mac as discussed under Note 9 – “Loss Reserves” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.
- (3) 2013 includes \$105 million associated with the implementation of the Countrywide settlement as discussed in Note 20 – “Litigation and Contingencies” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Primary claims paid for the top 15 states (based on 2014 paid claims, excluding payments associated with the Countrywide settlement) and all other states for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appear in a table found in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Results of Consolidated Operations – Losses – Losses Incurred,” in Item 7.

From time to time, proposals to give bankruptcy judges the authority to reduce mortgage balances in bankruptcy cases have been made. Such reductions are sometimes referred to as bankruptcy cramdowns. A bankruptcy cramdown is not an event that entitles an insured party to make a claim under our insurance policy. If a borrower ultimately satisfies his or her mortgage after a bankruptcy cramdown, then our insurance policies provide that we would not be required to pay any claim. Under our insurance policies, however, if a borrower re-defaults on a mortgage after a bankruptcy cramdown, the claim we would be required to pay would be based upon the original, unreduced loan balance. We are not aware of any bankruptcy cramdown proposals that would change these provisions of our insurance policies. Unless a lender has obtained our prior approval, if a borrower’s mortgage loan balance is reduced outside the bankruptcy context, including in association with a loan modification, and if the borrower re-defaults after such a reduction, then under the terms of our policy the amount we would be responsible to cover would be calculated net of the reduction.

**Loss Mitigation.** Before paying a claim, we review the loan and servicing files to determine the appropriateness of the claim amount. All of our insurance policies provide that we can reduce or deny a claim if the servicer did not comply with its obligations under our insurance policy, including the requirement to mitigate our loss by performing reasonable loss mitigation efforts or, for example, diligently pursuing a foreclosure or bankruptcy relief in a timely manner. We call such reduction of claims submitted to us “curtailments.” In 2014 and 2013, curtailments reduced our average claim paid by approximately 6.7% and 5.8%, respectively. In addition, the claims submitted to us sometimes include costs and expenses not covered by our insurance policies, such as hazard insurance premiums for periods after the claim date and losses resulting from property damage that has not been repaired. These other adjustments reduced claim amounts by less than the amount of curtailments. After we pay a claim, servicers and insureds sometimes object to our curtailments and other adjustments. We review these objections if they are sent to us within 90 days after the claim was paid.

When reviewing the loan file associated with a claim, we may determine that we have the right to rescind coverage on the loan. Prior to 2008, rescissions of coverage on loans and claim denials, which we collectively refer to as “rescissions” and variations of this term, were not a material portion of our claims resolved during a year. However, beginning in 2008, our rescissions of coverage on loans have materially mitigated our paid losses, however, the percentage of claims that have been resolved through rescission has significantly declined. We expect that the percentage of claims that will be resolved through rescissions will continue to decline. For further information, see our risk factor titled “We are involved in legal proceedings and are subject to the risk of additional legal proceedings in the future” in Item 1A.

When we rescind coverage, we return all premiums previously paid to us under the policy and are relieved of our obligation to pay a claim under the policy. If the insured disputes our right to rescind coverage, we generally engage in discussions in an attempt to settle the dispute. As part of those discussions, we may voluntarily suspend rescissions we believe may be part of a settlement. In 2011, Freddie Mac advised its servicers that they must obtain its prior approval for rescission settlements, Fannie Mae advised its servicers that they are prohibited from entering into such settlements, and Fannie Mae notified us that we must obtain its prior approval to enter into certain settlements. Since those announcements, the GSEs have consented to our settlement agreements with two customers, one of which is Countrywide, as discussed below, and have rejected other settlement agreements. We have reached and implemented settlement agreements that do not require GSE approval, but they have not been material in the aggregate.

If we are unable to reach a settlement, the outcome of the dispute ultimately would be determined by legal proceedings. Under our policies in effect prior to October 1, 2014, legal proceedings disputing our right to rescind coverage may be brought up to three years after the lender has obtained title to the property (typically through a foreclosure) or the property was sold in a sale that we approved, whichever is applicable, and under our master policy effective October 1, 2014, such proceedings may be brought up two years from the date of the notice of rescission. In a few jurisdictions there is a longer time to bring such proceedings.

Until a liability associated with a settlement agreement or litigation becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated, we consider our claim payment or rescission resolved for financial reporting purposes even though discussions and legal proceedings have been initiated and are ongoing. Under Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 450-20, an estimated loss from such discussions and proceedings is accrued for only if we determine that the loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated.

As noted in the risk factor titled “We are involved in legal proceedings and are subject to the risk of additional legal proceedings in the future,” in Item 1A, in 2013, we entered into two agreements to resolve our dispute with Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (“CHL”) and its affiliate, Bank of America, N.A., as successor to Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP (“BANA” and collectively with CHL, “Countrywide”) regarding rescissions. The Agreement with BANA covers loans purchased by the GSEs. That original agreement was implemented beginning in November 2013 and we resolved all related suspended rescissions in November and December 2013 by paying the associated claim or processing the rescission. The agreement with CHL covers loans that were purchased by non-GSE investors, including securitization trusts (the “other investors”). That agreement will be implemented only as and to the extent that it is consented to by or on behalf of the other investors. While there can be no assurance that the agreement with CHL will be implemented, we have determined that its implementation is probable.

The estimated impact of the BANA and CHL agreements and other probable settlements has been recorded in our financial statements. The estimated impact that we recorded for probable settlements is our best estimate of our loss from these matters. We estimate that the maximum exposure above the best estimate provision we recorded is \$626 million, of which about 60% is related to claims paying practices subject to the agreement with CHL and previously disclosed curtailment matters with Countrywide. If we are not able to implement the agreement with CHL or the other settlements we consider probable, we intend to defend MGIC vigorously against any related legal proceedings.

The flow policies at issue with Countrywide are in the same form as the flow policies that we used with all of our customers during the period covered by the Agreements, and the bulk policies at issue vary from one another, but are generally similar to those used in the majority of our Wall Street bulk transactions.

We are involved in discussions and legal proceedings with customers with respect to our claims paying practices. Although it is reasonably possible that, when these discussions or legal proceedings are completed, we will not prevail in all cases, we are unable to make a reasonable estimate of the potential liability. We estimate the maximum exposure associated with these discussions and legal proceedings to be approximately \$16 million, although we believe we will ultimately resolve these matters for significantly less than this amount.

The estimates of our maximum exposure referred to above do not include interest or consequential or exemplary damages.

Our rescissions involve inaccurate information or fraud committed, regarding a borrower's income, debts or intention to occupy the property, a faulty appraisal, negligence in the origination of the loan, or a failure to provide us with documentation we request under our policy (we use this documentation to investigate whether a claim must be paid). We do not expect future rescissions will be a significant portion of the claims we resolve over the next few years.

The circumstances in which we are entitled to rescind coverage have narrowed for insurance we have written in recent years. During the second quarter of 2012, we began writing a portion of our new insurance under the Gold Cert Endorsement, which limited our ability to rescind coverage compared to our master policy in effect at that time. Our rescission rights under our new master policy introduced in 2014 are comparable to those under our previous master policy, as modified by the Gold Cert Endorsement, but may be further narrowed if the GSEs permit modifications to them.

One of the loss mitigation techniques available to us is obtaining a deficiency judgment against the borrower and attempting to recover some or all of the paid claim from the borrower. Various factors, including state laws that limit or eliminate our ability to pursue deficiency judgments and borrowers' financial conditions, have limited our recoveries in recent years to less than one-half of 1% of our paid claims.

#### ***Loss Reserves and Premium Deficiency Reserve***

A significant period of time typically elapses between the time when a borrower defaults on a mortgage payment, which is the event triggering a potential future claim payment by us, the reporting of the default to us, the acquisition of the property by the lender (typically through foreclosure) or the sale of the property with our approval, and the eventual payment of the claim related to the uncured default or a rescission. To recognize the liability for unpaid losses related to outstanding reported defaults, or default inventory, we establish loss reserves. Loss reserves are established by estimating the number of loans in our default inventory that will result in a claim payment, which is referred to as the claim rate, and further estimating the amount of the claim payment, which is referred to as claim severity. Our loss reserve estimates are established based upon historical experience, including rescission activity. In accordance with GAAP for the mortgage insurance industry, we generally do not establish loss reserves for future claims on insured loans that are not currently in default.

We also establish reserves to provide for the estimated costs of settling claims, general expenses of administering the claims settlement process, legal fees and other fees ("loss adjustment expenses"), and for losses and loss adjustment expenses from defaults that have occurred, but which have not yet been reported to us.

Our reserving process bases our estimates of future events on our past experience. However, estimation of loss reserves is inherently judgmental and conditions that have affected the development of the loss reserves in the past may not necessarily affect development patterns in the future, in either a similar manner or degree. For further information, see our risk factors in Item 1A, including the ones titled “Because we establish loss reserves only upon a loan default rather than based on estimates of our ultimate losses on risk in force, losses may have a disproportionate adverse effect on our earnings in certain periods,” and “Because loss reserve estimates are subject to uncertainties, paid claims may be substantially different than our loss reserves.”

After our reserves are initially established, we perform premium deficiency tests using best estimate assumptions as of the testing date. We establish a premium deficiency reserve, if necessary, when the present value of expected future losses and expenses exceeds the present value of expected future premiums and already established reserves. In the fourth quarter of 2007, we recorded a premium deficiency reserve of \$1.2 billion relating to Wall Street bulk transactions remaining in our insurance in force. As of December 31, 2014, this premium deficiency reserve was \$24 million.

## **C. Investment Portfolio**

### ***Policy and Strategy***

At December 31, 2014, the fair value of our investment portfolio was approximately \$4.6 billion. In addition, at December 31, 2014, our total assets included approximately \$215 million of cash and cash equivalents. At December 31, 2014, of our portfolio plus cash and cash equivalents, approximately \$491 million was held at our parent company and the remainder was held by our subsidiaries, primarily MGIC.

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 73% of our investment portfolio (excluding cash and cash equivalents) is managed by Wellington Management Company, LLP, although we maintain overall control of investment policy and strategy. We maintain direct management of the remainder of our investment portfolio. Unless otherwise indicated, the remainder of the discussion of our investment portfolio refers to our investment portfolio only and not to cash and cash equivalents.

Our current investment policy emphasizes preservation of capital. Therefore, our investment portfolio consists almost entirely of high-quality, investment grade, fixed-income securities. Our investment portfolio strategy encompasses tax efficiency. The mix of tax-exempt municipal securities in our investment portfolio will increase with sustained profitability of the company. The goal is maintain or grow net investment income through a combination of investment income and tax advantages. Also, our investment policies and strategies are subject to change depending upon regulatory, economic and market conditions and our existing or anticipated financial condition and operating requirements.

Our investment policies in effect at December 31, 2014 limit investments in the securities of a single issuer, other than the U.S. government, and generally limit the purchase of fixed income securities to those that are rated investment grade by at least one rating agency. They also limit the amount of investment in foreign governments and foreign domiciled securities and in any individual foreign country. The aggregate market value of the holdings of a single obligor, or type of investment, as applicable, is limited to:

U.S. government securities	No limit
Pre-refunded municipals escrowed in Treasury securities	No limit
U.S. government agencies (in total) <sup>(1)</sup>	15% of portfolio market value
Securities rated “AA” or “AAA”	3% of portfolio market value
Securities rated “BBB” or “A”	2% of portfolio market value
Foreign governments & foreign domiciled securities (in total)	10% of portfolio market value
Individual AAA rated foreign countries	3% of portfolio market value
Individual below AAA rated foreign countries	1% of portfolio market value

(1) As used with respect to our investment portfolio, U.S. government agencies include GSEs (which, in the sector table below are included as part of U.S. Treasuries) and Federal Home Loan Banks.

At December 31, 2014, approximately 83% of our total fixed income investment portfolio was invested in securities rated “A” or better, with 31% rated “AAA” and 17% rated “AA,” in each case by at least one nationally recognized securities rating organization. For information related to the portion of our investment portfolio that is insured by financial guarantors, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Financial Condition” in Item 7.

### **Investment Operations**

At December 31, 2014, the sectors of our investment portfolio were as shown in the table below:

	Percentage of Portfolio’s Fair Value
1. Corporate	58.8%
2. Taxable Municipals	16.3
3. U.S. Treasuries	7.5
4. Asset Backed	7.3
5. GNMA Pass-through Certificates	7.0
6. Tax-Exempt Municipals	1.5
7. Foreign Governments	0.8
8. Escrowed/Prerefunded Municipals	0.7
9. Other	0.1
	100.0%

We had no derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. Securities due within up to one year, after one year and up to five years, after five years and up to ten years, and after ten years, represented 7%, 48%, 25% and 20%, respectively, of the total fair value of our investment in debt securities. Our pre-tax yield was 2.2%, 1.7% and 1.7% for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Our ten largest holdings at December 31, 2014 appear in the table below:

	Fair Value (In thousands)
1. JP Morgan Chase	\$ 73,922
2. Morgan Stanley	59,139
3. Goldman Sachs Group Inc	50,929
4. General Electric Capital Corp	45,460
5. Bear Stearns Commercial Mortgage	41,728
6. Met Life	40,329
7. America Honda Finance	35,995
8. American Express Credit	32,803
9. Amazon.com	31,299
10. New York NY	31,058
	<u>\$ 442,662</u>

Note: This table excludes securities issued by U.S. government, U.S. government agencies, GSEs and the Federal Home Loan Banks.

For further information concerning investment operations, see Note 6, "Investments," to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

## D. Regulation

### *Direct Regulation*

We are subject to comprehensive, detailed regulation by state insurance departments. These regulations are principally designed for the protection of our insured policyholders, rather than for the benefit of investors. Although their scope varies, state insurance laws generally grant broad supervisory powers to agencies or officials to examine insurance companies and enforce rules or exercise discretion affecting almost every significant aspect of the insurance business. Given the recent significant losses incurred by many insurers in the mortgage and financial guaranty industries, our insurance subsidiaries have been subject to heightened scrutiny by insurance regulators. In November 2013, the NAIC presented for discussion proposed changes to its Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Model Act. In connection with that, the NAIC announced that it plans to revise the minimum capital and surplus requirements for mortgage insurers, although it has not established a date by which it must make proposals to revise such requirements.

The CFPB was established by the Dodd-Frank Act to regulate the offering and provision of consumer financial products or services under federal law. The CFPB's 2014 rules implementing laws that require mortgage lenders to make ability-to-pay determinations prior to extending credit affected the characteristics of loans being originated and the volume of loans available to be insured. We are uncertain whether the CFPB will issue any other rules or regulations that affect our business apart from any action it may take as a result of its investigation of captive mortgage reinsurance. Such rules and regulations could have a material adverse effect on us.

In general, regulation of our subsidiaries' business relates to:

- licenses to transact business;
- policy forms;
- premium rates;
- insurable loans;
- annual and other reports on financial condition;
- the basis upon which assets and liabilities must be stated;
- requirements regarding contingency reserves equal to 50% of premiums earned;
- minimum capital levels and adequacy ratios;
- reinsurance requirements;
- limitations on the types of investment instruments which may be held in an investment portfolio;
- the size of risks and limits on coverage of individual risks which may be insured;
- deposits of securities;
- limits on dividends payable; and
- claims handling.

Most states also regulate transactions between insurance companies and their parents or affiliates and have restrictions on transactions that have the effect of inducing lenders to place business with the insurer. For a description of limits on dividends payable to us from MGIC, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis—Liquidity and Capital Resources" in Item 7 and Note 16, "Dividend restrictions," to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Mortgage insurance premium rates are also subject to state regulation to protect policyholders against the adverse effects of excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory rates and to encourage competition in the insurance marketplace. Any increase in premium rates must be justified, generally on the basis of the insurer's loss experience, expenses and future trend analysis. The general mortgage default experience may also be considered. Premium rates are subject to review and challenge by state regulators. See our risk factors "We may not continue to meet the GSEs' mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and our returns may decrease if we are required to maintain significantly more capital in order to maintain our eligibility" and "State Capital requirements may prevent us from continuing to write new insurance on an uninterrupted basis" in Item 1A, for information about regulations governing our capital adequacy and our expectations regarding our future capital position. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Capital" in Item 7 for information about our current capital position.

We are required to establish statutory accounting contingency loss reserves in an amount equal to 50% of net earned premiums. These amounts cannot be withdrawn for a period of 10 years, except as permitted by insurance regulations. With regulatory approval a mortgage guaranty insurance company may make early withdrawals from the contingency reserve when incurred losses exceed 35% of net premiums earned in a calendar year. For further information, see Note 17, “Statutory capital,” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Mortgage insurers are generally single-line companies, restricted to writing residential mortgage insurance business only. Although we, as an insurance holding company, are prohibited from engaging in certain transactions with MGIC, MIC or our other insurance subsidiaries without submission to and, in some instances, prior approval of applicable insurance departments, we are not subject to insurance company regulation on our non-insurance businesses.

Wisconsin’s insurance regulations generally provide that no person may acquire control of us unless the transaction in which control is acquired has been approved by the Office of the Commissioner of the State of Wisconsin (the “OCI”). The regulations provide for a rebuttable presumption of control when a person owns or has the right to vote more than 10% of the voting securities. In addition, the insurance regulations of other states in which MGIC is licensed require notification to the state’s insurance department a specified time before a person acquires control of us. If regulators in these states disapprove the change of control, our licenses to conduct business in the disapproving states could be terminated. For further information about regulatory proceedings applicable to us and our industry, see “We are involved in legal proceedings and are subject to the risk of additional legal proceedings in the future” in Item 1A.

As the most significant purchasers and sellers of conventional mortgage loans and beneficiaries of private mortgage insurance, Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae impose requirements on private mortgage insurers in order for them to be eligible to insure loans sold to the GSEs. These requirements are subject to change from time to time. Currently, MGIC is an approved mortgage insurer for both Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae but its longer term eligibility could be negatively affected as discussed, under “We may not continue to meet the GSEs’ mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and our returns may decrease if we are required to maintain significantly more capital in order to maintain our eligibility” in Item 1A.

The FHFA is the conservator of the GSEs and has the authority to control and direct their operations. The increased role that the federal government has assumed in the residential mortgage market through the GSE conservatorship may increase the likelihood that the business practices of the GSEs change in ways that have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, these factors may increase the likelihood that the charters of the GSEs are changed by new federal legislation. The Dodd-Frank Act required the U.S. Department of the Treasury to report its recommendations regarding options for ending the conservatorship of the GSEs. This report was released in February 2011 and while it does not provide any definitive timeline for GSE reform, it does recommend using a combination of federal housing policy changes to wind down the GSEs, shrink the government's footprint in housing finance (including FHA insurance), and help bring private capital back to the mortgage market. Since then members of Congress introduced several bills intended to scale back the GSEs; however, no legislation has been enacted. As a result of the matters referred to above, it is uncertain what role the GSEs, FHA and private capital, including private mortgage insurance, will play in the domestic residential housing finance system in the future or the impact of any such changes on our business. In addition, the timing of the impact of any resulting changes on our business is uncertain. Most meaningful changes would require Congressional action to implement and it is difficult to estimate when Congressional action would be final and how long any associated phase-in period may last. For additional information about the potential impact that any such changes in the GSE's roles may have on us, see the risk factor titled "Changes in the business practices of the GSEs, federal legislation that changes their charters or a restructuring of the GSEs could reduce our revenues or increase our losses" in Item 1A.

In December 2013, the U.S. Treasury Department's Federal Insurance Office released a report that calls for federal standards and oversight for mortgage insurers to be developed and implemented. It is uncertain what form the standards and oversight will take and when and if they will become effective.

### ***Indirect Regulation***

We are also indirectly, but significantly, impacted by regulations affecting purchasers of mortgage loans, such as Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae, and regulations affecting governmental insurers, such as the FHA and the VA, and lenders. See our risk factor titled "Changes in the business practices of the GSEs, federal legislation that changes their charters or a restructuring of the GSEs could reduce our revenues or increase our losses" in Item 1A for a discussion of how potential changes in the GSEs' business practices could affect us. Private mortgage insurers, including MGIC, are highly dependent upon federal housing legislation and other laws and regulations to the extent they affect the demand for private mortgage insurance and the housing market generally. From time to time, those laws and regulations have been amended to affect competition from government agencies. Proposals are discussed from time to time by Congress and certain federal agencies to reform or modify the FHA and the Government National Mortgage Association, which securitizes mortgages insured by the FHA.

Subject to certain exceptions, in general, RESPA prohibits any person from giving or receiving any "thing of value" pursuant to an agreement or understanding to refer settlement services. For additional information, see our risk factor titled "We are involved in legal proceedings and are subject to the risk of additional legal proceedings in the future" in Item 1A.

The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve Board, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation have uniform guidelines on real estate lending by insured lending institutions under their supervision. The guidelines specify that a residential mortgage loan originated with a loan-to-value ratio of 90% or greater should have appropriate credit enhancement in the form of mortgage insurance or readily marketable collateral, although no depth of coverage percentage is specified in the guidelines.

Lenders are subject to various laws, including the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Community Reinvestment Act and the Fair Housing Act, and Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are subject to various laws, including laws relating to government sponsored enterprises, which may impose obligations or create incentives for increased lending to low and moderate income persons, or in targeted areas.

There can be no assurance that other federal laws and regulations affecting these institutions and entities will not change, or that new legislation or regulations will not be adopted which will adversely affect the private mortgage insurance industry. In this regard, see the risk factor titled “Changes in the business practices of the GSEs, federal legislation that changes their charters or a restructuring of the GSEs could reduce our revenues or increase our losses” in Item 1A.

#### **E. Employees**

At December 31, 2014, we had approximately 800 full- and part-time employees, of whom approximately 31% were assigned to our field offices. The number of employees given above does not include “on-call” employees. The number of “on-call” employees can vary substantially, primarily as a result of changes in demand for contract underwriting services. In recent years, the number of “on-call” employees has ranged from fewer than 70 to more than 220.

#### **F. Website Access**

We make available, free of charge, through our Internet website our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file these materials with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The address of our website is <http://mtg.mgic.com>, and such reports and amendments are accessible through the “Investor Information” and “Stockholder Information” links at such address.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

As used below, “we,” “our” and “us” refer to MGIC Investment Corporation’s consolidated operations or to MGIC Investment Corporation, as the context requires; “MGIC” refers to Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation; and “MIC” refers to MGIC Indemnity Corporation.

Our actual results could be affected by the risk factors below. These risk factors are an integral part of this annual report. These risk factors may also cause actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by forward looking statements that we may make. Forward looking statements consist of statements which relate to matters other than historical fact, including matters that inherently refer to future events. Among others, statements that include words such as “believe,” “anticipate,” “will” or “expect,” or words of similar import, are forward looking statements. We are not undertaking any obligation to update any forward looking statements or other statements we may make even though these statements may be affected by events or circumstances occurring after the forward looking statements or other statements were made. No reader of this annual report should rely on these statements being current at any time other than the time at which this annual report was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

***We may not continue to meet the GSEs’ mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and our returns may decrease if we are required to maintain significantly more capital in order to maintain our eligibility.***

Since 2008, substantially all of our insurance written has been for loans sold to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (the “GSEs”), each of which has mortgage insurer eligibility requirements. The existing eligibility requirements include a minimum financial strength rating of Aa3/AA-. Because MGIC does not meet the financial strength rating requirement (its financial strength rating from Moody’s is Ba3 (with a stable outlook) and from Standard & Poor’s is BB+ (with a stable outlook)), MGIC is currently operating with each GSE as an eligible insurer under a remediation plan.

In July 2014, the conservator of the GSEs, the Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”), released draft Private Mortgage Insurer Eligibility Requirements (“draft PMIERS”). The draft PMIERS include revised financial requirements for mortgage insurers (the “GSE Financial Requirements”) that require a mortgage insurer’s “Available Assets” (generally only the most liquid assets of an insurer) to meet or exceed “Minimum Required Assets” (which are based on an insurer’s book and are calculated from tables of factors with several risk dimensions and are subject to a floor amount).

The public input period for the draft PMIERS ended September 8, 2014. We currently expect the PMIERS to be published in final form no earlier than late in the first quarter of 2015 and the “effective date” to occur 180 days thereafter. Under the draft PMIERS, mortgage insurers would have up to two years after the final PMIERS are published to meet the GSE Financial Requirements (the “transition period”). A mortgage insurer that fails to certify by the effective date that it meets the GSE Financial Requirements would be subject to a transition plan having milestones for actions to achieve compliance. The transition plan would be submitted for the approval of each GSE within 90 days after the effective date, and if approved, the GSEs would monitor the insurer’s progress. During the transition period for an insurer with an approved transition plan, an insurer would be in remediation (a status similar to the one under which MGIC has been operating with the GSEs for over five years) and eligible to provide mortgage insurance on loans owned or guaranteed by the GSEs.

Shortly after the draft PMIERS were released, we estimated that we would have a shortfall in Available Assets of approximately \$600 million on December 31, 2014, which was when the final PMIERS were expected to be published. We also estimated that the shortfall would be reduced to approximately \$300 million through operations over a two year period. Those shortfall projections assumed the risk in force and capital of MGIC’s MIC subsidiary would be repatriated to MGIC, and full credit would be given in the calculation of Minimum Required Assets for our reinsurance agreement executed in 2013 (approximately \$500 million of credit at December 31, 2014, increasing to \$600 million of credit over two years). However, as we said at the time, we do not expect our existing reinsurance agreement would be given full credit under the PMIERS. Applying the same assumptions, but considering the delay in publication of the final PMIERS, our shortfall projections have improved modestly. Also, we have been in discussions with the participating reinsurers regarding modifications to the agreement so that we would receive additional PMIERS credit.

In addition to modifying our reinsurance agreement, we believe we will be able to use a combination of the alternatives outlined below so that MGIC will meet the GSE Financial Requirements of the draft PMIERS even if they are implemented as released. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$491 million of cash and investments at our holding company, a portion of which we believe may be available for future contribution to MGIC. Furthermore, there are regulated insurance affiliates of MGIC that have approximately \$100 million of assets as of December 31, 2014. We expect that, subject to regulatory approval, we would be able to use a material portion of these assets to increase the Available Assets of MGIC. Additionally, if the draft PMIERS are implemented as released, we would consider seeking non-dilutive debt capital to mitigate the shortfall. Factors that may negatively impact MGIC’s ability to comply with the GSE Financial Requirements within the transition period include the following:

- Changes in the actual PMIERS adopted from the draft PMIERS may increase the amount of MGIC’s Minimum Required Assets or reduce its Available Assets, with the result that the shortfall in Available Assets could increase;
- We may not obtain regulatory approval to transfer assets from MGIC’s regulated insurance affiliates to the extent we are assuming because regulators project higher losses than we project or require a level of capital be maintained in these companies higher than we are assuming;
- We may not be able to access the non-dilutive debt markets due to market conditions, concern about our creditworthiness, or other factors, in a manner sufficient to provide the funds we are assuming;
- We may not be able to achieve modifications in our existing reinsurance agreement necessary to minimize the reduction in the credit for reinsurance under the draft PMIERS;

- We may not be able to obtain additional reinsurance necessary to further reduce the Minimum Required Assets due to market capacity, pricing or other reasons (including disapproval of the proposed agreement by a GSE); and
- Our future operating results may be negatively impacted by the matters discussed in the rest of these risk factors. Such matters could decrease our revenues, increase our losses or require the use of assets, thereby increasing our shortfall in Available Assets.

There also can be no assurance that the GSEs would not make the GSE Financial Requirements more onerous in the future; in this regard, the draft PMIERS provide that the tables of factors that determine Minimum Required Assets may be updated to reflect changes in risk characteristics and the macroeconomic environment. If MGIC ceases to be eligible to insure loans purchased by one or both of the GSEs, it would significantly reduce the volume of our new business writings.

If we are required to increase the amount of Available Assets we hold in order to continue to insure GSE loans, the amount of capital we hold may increase. If we increase the amount of capital we hold with respect to insured loans, our returns may decrease unless we increase premiums. An increase in premium rates may not be feasible for a number of reasons, including competition from other private mortgage insurers, the Federal Housing Administration (“FHA”), the Veteran’s Administration (“VA”) or other credit enhancement products.

***The amount of insurance we write could be adversely affected if lenders and investors select alternatives to private mortgage insurance.***

Alternatives to private mortgage insurance include:

- lenders using government mortgage insurance programs, including those of the FHA and VA,
- lenders and other investors holding mortgages in portfolio and self-insuring,
- investors (including the GSEs) using risk mitigation techniques other than private mortgage insurance, such as obtaining insurance from non-mortgage insurers and engaging in credit-linked note transactions executed in the capital markets; using other risk mitigation techniques in conjunction with reduced levels of private mortgage insurance coverage; or accepting credit risk without credit enhancement, and
- lenders originating mortgages using piggyback structures to avoid private mortgage insurance, such as a first mortgage with an 80% loan-to-value ratio and a second mortgage with a 10%, 15% or 20% loan-to-value ratio (referred to as 80-10-10, 80-15-5 or 80-20 loans, respectively) rather than a first mortgage with a 90%, 95% or 100% loan-to-value ratio that has private mortgage insurance.

The FHA's market share substantially increased from 2008 to 2011, which we believe was due to a combination of factors including tightened underwriting guidelines of private mortgage insurers, increased loan level price adjustments of the GSEs, increased flexibility for the FHA to establish new products as a result of federal legislation and programs, and higher returns obtained by lenders for Ginnie Mae securitization of FHA-insured loans than for selling loans to Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac for securitization. The FHA's market share declined from 2011 to 2014, due to a combination of factors including changes to the prices and fees of the FHA, the GSEs and the private mortgage insurers. In January 2015, it was announced that the FHA would significantly reduce its annual mortgage insurance premiums. Absent any other changes, the reduction in FHA premiums will make private mortgage insurance less competitive with the FHA for borrowers with certain credit characteristics. However, we believe our pricing continues to be more attractive than the FHA's pricing for a substantial majority of borrowers with credit and loan characteristics similar to those whose loans we insured in 2014. We cannot predict how these factors will change in the future and we cannot predict whether the GSEs will reduce their fees, therefore, we cannot predict the FHA's share of new insurance written in the future.

From 2009 through 2012 the VA's market share increased and it has remained stable since 2012. We believe that the VA's market share increased as a result of offering 100% LTV loans, requiring a one-time funding fee that can be included in the loan amount but no additional monthly expense, and an increase in the number of borrowers that are eligible for the program. We do not expect any material changes in the VA market share in the future.

It is difficult to predict the FHA's and VA's future market share due to the factors discussed in our risk factor titled "*The amount of insurance we write could be adversely affected if lenders and investors select alternatives to private mortgage insurance.*"

***Competition or changes in our relationships with our customers could reduce our revenues, reduce our premium yields and/or increase our losses.***

Until 2010 the mortgage insurance industry had not had new entrants in many years. Since 2010, two new public companies were formed and began writing business and a worldwide insurer and reinsurer with mortgage insurance operations in Europe completed the purchase of a competitor and is currently writing business. Our private mortgage insurance competitors include:

- Arch Mortgage Insurance Company,
- Essent Guaranty, Inc.,
- Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corporation,
- National Mortgage Insurance Corporation,
- Radian Guaranty Inc., and
- United Guaranty Residential Insurance Company.

Historically, the level of competition within the private mortgage insurance industry has been intense and it is not expected to diminish given the presence of new entrants. Price competition has been present for some time: in the third quarter of 2014, we reduced many of our standard lender-paid single premium rates to match competition; and in the fourth quarter of 2013, we reduced all of our standard borrower-paid monthly premium rates and most of our standard single premium rates to match competition. Currently, we are seeing price competition in the form of lender-paid single premium programs customized for individual lenders with rates materially lower than those on the standard rate card. During most of 2013, when almost all of our single premium rates were above those most commonly used in the market, single premium policies were approximately 10% of our total new insurance written; they were approximately 15% in 2014 and we expect a higher percentage in 2015 primarily as a result of us selectively matching reduced rates. The premium from a single premium policy is collected upfront and generally earned over the estimated life of the policy. In contrast, premiums from a monthly premium policy are received and earned each month over the life of the policy. Depending on the actual life of a single premium policy and its premium rate relative to that of a monthly premium policy, a single premium policy may generate more or less premium than a monthly premium policy over its life. Currently, we expect to receive less lifetime premium from a new lender-paid single premium policy than we would from a new borrower-paid monthly premium policy. As a result of the recent increase in the percentage of our new insurance written from lender-paid single premium policies, our weighted average premium rate on new insurance written has decreased from 2013 to 2014. As the percentage of our new business represented by lender-paid single premium policies continues to grow, all other things equal, our weighted average premium rates on new insurance written in the future will decrease. If we reduce or discount prices on any premium plan in response to future price competition, it may further decrease our weighted average premium rates.

During 2013 and 2014, approximately 7% and 4%, respectively, of our new insurance written was for loans for which one lender was the original insured. Our relationships with our customers could be adversely affected by a variety of factors, including premium rates higher than can be obtained from competitors, tightening of and adherence to our underwriting requirements, which have resulted in our declining to insure some of the loans originated by our customers, and insurance rescissions that affect the customer. We have ongoing discussions with lenders who are significant customers regarding their objections to our rescissions.

In the past several years, we believe many lenders considered financial strength and compliance with the State Capital Requirements as important factors when selecting a mortgage insurer. Lenders may consider compliance with the GSE Financial Requirements important when selecting a mortgage insurer in the future. As noted above, we expect MGIC to be in compliance with the GSE Financial Requirements by the end of the transition period and we expect MGIC's risk-to-capital ratio to continue to comply with the current State Capital Requirements discussed below. However, we cannot assure you that we will comply with such requirements or that we will comply with any revised State Capital Requirements proposed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC"). For more information, see our risk factors titled *"We may not continue to meet the GSEs' mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and our returns may decrease if we are required to maintain significantly more capital in order to maintain our eligibility"* and *"State capital requirements may prevent us from continuing to write new insurance on an uninterrupted basis."*

We believe that financial strength ratings may be a significant consideration for participants seeking to secure credit enhancement in the non-GSE mortgage market, which includes most loans that are not “Qualified Mortgages” (for more information about “Qualified Mortgages,” see our risk factor titled “Changes in the business practices of the GSEs, federal legislation that changes their charters or a restructuring of the GSEs could reduce our revenues or increase our losses”). While this market has been limited since the financial crisis, it may grow in the future. The financial strength ratings of our insurance subsidiaries are lower than those of some competitors and below investment grade levels, therefore, we may be competitively disadvantaged with some market participants. For each of MGIC and MIC, the financial strength rating from Moody’s is Ba3 (with a stable outlook) and from Standard & Poor’s is BB+ (with a stable outlook). It is possible that MGIC’s and MIC’s financial strength ratings could decline from these levels. Our ability to participate in the non-GSE market could depend on our ability to secure investment grade ratings for our mortgage insurance subsidiaries.

If the GSEs no longer operate in their current capacities, for example, due to legislative or regulatory action, we may be forced to compete in a new marketplace in which financial strength ratings play a greater role. If we are unable to compete effectively in the current or any future markets as a result of the financial strength ratings assigned to our mortgage insurance subsidiaries, our future new insurance written could be negatively affected.

***Changes in the business practices of the GSEs, federal legislation that changes their charters or a restructuring of the GSEs could reduce our revenues or increase our losses.***

Since 2008, substantially all of our insurance written has been for loans sold to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The business practices of the GSEs affect the entire relationship between them, lenders and mortgage insurers and include:

- the level of private mortgage insurance coverage, subject to the limitations of the GSEs’ charters (which may be changed by federal legislation), when private mortgage insurance is used as the required credit enhancement on low down payment mortgages,
- the amount of loan level price adjustments and guaranty fees (which result in higher costs to borrowers) that the GSEs assess on loans that require mortgage insurance,
- whether the GSEs influence the mortgage lender’s selection of the mortgage insurer providing coverage and, if so, any transactions that are related to that selection,
- the underwriting standards that determine what loans are eligible for purchase by the GSEs, which can affect the quality of the risk insured by the mortgage insurer and the availability of mortgage loans,
- the terms on which mortgage insurance coverage can be canceled before reaching the cancellation thresholds established by law,
- the programs established by the GSEs intended to avoid or mitigate loss on insured mortgages and the circumstances in which mortgage servicers must implement such programs,

- the terms that the GSEs require to be included in mortgage insurance policies for loans that they purchase,
- the extent to which the GSEs intervene in mortgage insurers' rescission practices or rescission settlement practices with lenders. For additional information, see our risk factor titled "*We are involved in legal proceedings and are subject to the risk of additional legal proceedings in the future,*" and
- the maximum loan limits of the GSEs in comparison to those of the FHA and other investors.

The FHFA is the conservator of the GSEs and has the authority to control and direct their operations. The increased role that the federal government has assumed in the residential mortgage market through the GSE conservatorship may increase the likelihood that the business practices of the GSEs change in ways that have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, these factors may increase the likelihood that the charters of the GSEs are changed by new federal legislation. The financial reform legislation that was passed in July 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act" or "Dodd-Frank") required the U.S. Department of the Treasury to report its recommendations regarding options for ending the conservatorship of the GSEs. This report did not provide any definitive timeline for GSE reform; however, it did recommend using a combination of federal housing policy changes to wind down the GSEs, shrink the government's footprint in housing finance (including FHA insurance), and help bring private capital back to the mortgage market. Since then, Members of Congress introduced several bills intended to change the business practices of the GSEs and the FHA; however, no legislation has been enacted. As a result of the matters referred to above, it is uncertain what role the GSEs, FHA and private capital, including private mortgage insurance, will play in the domestic residential housing finance system in the future or the impact of any such changes on our business. In addition, the timing of the impact of any resulting changes on our business is uncertain. Most meaningful changes would require Congressional action to implement and it is difficult to estimate when Congressional action would be final and how long any associated phase-in period may last.

Dodd-Frank requires lenders to consider a borrower's ability to repay a home loan before extending credit. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB") rule defining "Qualified Mortgage" ("QM") for purposes of implementing the "ability to repay" law became effective in January 2014 and included a temporary category of QMs for mortgages that satisfy the general product feature requirements of QMs and meet the GSEs' underwriting requirements (the "temporary category"). The temporary category will phase out when the GSEs' conservatorship ends, or if sooner, on January 21, 2021.

Dodd-Frank requires a securitizer to retain at least 5% of the risk associated with mortgage loans that are securitized, and in some cases the retained risk may be allocated between the securitizer and the lender that originated the loan. In October 2014, a final rule implementing that requirement was released, which will become effective for asset-backed securities collateralized by residential mortgages on December 24, 2015. The final rule exempts securitizations of qualified residential mortgages (“QRMs”) from the risk retention requirement and generally aligns the QRM definition with that of QM. As noted above, there is a temporary category of QMs for mortgages that satisfy the general product feature requirements of QMs and meet the GSEs’ underwriting requirements. As a result, lenders that originate loans that are sold to the GSEs while they are in conservatorship would not be required to retain risk associated with those loans. The final rule requires the agencies to review the QRM definition no later than four years after its effective date and every five years thereafter, and allows each agency to request a review of the definition at any time.

We estimate that approximately 87% of our new risk written in 2013 and 83% of our new risk written in 2014 was for loans that would have met the CFPB’s general QM definition and, therefore, the QRM definition. We estimate that approximately 99% of our new risk written in each of 2013 and 2014 was for loans that would have met the temporary category in CFPB’s QM definition. Changes in the treatment of GSE-guaranteed mortgage loans in the regulations defining QM and QRM, or changes in the conservatorship or capital support provided to the GSEs by the U.S. Government, could impact the manner in which the risk-retention rules apply to GSE securitizations, originators who sell loans to GSEs and our business.

The GSEs have different loan purchase programs that allow different levels of mortgage insurance coverage. Under the “charter coverage” program, on certain loans lenders may choose a mortgage insurance coverage percentage that is less than the GSEs’ “standard coverage” and only the minimum required by the GSEs’ charters, with the GSEs paying a lower price for such loans. In 2013 and 2014, nearly all of our volume was on loans with GSE standard or higher coverage. We charge higher premium rates for higher coverage percentages. To the extent lenders selling loans to the GSEs in the future choose lower coverage for loans that we insure, our revenues would be reduced and we could experience other adverse effects.

***The benefit of our net operating loss carryforwards may become substantially limited.***

As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$2.4 billion of net operating losses for tax purposes that we can use in certain circumstances to offset future taxable income and thus reduce our federal income tax liability. Our ability to utilize these net operating losses to offset future taxable income may be significantly limited if we experience an “ownership change” as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). In general, an ownership change will occur if there is a cumulative change in our ownership by “5-percent shareholders” (as defined in the Code) that exceeds 50 percentage points over a rolling three-year period. A corporation that experiences an ownership change will generally be subject to an annual limitation on the corporation’s subsequent use of net operating loss carryovers that arose from pre-ownership change periods and use of losses that are subsequently recognized with respect to assets that had a built-in-loss on the date of the ownership change. The amount of the annual limitation generally equals the fair value of the corporation immediately before the ownership change multiplied by the long-term tax-exempt interest rate (subject to certain adjustments). To the extent that the limitation in a post-ownership-change year is not fully utilized, the amount of the limitation for the succeeding year will be increased.

While we have adopted a shareholder rights agreement to minimize the likelihood of transactions in our stock resulting in an ownership change, future issuances of equity-linked securities or transactions in our stock and equity-linked securities that may not be within our control may cause us to experience an ownership change. If we experience an ownership change, we may not be able to fully utilize our net operating losses, resulting in additional income taxes and a reduction in our shareholders' equity.

***We are involved in legal proceedings and are subject to the risk of additional legal proceedings in the future.***

Before paying a claim, we review the loan and servicing files to determine the appropriateness of the claim amount. All of our insurance policies provide that we can reduce or deny a claim if the servicer did not comply with its obligations under our insurance policy, including the requirement to mitigate our loss by performing reasonable loss mitigation efforts or, for example, diligently pursuing a foreclosure or bankruptcy relief in a timely manner. We call such reduction of claims submitted to us "curtailments." In 2013 and 2014, curtailments reduced our average claim paid by approximately 5.8% and 6.7%, respectively. In addition, the claims submitted to us sometimes include costs and expenses not covered by our insurance policies, such as hazard insurance premiums for periods after the claim date and losses resulting from property damage that has not been repaired. These other adjustments reduced claim amounts by less than the amount of curtailments. After we pay a claim, servicers and insureds sometimes object to our curtailments and other adjustments. We review these objections if they are sent to us within 90 days after the claim was paid.

When reviewing the loan file associated with a claim, we may determine that we have the right to rescind coverage on the loan. Prior to 2008, rescissions of coverage on loans were not a material portion of our claims resolved during a year. However, beginning in 2008, our rescissions of coverage on loans have materially mitigated our paid losses. In 2009 through 2011, rescissions mitigated our paid losses in the aggregate by approximately \$3.0 billion; and in 2012, 2013 and 2014, rescissions mitigated our paid losses by approximately \$0.3 billion, \$135 million and \$97 million, respectively (in each case, the figure includes amounts that would have either resulted in a claim payment or been charged to a deductible under a policy, and may have been charged to a captive reinsurer). In recent quarters, approximately 5% of claims received in a quarter have been resolved by rescissions, down from the peak of approximately 28% in the first half of 2009.

We estimate rescissions mitigated our incurred losses by approximately \$2.5 billion in 2009 and \$0.2 billion in 2010. These figures include the benefit of claims not paid in the period as well as the impact of changes in our estimated expected rescission activity on our loss reserves in the period. In 2012, we estimate that our rescission benefit in loss reserves was reduced by \$0.2 billion due to probable rescission settlement agreements. We estimate that other rescissions had no significant impact on our losses incurred in 2011 through 2014. Our loss reserving methodology incorporates our estimates of future rescissions and reversals of rescissions. Historically, reversals of rescissions have been immaterial. A variance between ultimate actual rescission and reversal rates and our estimates, as a result of the outcome of litigation, settlements or other factors, could materially affect our losses.

If the insured disputes our right to rescind coverage, we generally engage in discussions in an attempt to settle the dispute. As part of those discussions, we may voluntarily suspend rescissions we believe may be part of a settlement. In 2011, Freddie Mac advised its servicers that they must obtain its prior approval for rescission settlements, Fannie Mae advised its servicers that they are prohibited from entering into such settlements and Fannie Mae notified us that we must obtain its prior approval to enter into certain settlements. Since those announcements, the GSEs have consented to our settlement agreements with two customers, one of which is Countrywide, as discussed below, and have rejected other settlement agreements. We have reached and implemented settlement agreements that do not require GSE approval, but they have not been material in the aggregate.

If we are unable to reach a settlement, the outcome of a dispute ultimately would be determined by legal proceedings. Under our policies in effect prior to October 1, 2014, legal proceedings disputing our right to rescind coverage may be brought up to three years after the lender has obtained title to the property (typically through a foreclosure) or the property was sold in a sale that we approved, whichever is applicable, and under our master policy effective October 1, 2014, such proceedings may be brought up to two years from the date of the notice of rescission. In a few jurisdictions there is a longer time to bring such proceedings.

Until a liability associated with a settlement agreement or litigation becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated, we consider our claim payment or rescission resolved for financial reporting purposes even though discussions and legal proceedings have been initiated and are ongoing. Under ASC 450-20, an estimated loss from such discussions and proceedings is accrued for only if we determine that the loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated.

Since December 2009, we have been involved in legal proceedings with Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (“CHL”) and its affiliate, Bank of America, N.A., as successor to Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP (“BANA” and collectively with CHL, “Countrywide”) in which Countrywide alleged that MGIC denied valid mortgage insurance claims. (In our SEC reports, we refer to insurance rescissions and denials of claims collectively as “rescissions” and variations of that term.) In addition to the claim amounts it alleged MGIC had improperly denied, Countrywide contended it was entitled to other damages of almost \$700 million as well as exemplary damages. We sought a determination in those proceedings that we were entitled to rescind coverage on the applicable loans.

In April 2013, MGIC entered into separate settlement agreements with CHL and BANA, pursuant to which the parties will settle the Countrywide litigation as it relates to MGIC’s rescission practices (as amended, the “Agreements”). The original Agreements are described in our Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 25, 2013. The original Agreements are filed as exhibits to that Form 8-K and amendments were filed with our Forms 10-Q for the quarters ended September 30, 2013, March 31, 2014, June 30, 2014, and September 30, 2014, our Form 10-K for 2013 and this Form 10-K. Certain portions of the Agreements are redacted and covered by confidential treatment requests that have been granted.

The Agreement with BANA covers loans purchased by the GSEs. That original Agreement was implemented beginning in November 2013 and we resolved all related suspended rescissions in November and December 2013 by paying the associated claim or processing the rescission. The pending arbitration proceedings concerning the loans covered by that agreement have been dismissed, the mutual releases between the parties regarding such loans have become effective and the litigation between the parties regarding such loans is to be dismissed.

The Agreement with CHL covers loans that were purchased by non-GSE investors, including securitization trusts (the “other investors”). That Agreement will be implemented only as and to the extent that it is consented to by or on behalf of the other investors. While there can be no assurance that the Agreement with CHL will be implemented, we have determined that its implementation is probable.

The estimated impact of the Agreements and other probable settlements have been recorded in our financial statements. The estimated impact that we recorded for probable settlements is our best estimate of our loss from these matters. We estimate that the maximum exposure above the best estimate provision we recorded is \$626 million, of which about 60% is related to claims paying practices subject to the Agreement with CHL and the previously disclosed curtailment matters with Countrywide. If we are not able to implement the Agreement with CHL or the other settlements we consider probable, we intend to defend MGIC vigorously against any related legal proceedings.

The flow policies at issue with Countrywide are in the same form as the flow policies that we used with all of our customers during the period covered by the Agreements, and the bulk policies at issue vary from one another, but are generally similar to those used in the majority of our Wall Street bulk transactions.

We are involved in discussions and legal and consensual proceedings with customers with respect to our claims paying practices. Although it is reasonably possible that when these discussions or proceedings are completed we will not prevail in all cases, we are unable to make a reasonable estimate or range of estimates of the potential liability. We estimate the maximum exposure associated with these discussions and proceedings to be approximately \$16 million, although we believe we will ultimately resolve these matters for significantly less than this amount.

The estimates of our maximum exposure referred to above do not include interest or consequential or exemplary damages.

Consumers continue to bring lawsuits against home mortgage lenders and settlement service providers. Mortgage insurers, including MGIC, have been involved in litigation alleging violations of the anti-referral fee provisions of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, which is commonly known as RESPA, and the notice provisions of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, which is commonly known as FCRA. MGIC’s settlement of class action litigation against it under RESPA became final in October 2003. MGIC settled the named plaintiffs’ claims in litigation against it under FCRA in December 2004, following denial of class certification in June 2004. Since December 2006, class action litigation has been brought against a number of large lenders alleging that their captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements violated RESPA. Beginning in December 2011, MGIC, together with various mortgage lenders and other mortgage insurers, has been named as a defendant in twelve lawsuits, alleged to be class actions, filed in various U.S. District Courts. The complaints in all of the cases allege various causes of action related to the captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements of the mortgage lenders, including that the lenders’ captive reinsurers received excessive premiums in relation to the risk assumed by those captives, thereby violating RESPA. Seven of those cases had been dismissed prior to February 2015 without any further opportunity to appeal. Of the remaining five cases, three were dismissed with prejudice in February 2015 pursuant to stipulations of dismissal from the plaintiffs, and the remaining two cases are expected to be dismissed with prejudice in connection with plaintiffs’ stipulations in such cases. There can be no assurance that we will not be subject to further litigation under RESPA (or FCRA) or that the outcome of any such litigation, including the lawsuits mentioned above, would not have a material adverse effect on us.

In 2013, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida approved a settlement with the CFPB that resolved a federal investigation of MGIC's participation in captive reinsurance arrangements in the mortgage insurance industry. The settlement concluded the investigation with respect to MGIC without the CFPB or the court making any findings of wrongdoing. As part of the settlement, MGIC agreed that it would not enter into any new captive reinsurance agreement or reinsure any new loans under any existing captive reinsurance agreement for a period of ten years. MGIC had voluntarily suspended most of its captive arrangements in 2008 in response to market conditions and GSE requests. In connection with the settlement, MGIC paid a civil penalty of \$2.65 million and the court issued an injunction prohibiting MGIC from violating any provisions of RESPA.

We received requests from the Minnesota Department of Commerce (the "MN Department") beginning in February 2006 regarding captive mortgage reinsurance and certain other matters in response to which MGIC has provided information on several occasions, including as recently as May 2011. In August 2013, MGIC and several competitors received a draft Consent Order from the MN Department containing proposed conditions to resolve its investigation, including unspecified penalties. We are engaged in discussions with the MN Department regarding the draft Consent Order. We also received a request in June 2005 from the New York Department of Financial Services for information regarding captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements and other types of arrangements in which lenders receive compensation. Other insurance departments or other officials, including attorneys general, may also seek information about, investigate, or seek remedies regarding captive mortgage reinsurance.

Various regulators, including the CFPB, state insurance commissioners and state attorneys general may bring actions seeking various forms of relief in connection with violations of RESPA. The insurance law provisions of many states prohibit paying for the referral of insurance business and provide various mechanisms to enforce this prohibition. While we believe our practices are in conformity with applicable laws and regulations, it is not possible to predict the eventual scope, duration or outcome of any such reviews or investigations nor is it possible to predict their effect on us or the mortgage insurance industry.

We are subject to comprehensive, detailed regulation by state insurance departments. These regulations are principally designed for the protection of our insured policyholders, rather than for the benefit of investors. Although their scope varies, state insurance laws generally grant broad supervisory powers to agencies or officials to examine insurance companies and enforce rules or exercise discretion affecting almost every significant aspect of the insurance business. State insurance regulatory authorities could take actions, including changes in capital requirements, that could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, the CFPB may issue additional rules or regulations, which may materially affect our business.

In December 2013, the U.S. Treasury Department's Federal Insurance Office released a report that calls for federal standards and oversight for mortgage insurers to be developed and implemented. It is uncertain what form the standards and oversight will take and when they will become effective.

We understand several law firms have, among other things, issued press releases to the effect that they are investigating us, including whether the fiduciaries of our 401(k) plan breached their fiduciary duties regarding the plan's investment in or holding of our common stock or whether we breached other legal or fiduciary obligations to our shareholders. We intend to defend vigorously any proceedings that may result from these investigations. With limited exceptions, our bylaws provide that our officers and 401(k) plan fiduciaries are entitled to indemnification from us for claims against them.

A non-insurance subsidiary of our holding company is a shareholder of the corporation that operates the Mortgage Electronic Registration System ("MERS"). Our subsidiary, as a shareholder of MERS, has been named as a defendant (along with MERS and its other shareholders) in eight lawsuits asserting various causes of action arising from allegedly improper recording and foreclosure activities by MERS. Seven of these lawsuits have been dismissed without any further opportunity to appeal. The remaining lawsuit had also been dismissed by the U.S. District Court, however, the plaintiff in that lawsuit filed a motion for reconsideration by the U.S. District Court and to certify a related question of law to the Supreme Court of the State in which the U.S. District Court is located. That motion for reconsideration was denied, however, in May 2014, the plaintiff appealed the denial. The damages sought in this remaining case are substantial. We deny any wrongdoing and intend to defend ourselves vigorously against the allegations in the lawsuit.

In addition to the matters described above, we are involved in other legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. In our opinion, based on the facts known at this time, the ultimate resolution of these ordinary course legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

**Resolution of our dispute with the Internal Revenue Service could adversely affect us.**

As previously disclosed, the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) completed examinations of our federal income tax returns for the years 2000 through 2007 and issued proposed assessments for taxes, interest and penalties related to our treatment of the flow-through income and loss from an investment in a portfolio of residual interests of Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits (“REMICs”). The IRS indicated that it did not believe that, for various reasons, we had established sufficient tax basis in the REMIC residual interests to deduct the losses from taxable income. We appealed these assessments within the IRS and in August 2010, we reached a tentative settlement agreement with the IRS which was not finalized.

On September 10, 2014, we received Notices of Deficiency (commonly referred to as “90 day letters”) covering the 2000-2007 tax years. The Notices of Deficiency reflect taxes and penalties related to the REMIC matters of \$197.5 million and at December 31, 2014, there would also be interest related to these matters of approximately \$168.4 million. In 2007, we made a payment of \$65.2 million to the United States Department of the Treasury which will reduce any amounts we would ultimately owe. The Notices of Deficiency also reflect additional amounts due of \$261.4 million, which are primarily associated with the disallowance of the carryback of the 2009 net operating loss to the 2004-2007 tax years. We believe the IRS included the carryback adjustments as a precaution to keep open the statute of limitations on collection of the tax that was refunded when this loss was carried back, and not because the IRS actually intends to disallow the carryback permanently.

We filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court contesting most of the IRS’ proposed adjustments reflected in the Notices of Deficiency and the IRS has filed an answer to our petition which continues to assert their claim. Litigation to resolve our dispute with the IRS could be lengthy and costly in terms of legal fees and related expenses. We can provide no assurance regarding the outcome of any such litigation or whether a compromised settlement with the IRS will ultimately be reached and finalized. Depending on the outcome of this matter, additional state income taxes and state interest may become due when a final resolution is reached. As of December 31, 2014, those state taxes and interest would approximate \$47.4 million. In addition, there could also be state tax penalties. Our total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2014 is \$106.2 million, which represents the tax benefits generated by the REMIC portfolio included in our tax returns that we have not taken benefit for in our financial statements, including any related interest. We continue to believe that our previously recorded tax provisions and liabilities are appropriate. However, we would need to make appropriate adjustments, which could be material, to our tax provision and liabilities if our view of the probability of success in this matter changes, and the ultimate resolution of this matter could have a material negative impact on our effective tax rate, results of operations, cash flows, available assets and statutory capital. In this regard, see our risk factors titled “*We may not continue to meet the GSEs’ mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and our returns may decrease if we are required to maintain significantly more capital in order to maintain our eligibility*” and “*State capital requirements may prevent us from continuing to write new insurance on an uninterrupted basis.*”

***Because we establish loss reserves only upon a loan default rather than based on estimates of our ultimate losses on risk in force, losses may have a disproportionate adverse effect on our earnings in certain periods.***

In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, commonly referred to as GAAP, we establish loss reserves only for loans in default. Reserves are established for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses when notices of default on insured mortgage loans are received. Reserves are also established for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses for loans we estimate are in default but for which notices of default have not yet been reported to us by the servicers (this is often referred to as “IBNR”). We establish reserves using estimated claim rates and claim amounts. Because our reserving method does not take account of losses that could occur from loans that are not delinquent, such losses are not reflected in our financial statements, except in the case where a premium deficiency exists. As a result, future losses on loans that are not currently delinquent may have a material impact on future results as such losses emerge.

***Because loss reserve estimates are subject to uncertainties, paid claims may be substantially different than our loss reserves.***

We establish reserves using estimated claim rates and claim amounts in estimating the ultimate loss on delinquent loans. The estimated claim rates and claim amounts represent our best estimates of what we will actually pay on the loans in default as of the reserve date and incorporate anticipated mitigation from rescissions. We rescind coverage on loans and deny claims in cases where we believe our policy allows us to do so. Therefore, when establishing our loss reserves, we do not include additional loss reserves that would reflect a possible adverse development from ongoing dispute resolution proceedings regarding rescissions and denials unless we have determined that a loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated. For more information regarding our legal proceedings, see our risk factor titled “*We are involved in legal proceedings and are subject to the risk of additional legal proceedings in the future.*”

The establishment of loss reserves is subject to inherent uncertainty and requires judgment by management. The actual amount of the claim payments may be substantially different than our loss reserve estimates. Our estimates could be adversely affected by several factors, including a deterioration of regional or national economic conditions, including unemployment, leading to a reduction in borrowers’ income and thus their ability to make mortgage payments and a drop in housing values, which may affect borrower willingness to continue to make mortgage payments when the value of the home is below the mortgage balance. Changes to our estimates could have a material impact on our future results, even in a stable economic environment. In addition, historically, losses incurred have followed a seasonal trend in which the second half of the year has weaker credit performance than the first half, with higher new default notice activity and a lower cure rate.

***We rely on our management team and our business could be harmed if we are unable to retain qualified personnel or successfully develop and/or recruit their replacements.***

Our industry is undergoing a fundamental shift following the mortgage crisis: long-standing competitors have gone out of business and two newly capitalized start-ups that are not encumbered with a portfolio of pre-crisis mortgages have been formed. Former executives from other mortgage insurers have joined these two new competitors. In addition, in 2014, a worldwide insurer and reinsurer with mortgage insurance operations in Europe completed the purchase of a competitor and is now operating as Arch Mortgage Insurance Company. Our success depends, in part, on the skills, working relationships and continued services of our management team and other key personnel. The unexpected departure of key personnel could adversely affect the conduct of our business. In such event, we would be required to obtain other personnel to manage and operate our business. In addition, we will be required to replace the knowledge and expertise of our aging workforce as our workers retire. In either case, there can be no assurance that we would be able to develop or recruit suitable replacements for the departing individuals, that replacements could be hired, if necessary, on terms that are favorable to us or that we can successfully transition such replacements in a timely manner. We currently have not entered into any employment agreements with our officers or key personnel. Volatility or lack of performance in our stock price may affect our ability to retain our key personnel or attract replacements should key personnel depart. Without a properly skilled and experienced workforce, our costs, including productivity costs and costs to replace employees may increase, and this could negatively impact our earnings.

Our reinsurance agreement with unaffiliated reinsurers allow each reinsurer to terminate such reinsurer's portion of the transactions on a run-off basis if during any six month period prior to July 1, 2015, two or more of our top five executives depart, the departures result in a material adverse impact on our underwriting and risk management practices or policies, and such reinsurer timely objects to the replacements of such executives. We view such a termination as unlikely.

***Loan modification and other similar programs may not continue to provide benefits to us and our losses on loans that re-default can be higher than what we would have paid had the loan not been modified.***

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008, the federal government, including through the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the GSEs, and several lenders implemented programs to modify loans to make them more affordable to borrowers with the goal of reducing the number of foreclosures. During 2012, 2013 and 2014, we were notified of modifications that cured delinquencies that had they become paid claims would have resulted in approximately \$1.2 billion, \$1.0 billion and \$0.8 billion, respectively, of estimated claim payments. Based on information that is provided to us, most of the modifications resulted in reduced payments from interest rate and/or amortization period adjustments; from 2012 through 2014, approximately 9% resulted in principal forgiveness.

One loan modification program is the Home Affordable Modification Program ("HAMP"). We do not receive all of the information from servicers and the GSEs that is required to determine with certainty the number of loans that are participating in, have successfully completed, or are eligible to participate in, HAMP. We are aware of approximately 6,180 loans in our primary delinquent inventory at December 31, 2014 for which the HAMP trial period has begun and which trial periods have not been reported to us as completed or cancelled. Through December 31, 2014, approximately 54,290 delinquent primary loans have cured their delinquency after entering HAMP and are not in default. Although the majority of loans modified through HAMP are current, we cannot predict with a high degree of confidence what the ultimate re-default rate on these modifications will be. Our loss reserves do not account for potential re-defaults unless at the time the reserve is established, the re-default has already occurred.

In each of 2013 and 2014, approximately 16% of our primary cures were the result of modifications, with HAMP accounting for approximately 68% and 67%, respectively, of those modifications in 2013 and 2014. Although the HAMP program has been extended through December 2016, we believe that we have realized the majority of the benefits from HAMP because the number of loans insured by us that we are aware are entering HAMP trial modification periods has decreased significantly since 2010. The interest rates on certain loans modified under HAMP are subject to adjustment five years after the modification was entered into. Such adjustments are limited to an increase of one percentage point per year.

The GSEs' Home Affordable Refinance Program ("HARP"), currently scheduled to expire December 31, 2015, allows borrowers who are not delinquent but who may not otherwise be able to refinance their loans under the current GSE underwriting standards, to refinance their loans. We allow HARP refinances on loans that we insure, regardless of whether the loan meets our current underwriting standards, and we account for the refinance as a loan modification (even where there is a new lender) rather than new insurance written. As of December 31, 2014, approximately 15% of our primary insurance in force had benefitted from HARP and was still in force. We believe that we have realized the majority of the benefits from HARP because the number of loans insured by us that we are aware are entering HARP has decreased significantly.

We cannot determine the total benefit we may derive from loan modification programs, particularly given the uncertainty around the re-default rates for defaulted loans that have been modified through these programs. Re-defaults can result in losses for us that could be greater than we would have paid had the loan not been modified. Eligibility under certain loan modification programs can also adversely affect us by creating an incentive for borrowers who are able to make their mortgage payments to become delinquent in an attempt to obtain the benefits of a modification. New notices of delinquency increase our incurred losses. If legislation is enacted to permit a portion of a borrower's mortgage loan balance to be reduced in bankruptcy and if the borrower re-defaults after such reduction, then the amount we would be responsible to cover would be calculated after adding back the reduction. Unless a lender has obtained our prior approval, if a borrower's mortgage loan balance is reduced outside the bankruptcy context, including in association with a loan modification, and if the borrower re-defaults after such reduction, then under the terms of our policy the amount we would be responsible to cover would be calculated net of the reduction.

***If the volume of low down payment home mortgage originations declines, the amount of insurance that we write could decline, which would reduce our revenues.***

The factors that affect the volume of low down payment mortgage originations include:

- restrictions on mortgage credit due to more stringent underwriting standards, liquidity issues and risk-retention requirements associated with non-QRM loans affecting lenders,
- the level of home mortgage interest rates and the deductibility of mortgage interest for income tax purposes,
- the health of the domestic economy as well as conditions in regional and local economies and the level of consumer confidence,

- housing affordability,
- population trends, including the rate of household formation,
- the rate of home price appreciation, which in times of heavy refinancing can affect whether refinanced loans have loan-to-value ratios that require private mortgage insurance, and
- government housing policy encouraging loans to first-time homebuyers.

A decline in the volume of low down payment home mortgage originations could decrease demand for mortgage insurance, decrease our new insurance written and reduce our revenues. For other factors that could decrease the demand for mortgage insurance, see our risk factor titled *“The amount of insurance we write could be adversely affected if lenders and investors select alternatives to private mortgage insurance.”*

***State capital requirements may prevent us from continuing to write new insurance on an uninterrupted basis.***

The insurance laws of 16 jurisdictions, including Wisconsin, our domiciliary state, require a mortgage insurer to maintain a minimum amount of statutory capital relative to the risk in force (or a similar measure) in order for the mortgage insurer to continue to write new business. We refer to these requirements as the “State Capital Requirements” and, together with the GSE Financial Requirements, the “Financial Requirements.” While they vary among jurisdictions, the most common State Capital Requirements allow for a maximum risk-to-capital ratio of 25 to 1. A risk-to-capital ratio will increase if (i) the percentage decrease in capital exceeds the percentage decrease in insured risk, or (ii) the percentage increase in capital is less than the percentage increase in insured risk. Wisconsin does not regulate capital by using a risk-to-capital measure but instead requires a minimum policyholder position (“MPP”). The “policyholder position” of a mortgage insurer is its net worth or surplus, contingency reserve and a portion of the reserves for unearned premiums.

At December 31, 2014, MGIC’s risk-to-capital ratio was 14.6 to 1, below the maximum allowed by the jurisdictions with State Capital Requirements, and its policyholder position was \$673 million above the required MPP of \$1.0 billion. In 2013, we entered into a quota share reinsurance agreement with a group of unaffiliated reinsurers that reduced our risk-to-capital ratio. It is possible that under the revised State Capital Requirements discussed below, MGIC will not be allowed full credit for the risk ceded to the reinsurers. If MGIC is disallowed full credit under either the State Capital Requirements or the GSE Financial Requirements, MGIC may terminate the reinsurance agreement, without penalty. At this time, we expect MGIC to continue to comply with the current State Capital Requirements; however, you should read the rest of these risk factors for information about matters that could negatively affect such compliance.

At December 31, 2014, the risk-to-capital ratio of our combined insurance operations (which includes reinsurance affiliates) was 16.4 to 1. Reinsurance transactions with affiliates permit MGIC to write insurance with a higher coverage percentage than it could on its own under certain state-specific requirements. A higher risk-to-capital ratio on a combined basis may indicate that, in order for MGIC to continue to utilize reinsurance arrangements with its affiliates, unless a waiver of the State Capital Requirements of Wisconsin continues to be effective, additional capital contributions to the reinsurance affiliates could be needed.

The NAIC previously announced that it plans to revise the minimum capital and surplus requirements for mortgage insurers that are provided for in its Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Model Act. A working group of state regulators is considering this issue, although no date has been established by which the NAIC must propose revisions to such requirements. Depending on the scope of revisions made by the NAIC, MGIC may be prevented from writing new business in the jurisdictions adopting such revisions.

If MGIC fails to meet the State Capital Requirements of Wisconsin and is unable to obtain a waiver of them from the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance of the State of Wisconsin (“OCI”), MGIC could be prevented from writing new business in all jurisdictions. If MGIC fails to meet the State Capital Requirements of a jurisdiction other than Wisconsin and is unable to obtain a waiver of them, MGIC could be prevented from writing new business in that particular jurisdiction. It is possible that regulatory action by one or more jurisdictions, including those that do not have specific State Capital Requirements, may prevent MGIC from continuing to write new insurance in such jurisdictions. If we are unable to write business in all jurisdictions, lenders may be unwilling to procure insurance from us anywhere. In addition, a lender’s assessment of the future ability of our insurance operations to meet the Financial Requirements may affect its willingness to procure insurance from us. In this regard, see our risk factor titled “*Competition or changes in our relationships with our customers could reduce our revenues, reduce our premium yields and/or increase our losses.*” A possible future failure by MGIC to meet the Financial Requirements will not necessarily mean that MGIC lacks sufficient resources to pay claims on its insurance liabilities. While we believe MGIC has sufficient claims paying resources to meet its claim obligations on its insurance in force on a timely basis, you should read the rest of these risk factors for information about matters that could negatively affect MGIC’s claims paying resources.

***Downturns in the domestic economy or declines in the value of borrowers’ homes from their value at the time their loans closed may result in more homeowners defaulting and our losses increasing.***

Losses result from events that reduce a borrower’s ability or willingness to continue to make mortgage payments, such as unemployment, and whether the home of a borrower who defaults on his mortgage can be sold for an amount that will cover unpaid principal and interest and the expenses of the sale. In general, favorable economic conditions reduce the likelihood that borrowers will lack sufficient income to pay their mortgages and also favorably affect the value of homes, thereby reducing and in some cases even eliminating a loss from a mortgage default. A deterioration in economic conditions, including an increase in unemployment, generally increases the likelihood that borrowers will not have sufficient income to pay their mortgages and can also adversely affect housing values, which in turn can influence the willingness of borrowers with sufficient resources to make mortgage payments to do so when the mortgage balance exceeds the value of the home. Housing values may decline even absent a deterioration in economic conditions due to declines in demand for homes, which in turn may result from changes in buyers’ perceptions of the potential for future appreciation, restrictions on and the cost of mortgage credit due to more stringent underwriting standards, higher interest rates generally or changes to the deductibility of mortgage interest for income tax purposes, or other factors. The residential mortgage market in the United States had for some time experienced a variety of poor or worsening economic conditions, including a material nationwide decline in housing values, with declines continuing into early 2012 in a number of geographic areas. Although housing values in most markets have recently been increasing, in some markets they remain significantly below their peak levels. Changes in housing values and unemployment levels are inherently difficult to forecast given the uncertainty in the current market environment, including uncertainty about the effect of actions the federal government has taken and may take with respect to tax policies, mortgage finance programs and policies, and housing finance reform.

***The mix of business we write affects the likelihood of losses occurring, our Minimum Required Assets for purposes of the draft GSE Financial Requirements, and our premium yields.***

Even when housing values are stable or rising, mortgages with certain characteristics have higher probabilities of claims. These characteristics include loans with loan-to-value ratios over 95% (or in certain markets that have experienced declining housing values, over 90%), FICO credit scores below 620, limited underwriting, including limited borrower documentation, or higher total debt-to-income ratios, as well as loans having combinations of higher risk factors. As of December 31, 2014, approximately 18.7% of our primary risk in force consisted of loans with loan-to-value ratios greater than 95%, 5.6% had FICO credit scores below 620, and 5.7% had limited underwriting, including limited borrower documentation, each attribute as determined at the time of loan origination. A material portion of these loans were written in 2005 — 2007 or the first quarter of 2008. In accordance with industry practice, loans approved by GSEs and other automated underwriting systems under “doc waiver” programs that do not require verification of borrower income are classified by us as “full documentation.” For additional information about such loans, see footnote (3) to the composition of primary default inventory table under “Results of Consolidated Operations-Losses-Losses incurred” in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The Minimum Required Assets for purposes of the draft GSE Financial Requirements are, in part, a function of the direct risk-in-force and the risk profile of the loans we insure, considering loan-to-value ratio, credit score, vintage, HARP status and delinquency status. Therefore, if our direct risk-in-force increases through increases in new insurance written, or if our mix of business changes to include loans with higher loan-to-value ratios or lower credit scores, for example, we will be required to hold more Available Assets in order to maintain GSE eligibility.

From time to time, in response to market conditions, we change the types of loans that we insure and the requirements under which we insure them. In 2013, we liberalized our underwriting guidelines somewhat, in part through aligning most of our underwriting requirements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac for loans that receive and are processed in accordance with certain approval recommendations from a GSE automated underwriting system. As a result of the liberalization of our underwriting requirements, the migration of marginally lower FICO business from the FHA to us and other private mortgage insurers and other factors, our business written in the last several quarters is expected to have a somewhat higher claim incidence than business written in recent years. However, we believe this business presents an acceptable level of risk. Although the GSEs recently lowered their minimum downpayment requirements for certain loans from 5% to 3%, we may not insure a significant number of those loans in the near future because the FHA pricing on those loans may be more favorable for borrowers. Our underwriting requirements are available on our website at <http://www.mgic.com/underwriting/index.html>. We monitor the competitive landscape and will make adjustments to our pricing and underwriting guidelines as warranted. We also make exceptions to our underwriting requirements on a loan-by-loan basis and for certain customer programs. Together, the number of loans for which exceptions were made accounted for fewer than 2% of the loans we insured in 2013 and 2014.

As noted above in our risk factor titled “*State capital requirements may prevent us from continuing to write new insurance on an uninterrupted basis,*” in 2013, we entered into a quota share reinsurance agreement with a group of unaffiliated reinsurers. Although that transaction, as currently structured, reduces our premiums, the transaction will have a lesser impact on our overall results, as losses ceded under this transaction reduce our losses incurred and the ceding commission we receive reduces our underwriting expenses. As of December 31, 2014, we have accrued a profit commission receivable of \$92 million. This receivable is expected to grow materially through the term of the agreement, absent any modifications to the agreement, but the ultimate amount of the commission will depend on the premiums earned and losses incurred under the agreement. Any profit commission would be paid to us upon termination of the reinsurance agreement. The reinsurers are required to maintain trust funds or letters of credit to support recoverable balances for reinsurance, such as loss reserves, paid losses, prepaid reinsurance premiums and profit commissions. As such forms of collateral are in place, we have not established an allowance against these balances. We are in discussions with the participating reinsurers to modify the transaction in order to approximate full credit for the transaction under the draft GSE Financial Requirements.

The circumstances in which we are entitled to rescind coverage have narrowed for insurance we have written in recent years. During the second quarter of 2012, we began writing a portion of our new insurance under an endorsement to our then existing master policy (the “Gold Cert Endorsement”), which limited our ability to rescind coverage compared to that master policy. The Gold Cert Endorsement is filed as Exhibit 99.7 to our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (filed with the SEC on May 10, 2012).

To comply with requirements of the GSEs, in 2014 we introduced a new master policy. Our rescission rights under our new master policy are comparable to those under our previous master policy, as modified by the Gold Cert Endorsement, but may be further narrowed if the GSEs permit modifications to them. Our new master policy is filed as Exhibit 99.19 to our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 (filed with the SEC on November 7, 2014). All of our primary new insurance on loans with mortgage insurance application dates on or after October 1, 2014, will be written under our new master policy. As of December 31, 2014, approximately 29% of our flow, primary insurance in force was written under our Gold Cert Endorsement or our new master policy.

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 2.9% of our primary risk in force consisted of adjustable rate mortgages in which the initial interest rate may be adjusted during the five years after the mortgage closing (“ARMs”). We classify as fixed rate loans adjustable rate mortgages in which the initial interest rate is fixed during the five years after the mortgage closing. If interest rates should rise between the time of origination of such loans and when their interest rates may be reset, claims on ARMs and adjustable rate mortgages whose interest rates may only be adjusted after five years would be substantially higher than for fixed rate loans. In addition, we have insured “interest-only” loans, which may also be ARMs, and loans with negative amortization features, such as pay option ARMs. We believe claim rates on these loans will be substantially higher than on loans without scheduled payment increases that are made to borrowers of comparable credit quality.

Although we attempt to incorporate these higher expected claim rates into our underwriting and pricing models, there can be no assurance that the premiums earned and the associated investment income will be adequate to compensate for actual losses even under our current underwriting requirements. We do, however, believe that given the various changes in our underwriting requirements that were effective beginning in the first quarter of 2008, our insurance written beginning in the second half of 2008 will generate underwriting profits.

***The premiums we charge may not be adequate to compensate us for our liabilities for losses and as a result any inadequacy could materially affect our financial condition and results of operations.***

We set premiums at the time a policy is issued based on our expectations regarding likely performance over the long-term. Our premiums are subject to approval by state regulatory agencies, which can delay or limit our ability to increase our premiums. Generally, we cannot cancel mortgage insurance coverage or adjust renewal premiums during the life of a mortgage insurance policy. As a result, higher than anticipated claims generally cannot be offset by premium increases on policies in force or mitigated by our non-renewal or cancellation of insurance coverage. The premiums we charge, and the associated investment income, may not be adequate to compensate us for the risks and costs associated with the insurance coverage provided to customers. An increase in the number or size of claims, compared to what we anticipate, could adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

We continue to experience significant losses on our 2005-2008 books. The ultimate amount of these losses will depend in part on general economic conditions, including unemployment, and the direction of home prices, which in turn will be influenced by general economic conditions and other factors. Because we cannot predict future home prices or general economic conditions with confidence, there is significant uncertainty surrounding what our ultimate losses will be on our 2005-2008 books. Our current expectation is that the incurred and paid losses from these books, although declining, will continue to generate a material portion of our total incurred and paid losses for a number of years.

***It is uncertain what effect the extended timeframes in the foreclosure process will have on us.***

Over the past several years, the average time it takes to receive a claim associated with a defaulted loan has increased. This is, in part, due to new loss mitigation protocols established by servicers and to changes in some state foreclosure laws that may include, for example, a requirement for additional review and/or mediation processes. Unless a loan is cured during a foreclosure delay, at the completion of the foreclosure, additional interest and expenses may be due to the lender from the borrower. In some circumstances, our paid claim amount may include some additional interest and expenses.

***We are susceptible to disruptions in the servicing of mortgage loans that we insure.***

We depend on reliable, consistent third-party servicing of the loans that we insure. Over the last several years, the mortgage loan servicing industry has experienced consolidation. The resulting reduction in the number of servicers could lead to disruptions in the servicing of mortgage loans covered by our insurance policies. In addition, the increases in the number of delinquent mortgage loans requiring servicing since the financial crisis began have strained the resources of servicers, reducing their ability to undertake mitigation efforts that could help limit our losses, and have resulted in an increasing amount of delinquent loan servicing being transferred to specialty servicers. The transfer of servicing can cause a disruption in the servicing of delinquent loans. Future housing market conditions could lead to additional increases in delinquencies. Managing a substantially higher volume of non-performing loans could lead to increased disruptions in the servicing of mortgages.

***If interest rates decline, house prices appreciate or mortgage insurance cancellation requirements change, the length of time that our policies remain in force could decline and result in declines in our revenue.***

In each year, most of our premiums are from insurance that has been written in prior years. As a result, the length of time insurance remains in force, which is also generally referred to as persistency, is a significant determinant of our revenues. Future premiums on our insurance in force represent a material portion of our claims paying resources.

Our persistency rate was 82.8% at December 31, 2014, compared to 79.5% at December 31, 2013, and 79.8% at December 31, 2012. During the 1990s, our year-end persistency ranged from a high of 87.4% at December 31, 1990 to a low of 68.1% at December 31, 1998. Since 2000, our year-end persistency ranged from a high of 84.7% at December 31, 2009 to a low of 47.1% at December 31, 2003.

Our persistency rate is primarily affected by the level of current mortgage interest rates compared to the mortgage coupon rates on our insurance in force, which affects the vulnerability of the insurance in force to refinancing. Due to refinancing, we have experienced lower persistency on our 2009 through 2011 books of business. This has been partially offset by higher persistency on our older books of business reflecting the more restrictive credit policies of lenders (which make it more difficult for homeowners to refinance loans), as well as declines in housing values. Our persistency rate is also affected by mortgage insurance cancellation policies of mortgage investors along with the current value of the homes underlying the mortgages in the insurance in force.

**Your ownership in our company may be diluted by additional capital that we raise or if the holders of our outstanding convertible debt convert that debt into shares of our common stock.**

As noted above under our risk factor titled “We may not continue to meet the GSEs’ mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and our returns may decrease if we are required to maintain significantly more capital in order to maintain our eligibility,” if the draft PMIERS are implemented as released, we would consider seeking non-dilutive debt capital to mitigate the shortfall in Available Assets. However, there can be no assurance that we would not have to raise additional equity capital. Any future issuance of equity securities may dilute your ownership interest in our company. In addition, the market price of our common stock could decline as a result of sales of a large number of shares or similar securities in the market or the perception that such sales could occur.

We have \$389.5 million principal amount of 9% Convertible Junior Subordinated Debentures outstanding. The principal amount of the debentures is currently convertible, at the holder’s option, at an initial conversion rate, which is subject to adjustment, of 74.0741 common shares per \$1,000 principal amount of debentures. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$13.50 per share. We have the right, and may elect, to defer interest payable under the debentures in the future. If a holder elects to convert its debentures, the interest that has been deferred on the debentures being converted is also convertible into shares of our common stock. The conversion rate for such deferred interest is based on the average price that our shares traded at during a 5-day period immediately prior to the election to convert the associated debentures. We may elect to pay cash for some or all of the shares issuable upon a conversion of the debentures. We also have \$345 million principal amount of 5% Convertible Senior Notes and \$500 million principal amount of 2% Convertible Senior Notes outstanding. The 5% Convertible Senior Notes are convertible, at the holder’s option, at an initial conversion rate, which is subject to adjustment, of 74.4186 shares per \$1,000 principal amount at any time prior to the maturity date. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$13.44 per share. Prior to January 1, 2020, the 2% Convertible Senior Notes are convertible only upon satisfaction of one or more conditions. One such condition is that during any calendar quarter commencing after March 31, 2014, the last reported sale price of our common stock for each of at least 20 trading days during the 30 consecutive trading days ending on, and including, the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter be greater than or equal to 130% of the applicable conversion price on each applicable trading day. The notes are convertible at an initial conversion rate, which is subject to adjustment, of 143.8332 shares per \$1,000 principal amount. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$6.95 per share. 130% of such conversion price is \$9.03. On or after January 1, 2020, holders may convert their notes irrespective of satisfaction of the conditions. We do not have the right to defer interest on our Convertible Senior Notes. For a discussion of the dilutive effects of our convertible securities on our earnings per share, see Note 3 — “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Earnings per Share” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

***Our debt obligations materially exceed our holding company cash and investments.***

At December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$491 million in cash and investments at our holding company and our holding company's debt obligations were \$1,297 million in aggregate principal amount, consisting of \$62 million of Senior Notes due in November 2015, \$345 million of Convertible Senior Notes due in 2017, \$500 million of Convertible Senior Notes due in 2020 and \$390 million of Convertible Junior Debentures due in 2063. Annual debt service on the debt outstanding as of December 31, 2014, is approximately \$66 million.

The Senior Notes, Convertible Senior Notes and Convertible Junior Debentures are obligations of our holding company, MGIC Investment Corporation, and not of its subsidiaries. Our holding company has no material sources of cash inflows other than investment income. The payment of dividends from our insurance subsidiaries, which other than raising capital in the public markets is the principal source of our holding company cash inflow, is restricted by insurance regulation. MGIC is the principal source of dividend-paying capacity. Since 2008, MGIC has not paid any dividends to our holding company. At this time, MGIC cannot pay any dividends to our holding company without approval from the OCI and the GSEs. Any additional capital contributions to our subsidiaries would decrease our holding company cash and investments.

***We could be adversely affected if personal information on consumers that we maintain is improperly disclosed and our information technology systems may become outdated and we may not be able to make timely modifications to support our products and services.***

We rely on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of complex information technology systems. All information technology systems are potentially vulnerable to damage or interruption from a variety of sources. As part of our business, we maintain large amounts of personal information on consumers. While we believe we have appropriate information security policies and systems to prevent unauthorized disclosure, there can be no assurance that unauthorized disclosure, either through the actions of third parties or employees, will not occur. Unauthorized disclosure could adversely affect our reputation and expose us to material claims for damages.

In addition, we are in the process of upgrading certain of our information systems that have been in place for a number of years. The implementation of these technological improvements is complex, expensive and time consuming. If we fail to timely and successfully implement the new technology systems, or if the systems do not operate as expected, it could have an adverse impact on our business, business prospects and results of operations.

***Our Australian operations may suffer significant losses.***

We began international operations in Australia, where we started to write business in June 2007. Since 2008, we are no longer writing new business in Australia. Our existing risk in force in Australia is subject to the risks described in the general economic and insurance business-related factors discussed above. In addition to these risks, we are subject to a number of other risks from having deployed capital in Australia, including foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations and interest-rate volatility particular to Australia.

**Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.**

None.

**Item 2. Properties.**

At December 31, 2014, we leased office space in various cities throughout the United States under leases expiring between 2015 and 2021 and which required annual rental payments that in the aggregate are immaterial.

We own our headquarters facility and an additional office/warehouse facility, both located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, which contain an aggregate of approximately 310,000 square feet of space.

**Item 3. Legal Proceedings.**

Since December 2011, MGIC, together with various mortgage lenders and other mortgage insurers has been named as a defendant in twelve lawsuits, alleged to be class actions, filed in various U.S. District Courts. The complaints in all of the cases allege various causes of action related to the captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements of the mortgage lenders, including that the lenders' captive reinsurers received excessive premiums in relation to the risk assumed by those captives, thereby violating the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA"). Seven of those cases had been dismissed prior to February 2015 without any further opportunity to appeal. Of the remaining five cases, the following three were dismissed with prejudice in February 2015 pursuant to stipulations of dismissal from the plaintiffs.

<u>Date Filed</u>	<u>Court</u>
06/28/2012	U.S. District Court for the Middle District of PA
12/06/2012	U.S. District Court for the Western District of PA
01/04/2013	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of PA

The remaining two cases listed below are expected to be dismissed with prejudice in connection with plaintiffs' stipulations in such cases.

<u>Date Filed</u>	<u>Court</u>
12/31/2011	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of PA
04/05/2012	U.S. District Court for the Western District of PA

In December 2009, Countrywide filed a complaint for declaratory relief in the Superior Court of the State of California in San Francisco against MGIC. In October 2011, the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, to which the case had been removed, entered an order staying the litigation in favor of the arbitration proceeding we commenced against Countrywide in February 2010. In these proceedings, Countrywide alleged that MGIC denied valid mortgage insurance claims. (In our SEC reports, we refer to rescissions of insurance and denials of claims collectively as "rescissions" and variations of that term.) In addition to the claim amounts it alleged MGIC improperly denied, Countrywide contended it was entitled to other damages of almost \$700 million as well as exemplary damages. We sought a determination in these proceedings that we are entitled to rescind coverage on the applicable loans. From January 1, 2008 through September 30, 2013, rescissions of coverage on Countrywide-related loans mitigated our paid losses on the order of \$445 million. This amount is the amount we estimate we would have paid had the coverage not been rescinded. In addition, in connection with the mediation referred to below, we voluntarily suspended rescissions related to loans that we believed could be covered by a settlement.

We held a mediation to resolve this dispute and in 2013, MGIC entered into separate settlement agreements with Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (“CHL”) and its affiliate, Bank of America, N.A., as successor to Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP (“BANA”), pursuant to which the parties will settle the Countrywide litigation as it relates to MGIC’s rescission practices (as amended, the “Agreements”). The original Agreements are described in our Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 25, 2013. The original Agreements are filed as exhibits to that Form 8-K and amendments were filed with our Forms 10-Q for the quarters ended September 30, 2013, March 31, 2014, June 30, 2014, and September 30, 2014, our Form 10-K for 2013 and this Form 10-K. Certain portions of the Agreements are redacted and covered by confidential treatment requests that have been granted.

The Agreement with BANA covers loans purchased by the GSEs. That original Agreement was implemented beginning in November 2013 and we resolved all related suspended rescissions in November and December 2013 by paying the associated claim or processing the rescission. The pending arbitration proceedings concerning the loans covered by that agreement have been dismissed, the mutual releases between the parties regarding such loans have become effective and the litigation between the parties regarding such loans is to be dismissed.

The Agreement with CHL covers loans that were purchased by non-GSE investors, including securitization trusts (the “other investors”). That Agreement will be implemented only as and to the extent that it is consented to by or on behalf of the other investors. While there can be no assurance that the Agreement with CHL will be implemented, we have determined that its implementation is probable.

The pending arbitration proceeding between the parties regarding the loans subject to the CHL proceeding is stayed. Upon obtaining a specified number of consents by or on behalf of the other investors and also upon the conclusion of the period in the Agreement with CHL for obtaining consents by or on behalf of the other investors, all legal proceedings will be dismissed and the parties will provide mutual releases, in each case limited as to the loans held by the investors that consent to that agreement.

The estimated impact of the Agreements has been recorded in our financial statements. If we are not able to implement the Agreement with CHL, we intend to defend MGIC vigorously against any related legal proceedings.

The flow policies at issue with Countrywide are in the same form as the flow policies that we used with all of our customers during the period covered by the Agreements, and the bulk policies at issue vary from one another, but are generally similar to those used in the majority of our Wall Street bulk transactions.

The Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) completed examinations of our federal income tax returns for the years 2000 through 2007 and issued proposed assessments for taxes, interest and penalties related to our treatment of the flow-through income and loss from an investment in a portfolio of residual interests of Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits (“REMICs”). The IRS indicated that it did not believe that, for various reasons, we had established sufficient tax basis in the REMIC residual interests to deduct the losses from taxable income. We appealed these assessments within the IRS and in August 2010, we reached a tentative settlement agreement with the IRS which was not finalized.

On September 10, 2014, we received Notices of Deficiency (commonly referred to as “90 day letters”) covering the 2000-2007 tax years. The Notices of Deficiency reflect taxes and penalties related to the REMIC matters of \$197.5 million and at December 31, 2014, there would also be interest related to these matters of approximately \$168.4 million. In 2007, we made a payment of \$65.2 million to the United States Department of the Treasury which will reduce any amounts we would ultimately owe. The Notices of Deficiency also reflect additional amounts due of \$261.4 million, which are primarily associated with the disallowance of the carryback of the 2009 net operating loss to the 2004-2007 tax years. We believe the IRS included the carryback adjustments as a precaution to keep open the statute of limitations on collection of the tax that was refunded when this loss was carried back, and not because the IRS actually intends to disallow the carryback permanently.

We filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court contesting most of the IRS’ proposed adjustments reflected in the Notices of Deficiency and the IRS has filed an answer to our petition which continues to assert their claim. Litigation to resolve our dispute with the IRS could be lengthy and costly in terms of legal fees and related expenses. We can provide no assurance regarding the outcome of any such litigation or whether a compromised settlement with the IRS will ultimately be reached and finalized. Depending on the outcome of this matter, additional state income taxes and state interest may become due when a final resolution is reached. As of December 31, 2014, those state taxes and interest would approximate \$47.4 million. In addition, there could also be state tax penalties. Our total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2014 is \$106.2 million, which represents the tax benefits generated by the REMIC portfolio included in our tax returns that we have not taken benefit for in our financial statements, including any related interest. We continue to believe that our previously recorded tax provisions and liabilities are appropriate. However, we would need to make appropriate adjustments, which could be material, to our tax provision and liabilities if our view of the probability of success in this matter changes, and the ultimate resolution of this matter could have a material negative impact on our effective tax rate, results of operations, cash flows, available assets and statutory capital. In this regard, see our risk factors titled “We may not continue to meet the GSEs’ mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and our returns may decrease if we are required to maintain significantly more capital in order to maintain our eligibility” and “State capital requirements may prevent us from continuing to write new insurance on an uninterrupted basis” in Item 1A.

In addition to the above litigation, we face other litigation, regulatory risks and disputes. For additional information about such other litigation and regulatory risks, you should review our risk factors titled “We are defendants in private and government litigation and are subject to the risk of additional private litigation, government litigation and regulatory proceedings in the future.”

#### **Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.**

Not Applicable.

#### **Executive Officers of the Registrant**

Certain information with respect to our executive officers as of February 27, 2015 is set forth below:

Name and Age	Title
Curt S. Culver, 62	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of MGIC Investment Corporation and MGIC until his retirement February 28, 2015; Director of MGIC Investment Corporation and MGIC
Patrick Sinks, 58	President and Chief Executive Officer of MGIC Investment Corporation and MGIC effective March 1, 2015; President and Chief Operating Officer of MGIC Investment Corporation and MGIC until February 28, 2015; Director of MGIC Investment Corporation and MGIC
Timothy J. Mattke, 39	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of MGIC Investment Corporation and MGIC
Jeffrey H. Lane, 65	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of MGIC Investment Corporation and MGIC
Lawrence J. Pierzchalski, 62	Executive Vice President – Risk Management of MGIC
Gregory A. Chi, 54	Senior Vice President–Information Services and Chief Information Officer of MGIC
James J. Hughes, 52	Senior Vice President – Sales and Business Development of MGIC effective March 2, 2015

Mr. Culver has served as our Chief Executive Officer since January 2000 and as our Chairman of the Board since January 2005. He was our President from January 1999 to January 2006 and was President of MGIC from May 1996 to January 2006. Mr. Culver has been a senior officer of MGIC since 1988 having responsibility at various times during his career with MGIC for field operations, marketing and corporate development. From March 1985 to 1988, he held various management positions with MGIC in the areas of marketing and sales. Mr. Culver will retire as Chief Executive Officer February 28, 2015; however, he will remain Chairman of the Board.

Mr. Sinks will become our President and Chief Executive Officer effective March 1, 2015. He has been our and MGIC's President and Chief Operating Officer since January 2006. He was Executive Vice President-Field Operations of MGIC from January 2004 to January 2006 and was Senior Vice President-Field Operations of MGIC from July 2002 to January 2004. From March 1985 to July 2002, he held various positions within MGIC's finance and accounting organization, the last of which was Senior Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer. Mr. Sinks has been a director of MGIC Investment Corporation and MGIC since July 2014.

Mr. Mattke has been the Company's Chief Financial Officer since March 2014. He served as the Company's Controller from 2009 through March 2014. He joined the Company in 2006. Prior to his becoming Controller, he was Assistant Controller of MGIC beginning in August 2007 and prior to that was a manager in MGIC's accounting department. Before joining MGIC, Mr. Mattke was an audit manager and an auditor with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent registered accounting firm.

Mr. Lane has served as our and MGIC's Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since January 2008 and prior thereto as our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary from August 1996 to January 2008. For more than five years prior to his joining us, Mr. Lane was a partner of Foley & Lardner, a law firm headquartered in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

Mr. Pierzchalski has served as Executive Vice President-Risk Management of MGIC since May 1996 and prior thereto as Senior Vice President-Risk Management or Vice President-Risk Management of MGIC from April 1990 to May 1996. From March 1985 to April 1990, he held various management positions with MGIC in the areas of market research, corporate planning and risk management. In October 2014, Mr. Pierzchalski informed us that he would be retiring in September 2015.

Mr. Chi joined MGIC in February 2012 and has served as MGIC’s Senior Vice President–Information Services and Chief Information Officer since March 2012. Prior to joining MGIC, Mr. Chi had been Senior Vice President of Enterprise Delivery Services with SunTrust Bank since 2008. Prior to joining SunTrust, Mr. Chi had been Vice President, Information Technology Development Application with MetLife, Inc. since 2005. Prior to that, Mr. Chi held various senior management positions in the financial services industry.

Mr. Hughes will become Senior Vice President – Sales and Business Development of MGIC effective March 2, 2015. He served as Vice President, Managing Director in the sales area from October 2001 to March 2015. He joined MGIC in 1987 and prior to becoming Vice President, Managing Director, he had been an Account Manager and a Sales Manager.

## PART II

### Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

(a) Our Common Stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol “MTG.” The following table sets forth for 2014 and 2013 by calendar quarter the high and low sales prices of our Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange.

Quarter	2014		2013	
	High	Low	High	Low
First	\$ 9.46	7.92	\$ 6.19	\$ 2.36
Second	9.50	7.65	6.60	4.55
Third	9.50	7.16	8.16	5.88
Fourth	9.67	7.27	8.69	6.62

In October 2008, the Board suspended payment of our cash dividend. Accordingly, no cash dividends were paid in 2014 or 2013. The payment of future dividends is subject to the discretion of our Board and will depend on many factors, including our operating results, financial condition and capital position. See Note 8, “Debt,” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for dividend restrictions during interest deferral periods related to our Convertible Junior Debentures. We are a holding company and the payment of dividends from our insurance subsidiaries is restricted by insurance regulations. For a discussion of these restrictions, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis — Liquidity and Capital Resources” in Item 7 of this annual report and Note 16, “Dividend Restrictions,” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

As of February 13, 2015, the number of shareholders of record was 263. In addition, we estimate there are approximately 22,000 beneficial owners of shares held by brokers and fiduciaries.

Information regarding equity compensation plans is contained in Item 12.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) We did not repurchase any shares of Common Stock during the fourth quarter of 2014.

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
<b>Summary of Operations</b>					
Revenues:					
Net premiums written	\$ 881,962	\$ 923,481	\$ 1,017,832	\$ 1,064,380	\$ 1,101,795
Net premiums earned	\$ 844,371	\$ 943,051	\$ 1,033,170	\$ 1,123,835	\$ 1,168,747
Investment income, net	87,647	80,739	121,640	201,270	247,253
Realized investment gains, net including net impairment losses	1,357	5,731	195,409	142,715	92,937
Other revenue	8,422	9,914	28,145	36,459	11,588
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>941,797</b>	<b>1,039,435</b>	<b>1,378,364</b>	<b>1,504,279</b>	<b>1,520,525</b>
Losses and expenses:					
Losses incurred, net	496,077	838,726	2,067,253	1,714,707	1,607,541
Change in premium deficiency reserve	(24,710)	(25,320)	(61,036)	(44,150)	(51,347)
Underwriting and other expenses	146,059	192,518	201,447	214,750	225,142
Interest expense	69,648	79,663	99,344	103,271	98,589
<b>Total losses and expenses</b>	<b>687,074</b>	<b>1,085,587</b>	<b>2,307,008</b>	<b>1,988,578</b>	<b>1,879,925</b>
Income (loss) before tax	254,723	(46,152)	(928,644)	(484,299)	(359,400)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	2,774	3,696	(1,565)	1,593	4,335
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 251,949</b>	<b>\$ (49,848)</b>	<b>\$ (927,079)</b>	<b>\$ (485,892)</b>	<b>\$ (363,735)</b>
Weighted average common shares outstanding (in thousands)	413,547	311,754	201,892	201,019	176,406
Diluted income (loss) per share	\$ 0.64	\$ (0.16)	\$ (4.59)	\$ (2.42)	\$ (2.06)
Dividends per share	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Balance sheet data</b>					
Total investments	\$ 4,612,669	\$ 4,866,819	\$ 4,230,275	\$ 5,823,647	\$ 7,458,282
Cash and cash equivalents	197,882	332,692	1,027,625	995,799	1,304,154
Total assets	5,266,434	5,601,390	5,574,324	7,216,230	9,333,642
Loss reserves	2,396,807	3,061,401	4,056,843	4,557,512	5,884,171
Premium deficiency reserve	23,751	48,461	73,781	134,817	178,967
Short- and long-term debt	61,918	82,773	99,910	170,515	376,329
Convertible senior notes	845,000	845,000	345,000	345,000	345,000
Convertible junior debentures	389,522	389,522	379,609	344,422	315,626
Shareholders' equity	1,036,903	744,538	196,940	1,196,815	1,669,055
Book value per share	3.06	2.20	0.97	5.95	8.33

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
<b>New primary insurance written</b> (\$ millions)	33,439	29,796	24,125	14,234	12,257
<b>New primary risk written</b> (\$ millions)	8,530	7,541	5,949	3,525	2,944
<b>Insurance in force (at year-end)</b> (\$ millions)					
Direct primary insurance	164,919	158,723	162,082	178,873	191,250
<b>Risk in force (at year-end)</b> (\$ millions)					
Direct primary risk in force	42,946	41,060	41,735	44,462	48,979
Direct pool risk in force					
With aggregate loss limits	303	376	439	674	1,154
Without aggregate loss limits	505	636	879	1,177	1,532
<b>Primary loans in default ratios</b>					
Policies in force	968,748	960,163	1,006,346	1,090,086	1,228,315
Loans in default	79,901	103,328	139,845	175,639	214,724
Percentage of loans in default	8.25%	10.76%	13.90%	16.11%	17.48%
<b>Insurance operating ratios (GAAP) (1)</b>					
Loss ratio	58.8%	88.9%	200.1%	152.6%	137.5%
Expense ratio	14.7%	18.6%	15.2%	16.0%	16.3%
Combined ratio	73.5%	107.5%	215.3%	168.6%	153.8%
<b>Risk-to-capital ratio (statutory)</b>					
Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation	14.6:1	15.8:1	44.7:1	20.3:1	19.8:1
MGIC Indemnity Corporation	1.1:1	1.3:1	1.2:1	-	-
Combined insurance companies	16.4:1	18.4:1	47.8:1	22.2:1	23.2:1

(1) The loss ratio is the ratio, expressed as a percentage of the sum of incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses to net premiums earned. The expense ratio is the ratio, expressed as a percentage, of the combined insurance operations underwriting expenses to net premium written.

## **Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

### **Overview**

Through our subsidiaries Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation (“MGIC”) and MGIC Indemnity Corporation (“MIC”), we are a leading provider of private mortgage insurance in the United States, as measured by \$164.9 billion of primary insurance in force at December 31, 2014.

As used below, “we” and “our” refer to MGIC Investment Corporation’s consolidated operations. In the discussion below, we refer to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac collectively as the “GSEs.” Also in the discussion below, we classify, in accordance with industry practice, as “full documentation” loans approved by GSE and other automated underwriting systems under “doc waiver” programs that do not require verification of borrower income. For additional information about such loans, see footnote (3) to the composition of primary default inventory table under “Results of Consolidated Operations—Losses—Losses Incurred” below. The discussion of our business in this document generally does not apply to our Australian operations which have historically been immaterial. The results of our operations in Australia are included in the consolidated results disclosed. For additional information about our Australian operations, see our risk factor titled “Our Australian operations may suffer significant losses” in Item 1A of this Report and “Overview—Australia” below.

### *Forward Looking and Other Statements*

As discussed under “Forward Looking Statements and Risk Factors” in Item 1A of Part 1 of this Report, actual results may differ materially from the results contemplated by forward looking statements. We are not undertaking any obligation to update any forward looking statements or other statements we may make in the following discussion or elsewhere in this document even though these statements may be affected by events or circumstances occurring after the forward looking statements or other statements were made. Therefore no reader of this document should rely on these statements being current as of any time other than the time at which this document was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## General Business Environment

As a seller of mortgage insurance, our results are subject to macroeconomic conditions and specific events that impact the origination environment and the credit performance of the underlying insured assets. In 2014, the U.S. economy expanded at a moderate pace with declining unemployment rates, improving home price trends showing appreciation on a broad basis throughout the U.S., declining foreclosure activity, and good credit quality on new mortgage originations. We were also the beneficiary of the additional market share recaptured by the private mortgage industry from the Federal Housing Administration (“FHA”), which has been a trend since 2011. Our share within the private mortgage industry also increased during 2014. As a consequence of these and other factors, in 2014 we experienced improved financial results and achieved our first year of annual profitability since 2006. These results were primarily driven by a significant reduction in incurred losses as a result of a 17% decline in new primary mortgage insurance defaults compared to 2013. In addition to an improvement in our financial results, we also grew our primary insurance in force and risk in force by 3.9% and 4.6%, respectively, in 2014. We consider the current environment favorable for the U.S. housing market as housing remains affordable and interest rates remain historically low. The mortgage origination outlook for 2015 remains stable relative to 2014, however an increasing percentage of purchase originations relative to refinancing originations would be beneficial to our business. While we believe the conditions that impact our business are positive, we remain subject to significant regulatory oversight, the capital requirements of the GSEs, and competition from other private mortgage insurers and the FHA, all of which have implications on our ability to operate in the mortgage insurance industry.

For a number of years, substantially all of the loans we insured have been sold to the GSEs, which have been in conservatorship since late 2008. When the conservatorship will end and what role, if any, the GSEs will play in the secondary mortgage market post-conservatorship will be determined by Congress. The scope of the FHA’s large market presence may also change in connection with the determination of the future of the GSEs; see our risk factor titled “Changes in the business practices of the GSEs, federal legislation that changes their charters or a restructuring of the GSEs could reduce our revenues or increase our losses.” Furthermore, capital standards for private mortgage insurers are being revised; see “Capital” below. While we strongly believe private mortgage insurance should be an integral part of credit enhancement in a future mortgage market, its role in that market cannot be predicted.

## Capital

### GSEs

As mentioned above, substantially all of our insurance written has been for loans sold to the GSE, each of which has mortgage insurer eligibility requirements. The existing eligibility requirements include a minimum financial strength rating of Aa3/AA-. Because MGIC does not meet the financial strength rating requirement (its financial strength rating from Moody’s is Ba3 (with a stable outlook) and from Standard & Poor’s is BB+ (with a stable outlook)), MGIC is currently operating with each GSE as an eligible insurer under a remediation plan.

In July 2014, the conservator of the GSEs, the Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”), released draft Private Mortgage Insurer Eligibility Requirements (“draft PMIERS”). The draft PMIERS include revised financial requirements for mortgage insurers (the “GSE Financial Requirements”) that require a mortgage insurer’s “Available Assets” (generally only the most liquid assets of an insurer) to meet or exceed “Minimum Required Assets” (which are based on an insurer’s book and calculated from tables of factors with several risk dimensions and are subject to a floor amount).

The public input period for the draft PMIERS ended September 8, 2014. We currently expect the PMIERS to be published in final form no earlier than late in the first quarter of 2015 and the “effective date” to occur 180 days thereafter. Under the draft PMIERS, mortgage insurers would have up to two years after the final PMIERS are published to meet the GSE Financial Requirements (the “transition period”). A mortgage insurer that fails to certify by the effective date that it meets the GSE Financial Requirements would be subject to a transition plan having milestones for actions to achieve compliance. The transition plan would be submitted for the approval of each GSE within 90 days after the effective date, and if approved, the GSEs would monitor the insurer’s progress. During the transition period for an insurer with an approved transition plan, an insurer would be in remediation (a status similar to the one under which MGIC has been operating with the GSEs for over five years) and eligible to provide mortgage insurance on loans owned or guaranteed by the GSEs.

Shortly after the draft PMIERS were released, we estimated that we would have a shortfall in Available Assets of approximately \$600 million on December 31, 2014, which was when the final PMIERS were expected to be published. We also estimated that the shortfall would be reduced to approximately \$300 million through operations over a two year period. Those shortfall projections assumed the risk in force and capital of MGIC’s MIC subsidiary would be repatriated to MGIC, and full credit would be given in the calculation of Minimum Required Assets for our reinsurance agreement executed in 2013 (approximately \$500 million of credit at December 31, 2014, increasing to \$600 million of credit over two years). However, we do not expect our existing reinsurance agreement would be given full credit under the PMIERS. Applying the same assumptions, but considering the delay in publication of the final PMIERS, our shortfall projections have improved modestly. Also, we have been in discussions with the participating reinsurers regarding modifications to the agreement so that we would receive additional PMIERS credit.

In addition to modifying our reinsurance agreement, we believe we will be able to use a combination of the alternatives outlined below so that MGIC will meet the GSE Financial Requirements of the draft PMIERS even if they are implemented as released. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$491 million of cash and investments at our holding company, a portion of which we believe may be available for future contribution to MGIC. Furthermore, there are regulated insurance affiliates of MGIC that have approximately \$100 million of assets as of December 31, 2014. We expect that, subject to regulatory approval, we would be able to use a material portion of these assets to increase the Available Assets of MGIC. Additionally, if the draft PMIERS are implemented as released, we would consider seeking non-dilutive debt capital to mitigate the shortfall. Factors that may negatively impact MGIC’s ability to comply with the GSE Financial Requirements within the transition period include the following:

- Changes in the actual PMIERS adopted from the draft PMIERS may increase the amount of MGIC’s Minimum Required Assets or reduce its Available Assets, with the result that the shortfall in Available Assets could increase;
- We may not obtain regulatory approval to transfer assets from MGIC’s regulated insurance affiliates to the extent we are assuming because regulators project higher losses than we project or require a level of capital be maintained in these companies higher than we are assuming;

- We may not be able to access the non-dilutive debt markets due to market conditions, concern about our creditworthiness, or other factors, in a manner sufficient to provide the funds we are assuming;
- We may not be able to achieve modifications in our existing reinsurance agreements necessary to minimize the reduction in the credit for reinsurance under the draft PMIERS;
- We may not be able to obtain additional reinsurance necessary to further reduce the Minimum Required Assets due to market capacity, pricing or other reasons (including disapproval of the proposed agreement by a GSE); and
- Our future operating results may be negatively impacted by the matters discussed in the rest of these risk factors. Such matters could decrease our revenues, increase our losses or require the use of assets, thereby increasing our shortfall in Available Assets.

There also can be no assurance that the GSEs would not make the GSE Financial Requirements more onerous in the future; in this regard, the draft PMIERS provide that the tables of factors that determine Minimum Required Assets may be updated to reflect changes in risk characteristics and the macroeconomic environment. If MGIC ceases to be eligible to insure loans purchased by one or both of the GSEs, it would significantly reduce the volume of our new business writings.

If we are required to increase the amount of Available Assets we hold in order to continue to insure GSE loans, the amount of capital we hold may increase. If we increase the amount of capital we hold with respect to insured loans, our returns may decrease unless we increase premiums. An increase in premium rates may not be feasible for a number of reasons, including competition from other private mortgage insurers, the FHA, the Veteran's Administration ("VA") or other credit enhancement products.

### State Regulations

The insurance laws of 16 jurisdictions, including Wisconsin, our domiciliary state, require a mortgage insurer to maintain a minimum amount of statutory capital relative to the risk in force (or a similar measure) in order for the mortgage insurer to continue to write new business. We refer to these requirements as the "State Capital Requirements" and, together with the GSE Financial Requirements, the "Financial Requirements." While they vary among jurisdictions, the most common State Capital Requirements allow for a maximum risk-to-capital ratio of 25 to 1. A risk-to-capital ratio will increase if (i) the percentage decrease in capital exceeds the percentage decrease in insured risk, or (ii) the percentage increase in capital is less than the percentage increase in insured risk. Wisconsin does not regulate capital by using a risk-to-capital measure but instead requires a minimum policyholder position ("MPP"). The "policyholder position" of a mortgage insurer is its net worth or surplus, contingency reserve and a portion of the reserves for unearned premiums.

At December 31, 2014, MGIC's risk-to-capital ratio was 14.6 to 1, below the maximum allowed by the jurisdictions with State Capital Requirements, and its policyholder position was \$673 million above the required MPP of \$1.0 billion. In 2013, we entered into a quota share reinsurance agreement with a group of unaffiliated reinsurers that reduced our risk-to-capital ratio. It is possible that under the revised State Capital Requirements discussed below, MGIC will not be allowed full credit for the risk ceded to the reinsurers. If MGIC is disallowed full credit under either the State Capital Requirements or the GSE Financial Requirements, MGIC may terminate the reinsurance agreement, without penalty. At this time, we expect MGIC to continue to comply with the current State Capital Requirements, although we cannot assure you of such compliance.

At December 31, 2014, the risk-to-capital ratio of our combined insurance operations (which includes reinsurance affiliates) was 16.4 to 1. Reinsurance agreements with affiliates permit MGIC to write insurance with a higher coverage percentage than it could on its own under certain state-specific requirements. A higher risk-to-capital ratio on a combined basis may indicate that, in order for MGIC to continue to utilize reinsurance arrangements with its affiliates, unless a waiver of the State Capital Requirements of Wisconsin continues to be effective, additional capital contributions to the reinsurance affiliates could be needed.

The NAIC previously announced that it plans to revise the minimum capital and surplus requirements for mortgage insurers that are provided for in its Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Model Act. A working group of state regulators is considering this issue, although no date has been established by which the NAIC must propose revisions to such requirements. Depending on the scope of revisions made by the NAIC, MGIC may be prevented from writing new business in the jurisdictions adopting such revisions.

### *GSE Reform*

The FHFA is the conservator of the GSEs and has the authority to control and direct their operations. The increased role that the federal government has assumed in the residential mortgage market through the GSE conservatorship may increase the likelihood that the business practices of the GSEs change in ways that have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, these factors may increase the likelihood that the charters of the GSEs are changed by new federal legislation. The financial reform legislation that was passed in July 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act" or "Dodd-Frank") required the U.S. Department of the Treasury to report its recommendations regarding options for ending the conservatorship of the GSEs. This report did not provide any definitive timeline for GSE reform; however, it did recommend using a combination of federal housing policy changes to wind down the GSEs, shrink the government's footprint in housing finance (including FHA insurance), and help bring private capital back to the mortgage market. Since then, Members of Congress introduced several bills intended to change the business practices of the GSEs and the FHA; however, no legislation has been enacted. As a result of the matters referred to above, it is uncertain what role the GSEs, FHA and private capital, including private mortgage insurance, will play in the domestic residential housing finance system in the future or the impact of any such changes on our business. In addition, the timing of the impact of any resulting changes on our business is uncertain. Most meaningful changes would require Congressional action to implement and it is difficult to estimate when Congressional action would be final and how long any associated phase-in period may last.

Dodd-Frank requires lenders to consider a borrower's ability to repay a home loan before extending credit. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB") rule defining "Qualified Mortgage" ("QM") for purposes of implementing the "ability to repay" law became effective in January 2014 and included a temporary category of QMs for mortgages that satisfy the general product feature requirements of QMs and meet the GSEs' underwriting requirements (the "temporary category"). The temporary category will phase out when the GSEs' conservatorship ends, or if sooner, on January 21, 2021.

Dodd-Frank requires a securitizer to retain at least 5% of the risk associated with mortgage loans that are securitized, and in some cases the retained risk may be allocated between the securitizer and the lender that originated the loan. In October 2014, a final rule implementing that requirement was released, which will become effective for asset-backed securities collateralized by residential mortgages on December 24, 2015. The final rule exempts securitizations of qualified residential mortgages ("QRMs") from the risk retention requirement and generally aligns the QRM definition with that of QM. As noted above, there is a temporary category of QMs for mortgages that satisfy the general product feature requirements of QMs and meet the GSEs' underwriting requirements. As a result, lenders that originate loans that are sold to the GSEs while they are in conservatorship would not be required to retain risk associated with those loans. The final rule requires the agencies to review the QRM definition no later than four years after its effective date and every five years thereafter, and allows each agency to request a review of the definition at any time.

We estimate that approximately 87% of our new risk written in 2013 and 83% of our new risk written in 2014 was for loans that would have met the CFPB's general QM definition and, therefore, the QRM definition. We estimate that approximately 99% of our new risk written in each of 2013 and 2014 was for loans that would have met the temporary category in CFPB's QM definition. Changes in the treatment of GSE-guaranteed mortgage loans in the regulations defining QM and QRM, or changes in the conservatorship or capital support provided to the GSEs by the U.S. Government, could impact the manner in which the risk-retention rules apply to GSE securitizations, originators who sell loans to GSEs and our business.

The GSEs have different loan purchase programs that allow different levels of mortgage insurance coverage. Under the "charter coverage" program, on certain loans lenders may choose a mortgage insurance coverage percentage that is less than the GSEs' "standard coverage" and only the minimum required by the GSEs' charters, with the GSEs paying a lower price for such loans. In 2013 and 2014, nearly all of our volume was on loans with GSE standard or higher coverage. We charge higher premium rates for higher coverage percentages. To the extent lenders selling loans to the GSEs in the future choose lower coverage for loans that we insure, our revenues would be reduced and we could experience other adverse effects.

For additional information about the business practices of the GSEs, see our risk factor titled "Changes in the business practices of the GSEs, federal legislation that changes their charters or a restructuring of the GSEs could reduce our revenues or increase our losses" in Item 1A.

## *Loan Modification and Other Similar Programs*

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008, the federal government, including through the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) and the GSEs, and several lenders implemented programs to modify loans to make them more affordable to borrowers with the goal of reducing the number of foreclosures. During 2012, 2013 and 2014, we were notified of modifications that cured delinquencies that had they become paid claims would have resulted in approximately \$1.2 billion, \$1.0 billion and \$0.8 billion, respectively, of estimated claim payments. Based on information that is provided to us, most of the modifications resulted in reduced payments from interest rate and/or amortization period adjustments; from 2012 through 2014, approximately 9% resulted in principal forgiveness.

One loan modification program is the Home Affordable Modification Program (“HAMP”). We do not receive all of the information from servicers and the GSEs that is required to determine with certainty the number of loans that are participating in, have successfully completed, or are eligible to participate in, HAMP. We are aware of approximately 6,180 loans in our primary delinquent inventory at December 31, 2014 for which the HAMP trial period has begun and which trial periods have not been reported to us as completed or cancelled. Through December 31, 2014, approximately 54,290 delinquent primary loans have cured their delinquency after entering HAMP and are not in default. Although the majority of loans modified through HAMP are current, we cannot predict with a high degree of confidence what the ultimate re-default rate on these modifications will be. Our loss reserves do not account for potential re-defaults unless at the time the reserve is established, the re-default has already occurred.

In each of 2013 and 2014, approximately 16% of our primary cures were the result of modifications, with HAMP accounting for approximately 68% and 67%, respectively, of those modifications in 2013 and 2014. Although the HAMP program has been extended through December 2016, we believe that we have realized the majority of the benefits from HAMP because the number of loans insured by us that we are aware are entering HAMP trial modification periods has decreased significantly since 2010. The interest rates on certain loans modified under HAMP are subject to adjustment five years after the modification was entered into. Such adjustments are limited to an increase of one percentage point per year.

The GSEs’ Home Affordable Refinance Program (“HARP”), currently scheduled to expire December 31, 2015, allows borrowers who are not delinquent but who may not otherwise be able to refinance their loans under the current GSE underwriting standards, to refinance their loans. We allow HARP refinances on loans that we insure, regardless of whether the loan meets our current underwriting standards, and we account for the refinance as a loan modification (even where there is a new lender) rather than new insurance written. As of December 31, 2014, approximately 15% of our primary insurance in force had benefitted from HARP and was still in force.

The effect on us of loan modifications depends on how many modified loans subsequently re-default. Re-defaults can result in losses for us that could be greater than we would have paid had the loan not been modified. Eligibility under certain loan modification programs can also adversely affect us by creating an incentive for borrowers who are able to make their mortgage payments to become delinquent in an attempt to obtain the benefits of a modification. New notices of delinquency increase our incurred losses. If legislation is enacted to permit a portion of a borrower's mortgage loan balance to be reduced in bankruptcy and if the borrower re-defaults after such reduction, then the amount we would be responsible to cover would be calculated after adding back the reduction. Unless a lender has obtained our prior approval, if a borrower's mortgage loan balance is reduced outside the bankruptcy context, including in association with a loan modification, and if the borrower re-defaults after such reduction, then under the terms of our policy the amount we would be responsible to cover would be calculated net of the reduction.

As shown in the following table, as of December 31, 2014 approximately 28% of our primary risk in force has been modified:

Policy Year	HARP (1) Modifications	HAMP Modifications	Other Modifications
2003 and Prior	10.1%	13.2%	12.4%
2004	15.7%	12.9%	10.7%
2005	20.6%	14.4%	11.2%
2006	23.9%	16.6%	11.8%
2007	33.7%	17.3%	7.4%
2008	47.8%	10.3%	3.5%
2009	19.9%	0.8%	0.6%
2010 - 2014	-	-	-
Total	14.7%	9.5%	4.0%

(1) Includes proprietary programs that are substantially the same as HARP.

As of December 31, 2014 based on loan count, the loans associated with 98.1% of all HARP modifications, 76.8% of HAMP modifications and 69.2% of other modifications were current.

Over the past several years, the average time it takes to receive a claim associated with a defaulted loan has increased. This is, in part, due to new loss mitigation protocols established by servicers and to changes in some state foreclosure laws that may include, for example, a requirement for additional review and/or mediation processes. Unless a loan is cured during a foreclosure delay, at the completion of the foreclosure, additional interest and expenses may be due to the lender from the borrower. In some circumstances, our paid claim amount may include some additional interest and expenses.

#### *Factors Affecting Our Results*

Our results of operations are affected by:

- Premiums written and earned

Premiums written and earned in a year are influenced by:

- New insurance written, which increases insurance in force, and is the aggregate principal amount of the mortgages that are insured during a period. Many factors affect new insurance written, including the volume of low down payment home mortgage originations and competition to provide credit enhancement on those mortgages, including competition from the FHA, the VA, other mortgage insurers, GSE programs that may reduce or eliminate the demand for mortgage insurance and other alternatives to mortgage insurance. New insurance written does not include loans previously insured by us which are modified, such as loans modified under HARP.
- Cancellations, which reduce insurance in force. Cancellations due to refinancings are affected by the level of current mortgage interest rates compared to the mortgage coupon rates throughout the in force book. Refinancings are also affected by current home values compared to values when the loans in the in force book became insured and the terms on which mortgage credit is available. Cancellations also include rescissions, which require us to return any premiums received related to the rescinded policy, and policies cancelled due to claim payment, which require us to return any premium received from the date of default. Finally, cancellations are affected by home price appreciation, which can give homeowners the right to cancel the mortgage insurance on their loans.
- Premium rates, which are affected by product type, competitive pressures, the risk characteristics of the loans insured and the percentage of coverage on the loans.
- Premiums ceded under reinsurance agreements. See Note 11 – “Reinsurance” to our consolidated statements in Item 8 for a discussion of our quota share agreement executed in 2013, under which premiums are ceded net of a profit commission.

Premiums are generated by the insurance that is in force during all or a portion of the period. A change in the average insurance in force in the current period compared to an earlier period is a factor that will increase (when the average in force is higher) or reduce (when it is lower) premiums written and earned in the current period, although this effect may be enhanced (or mitigated) by differences in the average premium rate between the two periods, as well as by premiums that are returned or expected to be returned in connection with claim payments and rescissions, and premiums ceded under reinsurance agreements. Also, new insurance written and cancellations during a period will generally have a greater effect on premiums written and earned in subsequent periods than in the period in which these events occur.

· Investment income

Our investment portfolio is comprised almost entirely of investment grade fixed income securities. The principal factors that influence investment income are the size of the portfolio and its yield. As measured by amortized cost (which excludes changes in fair market value, such as from changes in interest rates), the size of the investment portfolio is mainly a function of cash generated from (or used in) operations, such as net premiums received, investment earnings, net claim payments and expenses, and cash provided by (or used for) non-operating activities, such as debt or stock issuances or repurchases. From time to time we may elect to realize gains on securities that are trading above our cost basis. Realized gains and losses are a function of the difference between the amount received on the sale of a security and the security's amortized cost, as well as any "other than temporary" impairments recognized in earnings. The amount received on the sale of fixed income securities is affected by the coupon rate of the security compared to the yield of comparable securities at the time of sale.

· Losses incurred

Losses incurred are the current expense that reflects estimated payments that will ultimately be made as a result of delinquencies on insured loans. As explained under "Critical Accounting Policies" below, except in the case of a premium deficiency reserve, we recognize an estimate of this expense only for delinquent loans. Losses incurred are generally affected by:

- The state of the economy, including unemployment and housing values, each of which affects the likelihood that loans will become delinquent and whether loans that are delinquent cure their delinquency. The level of new delinquencies has historically followed a seasonal pattern, with new delinquencies in the first part of the year lower than new delinquencies in the latter part of the year, though this pattern can be affected by the state of the economy and local housing markets.
- The product mix of the in force book, with loans having higher risk characteristics generally resulting in higher delinquencies and claims.
- The size of loans insured, with higher average loan amounts tending to increase losses incurred.
- The percentage of coverage on insured loans, with deeper average coverage tending to increase incurred losses.
- Changes in housing values, which affect our ability to mitigate our losses through sales of properties with delinquent mortgages as well as borrower willingness to continue to make mortgage payments when the value of the home is below the mortgage balance.
- The rate at which we rescind policies. Our estimated loss reserves reflect mitigation from rescissions of policies and denials of claims. We collectively refer to such rescissions and denials as "rescissions" and variations of this term.
- The distribution of claims over the life of a book. Historically, the first few years after loans are originated are a period of relatively low claims, with claims increasing substantially for several years subsequent and then declining, although persistency (percentage of insurance remaining in force from one year prior), the condition of the economy, including unemployment and housing prices, and other factors can affect this pattern. For example, a weak economy or housing price declines can lead to claims from older books increasing, continuing at stable levels or experiencing a lower rate of decline. See further information under "Mortgage Insurance Earnings and Cash Flow Cycle" below.

- Losses ceded under reinsurance agreements. See Note 11 – “Reinsurance” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for a discussion of our reinsurance agreements.
- Changes in premium deficiency reserve

Each quarter, we re-estimate the premium deficiency reserve on the remaining Wall Street bulk insurance in force. The premium deficiency reserve primarily changes from quarter to quarter as a result of two factors. First, it changes as the actual premiums, losses and expenses that were previously estimated are recognized. Each period such items are reflected in our financial statements as earned premium, losses incurred and expenses. The difference between the amount and timing of actual earned premiums, losses incurred and expenses and our previous estimates used to establish the premium deficiency reserve has an effect (either positive or negative) on that period’s results. Second, the premium deficiency reserve changes as our assumptions relating to the present value of expected future premiums, losses and expenses on the remaining Wall Street bulk insurance in force change. Changes to these assumptions also have an effect on that period’s results.

- Underwriting and other expenses

The majority of our operating expenses are fixed, with some variability due to contract underwriting volume. Contract underwriting generates fee income included in “Other revenue.” Underwriting and other expenses are net of any ceding commission associated with our reinsurance agreements. See Note 11 – “Reinsurance” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for a discussion of our reinsurance agreements.

- Interest expense

Interest expense reflects the interest associated with our outstanding debt obligations. The principal amount of our long-term debt obligations at December 31, 2014 is comprised of \$61.9 million of 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015, \$345 million of 5% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2017, \$500 million of 2% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2020 and \$389.5 million of 9% Convertible Junior Subordinated Debentures due in 2063 (interest on these debentures continues to accrue and compounds if we defer the payment of interest), as discussed in Note 8 – “Debt” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 and under “Liquidity and Capital Resources” below.

*Mortgage Insurance Earnings and Cash Flow Cycle*

In our industry, a “book” is the group of loans insured in a particular calendar year. In general, the majority of any underwriting profit (premium revenue minus losses) that a book generates occurs in the early years of the book, with the largest portion of any underwriting profit realized in the first year following the year the book was written. Subsequent years of a book generally result in modest underwriting profit or underwriting losses. This pattern of results typically occurs because relatively few of the claims that a book will ultimately experience typically occur in the first few years of the book, when premium revenue is highest, while subsequent years are affected by declining premium revenues, as the number of insured loans decreases (primarily due to loan prepayments), and increasing losses.

#### *Australia*

We began international operations in Australia, where we started to write business in June 2007. Since 2008, we are no longer writing new business in Australia and we have reduced our headcount. In December 2013, our Australian subsidiary liquidated a portion of its investment portfolio and repatriated, with regulatory approval, \$89.5 million to its parent MGIC. At December 31, 2014 the equity value in our Australian operations was approximately \$46 million and our risk in force in Australia was approximately \$346 million. In Australia, mortgage insurance is a single premium product that covers the entire loan balance. As a result, our Australian risk in force represents the entire amount of the loans that we have insured. However, the mortgage insurance we provide only covers the unpaid loan balance after the sale of the underlying property.

#### **Summary of 2014 Results**

Our results of operations for 2014 were principally affected by the factors referred to below.

- Net premiums written and earned

Net premiums written and earned during 2014 decreased when compared to 2013. The decrease was due to an increase in premiums ceded under reinsurance agreements, offset, in part, by an increase in profit commissions. The increase in premiums ceded and profit commissions in 2014 was due to an addendum entered into in December 2013 for our 2013 quota share agreement that expanded the applicable coverage to insurance written prior to April 1, 2013 that had never been delinquent. The profit commission is subject to the performance of the policies under the 2013 quota share reinsurance agreement and addendum.

- Investment income

Investment income in 2014 increased compared to 2013. The increase was due to higher investment yields driven by a larger allocation of the investment portfolio to corporate debt securities, which are producing yields above U.S. government debt, and also reinvestment of proceeds into securities with longer durations to maturity on average.

- Realized gains and other-than-temporary impairments

Net realized gains for 2014 included \$1.5 million in net realized gains on the sale of fixed income investments, slightly offset by \$0.1 million in other-than-temporary (“OTTI”) losses. Net realized gains for 2013 included \$6.1 million in net realized gains on the sale of fixed income investments, slightly offset by \$0.3 million in OTTI losses. At December 31, 2014, the net unrealized gains in our investment portfolio were \$7.1 million, which included \$37.6 million of gross unrealized gains, partially offset by \$30.5 million of gross unrealized losses.

- Other revenue

Other revenue for 2014 decreased compared to 2013 primarily due to losses of \$0.8 million realized on debt repurchases. In the first quarter of 2014 we repurchased \$20.9 million in par value of our 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015 at a cost slightly above par.

- Losses incurred

Losses incurred for 2014 decreased compared to 2013 primarily due to a decrease in new delinquency notices received, a lower claim rate on new notices, and an increase in favorable development on prior year loss reserves compared to 2013.

- Change in premium deficiency reserve

During 2014 the premium deficiency reserve on Wall Street bulk transactions declined by \$24 million to \$24 million as of December 31, 2014. The decrease in the premium deficiency reserve represents the net result of actual premiums, losses and expenses as well as a change in net assumptions for the period. The change in net assumptions for 2014 is primarily related to higher estimated ultimate premiums. The premium deficiency reserve as of December 31, 2014 reflects the present value of expected future losses and expenses that exceeds the present value of expected future premiums and already established loss reserves.

- Underwriting and other expenses

Underwriting and other expenses for 2014 decreased when compared to 2013. The decrease primarily reflects an increase in ceding commissions from the 2013 quota share reinsurance agreement, a reduction in employee costs, and a decrease in legal expenses.

- Interest expense

Interest expense for 2014 decreased when compared to 2013. The decrease is primarily related to a \$10.5 million decrease in amortization of the discount on our junior debentures, which became fully amortized in the first quarter of 2013, and a decrease in interest expense on our Senior Notes due in 2015 resulting from repayments of principal in 2013 and 2014. These decreases were offset in part by an increase in interest expense from our Convertible Notes due in 2020 that were issued in the March of 2013.

- Income taxes

The effective tax rate provision on our pre-tax income was 1.1% in 2014, compared to the effective tax rate provision on our pre-tax loss of 8.0% in 2013. During those periods, the provision for (benefit from) income taxes was reduced by the change in the valuation allowance.

## Results of Consolidated Operations

### *New insurance written*

The amount of our primary new insurance written during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
Total Primary NIW (In billions)	\$ 33.4	\$ 29.8	\$ 24.1
Refinance volume as a % of primary NIW	13%	26%	36%

The increase in new insurance written in each of 2014 and 2013, compared to the respective prior year, was primarily due to increases in the penetration rate of private mortgage insurance in the overall insured mortgage market, which was driven by a combination of factors including changes to the prices and fees of the FHA, the GSEs and the private mortgage insurers. The FHA also reversed a past FHA policy pursuant to which insurance premiums for borrowers were canceled once the borrower paid down their mortgage below a certain percentage. The combined effect of these pricing and policy changes increased the percentage of market share of private mortgage insurers versus the FHA. In conjunction with the increased penetration rate of private mortgage insurance, our company has recaptured market share from our competitors throughout 2014. As of December 31, 2014, our share has grown to 19.8% of the private insured market from 16.4% in 2013.

The level of competition within the private mortgage industry remains intense, and is not expected to diminish given the presence of new entrants. Further, changes in the FHA's policies and procedures will continue to impact the amount of new insurance written by us. In January 2015, the FHA significantly reduced its annual mortgage insurance premiums by 50 basis points. This reduction more than offsets the most recently enacted price change by the FHA, which increased the prevailing annual insurance premiums by 10 basis points in early 2013; however rates will remain above those in 2007. Absent any other changes, the reduction in FHA premiums will make private mortgage insurance less competitive with the FHA for borrowers with certain credit characteristics. However, we believe our pricing continues to be more attractive than the FHA's pricing for a substantial majority of borrowers with credit and loan characteristics similar to those whose loans we insured in 2014. The GSEs also recently lowered their minimum downpayment requirements for certain loans from 5% to 3%, however we may not insure a significant number of those loans in the near future because the new FHA pricing on those loans may be more favorable for borrowers. Our underwriting requirements are available on our website at <http://mgic.com/underwriting/index.html>. We cannot predict how these factors will change in the future and we cannot predict whether the GSEs will reduce their fees, therefore, we cannot predict the FHA's share of new insurance written in the future.

As market conditions change, we change the types of loans that we insure as well as the underwriting requirements and terms under which we insure them. Price competition has been present in the market for some time: in the third quarter of 2014, we reduced many of our standard lender-paid single premium rates to match competition; and in the fourth quarter of 2013, we reduced all of our standard borrower-paid monthly premium rates and most of our standard single premium rates to match competition. Currently, we are seeing price competition in the form of lender-paid single premium programs customized for individual lenders with rates materially lower than those on the standard rate card. During most of 2013, when almost all of our single premium rates were above those most commonly used in the market, single premium policies were approximately 10% of our total new insurance written; they were approximately 15% in 2014 and we expect a higher percentage in 2015, primarily as a result of our selectively matching reduced customized rates. The premium from a single premium policy is collected upfront and generally earned over the estimated life of the policy. In contrast, premiums from a monthly premium policy are received and earned each month over the life of the policy. Depending on the actual life of a single premium policy and its premium rate relative to that of a monthly premium policy, a single premium policy may generate more or less premium than a monthly premium policy over its life. Currently, we expect to receive less lifetime premium from a new lender-paid single premium policy than we would from a new borrower-paid monthly premium policy. As a result of the recent increase in the percentage of our new insurance written from lender-paid single premium policies, our weighted average premium rate on new insurance written has decreased from 2013 to 2014. As the percentage of our new business represented by lender-paid single premium policies continues to grow, all other things equal, our weighted average premium rates on new insurance written in the future will decrease. If we reduce or discount prices on any premium plan in response to future price competition, it may further decrease our weighted average premium rates. We monitor the competitive landscape and will make adjustments to our pricing and underwriting guidelines as warranted. We also make exceptions to our underwriting requirements on a loan-by-loan basis and for certain customer programs. Together, the number of loans for which exceptions were made accounted for fewer than 2% of the loans we insured in 2013 and 2014.

*Cancellations, insurance in force and risk in force*

New insurance written and cancellations of primary insurance in force during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
	(In billions)		
NIW	\$ 33.4	\$ 29.8	\$ 24.1
Cancellations	(27.2)	(33.2)	(34.9)
Change in primary insurance in force	<u>6.2</u>	<u>(3.4)</u>	<u>(10.8)</u>
Direct primary insurance in force as of December 31,	\$ 164.9	\$ 158.7	\$ 162.1
Direct primary risk in force as of December 31,	\$ 42.9	\$ 41.1	\$ 41.7

Cancellation activity has historically been affected by the level of mortgage interest rates and the level of home price appreciation. Cancellations generally move inversely to the change in the direction of interest rates, although they generally lag a change in direction. Cancellations also include rescissions and policies cancelled due to claim payment.

Our persistency rate was 82.8% at December 31, 2014 compared to 79.5% at December 31, 2013 and 79.8% at December 31, 2012. Our persistency rate is affected by the level of current mortgage interest rates compared to the mortgage interest rates on our insurance in force, which affects the vulnerability of the insurance in force to refinancing. Due to refinancing activity in 2013 and 2012, we experienced lower persistency on our 2009 through 2012 books of business; however, the decline in refinancing activity in 2014 has resulted in increasing persistency on a majority of these books of business. This has been partially offset by higher persistency rates on our older books of business reflecting the more restrictive credit policies of lenders (which make it more difficult for homeowners to refinance loans), as well as declines in housing values. During the 1990s, our year-end persistency ranged from a high of 87.4% at December 31, 1990 to a low of 68.1% at December 31, 1998. Since 2000, our year-end persistency ranged from a high of 84.7% at December 31, 2009 to a low of 47.1% at December 31, 2003.

*Wall Street Bulk transactions*

We ceased writing Wall Street bulk business in the fourth quarter of 2007. Wall Street bulk transactions, as of December 31, 2014, included approximately 58,000 loans with insurance in force of approximately \$8.6 billion and risk in force of approximately \$2.6 billion, which is approximately 77% of our bulk risk in force.

*Pool insurance*

We have written no new pool insurance since 2009, however, for a variety of reasons, including responding to capital market alternatives to private mortgage insurance and customer demands, we may write pool risk in the future. Our direct pool risk in force was \$0.8 billion (\$0.3 billion on pool policies with aggregate loss limits and \$0.5 billion on pool policies without aggregate loss limits) at December 31, 2014 compared to \$1.0 billion (\$0.4 billion on pool policies with aggregate loss limits and \$0.6 billion on pool policies without aggregate loss limits) at December 31, 2013. If claim payments associated with a specific pool reach the aggregate loss limit the remaining insurance in force within the pool would be cancelled and any remaining defaults under the pool are removed from our default inventory.

*Net premiums written and earned*

Net premiums written and earned during 2014 decreased when compared to 2013. The decrease was primarily due to an increase in premiums ceded under reinsurance agreements, offset, in part, by an increase in profit commissions. The increase in premiums ceded and profit commissions in 2014 was due to an addendum entered into in December 2013 for our 2013 quota share agreement that expanded the applicable coverage to insurance written prior to April 1, 2013 that had never been delinquent. The profit commission is subject to the performance of the policies under the 2013 quota share reinsurance agreement and addendum. See “*Reinsurance agreements*” below.

Net premiums written and earned during 2013 decreased when compared to 2012. The decrease was due to our lower average insurance in force as well as an increase in premiums ceded under reinsurance agreements. See “*Reinsurance agreements*” below.

We expect our average insurance in force to continue to increase throughout 2015. As our insurance in force grows we expect an increase in our direct premiums written and earned, when compared to 2014. Written and earned premiums are also influenced by the LTV, level of coverage, credit score, premium plan, and premium rates on new insurance written. We expect that our lender-paid single premium business as a percentage of our overall new insurance written will increase in 2015 when compared to 2014, as discussed under “*New insurance written*” above.

The amount of premiums ceded in 2015 would be impacted by potential modifications to or expansion of our existing quota share reinsurance agreement executed in 2013. See our Risk Factor titled “*We may not continue to meet the GSEs’ mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and our returns may decrease if we are required to maintain significantly more capital in order to maintain our eligibility.*”

*Reinsurance agreements*

As discussed in Note 11 – “Reinsurance” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8, in April 2013, MGIC and several of our competitors reached a settlement with the CFPB to resolve its investigation. As part of the settlement, without admitting or denying any liability, we have agreed that we will not enter into any new captive reinsurance agreement or reinsure any new loans under any existing captive reinsurance agreement for a period of ten years. In accordance with this settlement, all of our active captive agreements have been placed into run-off. See Note 11 – “Reinsurance” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for a description of these reinsurance agreements and the related reinsurance recoverable, as well as a description of our quota share reinsurance agreement effective April 1, 2013 and the Addendum to that quota share agreement in December 2013.

At December 31, 2014, approximately 61% of our insurance in force is subject to reinsurance agreements, compared to 55% at December 31, 2013. For the fourth quarter of 2014 approximately 87% of our new insurance written was subject to reinsurance agreements, compared to 92% in the fourth quarter of 2013.

See our risk factor titled “We are involved in legal proceedings and are subject to the risk of additional legal proceedings in the future” in Item 1A for a discussion of requests or subpoenas for information regarding captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements.

*Investment income*

Net investment income in 2014 was higher when compared to 2013. The increase in investment income was due to higher investment yields driven by a larger allocation of the investment portfolio to corporate debt securities, which produce yields above U.S. government debt, and also reinvestment of proceeds into securities with longer durations to maturity on average. The portfolio’s average pre-tax investment yield was 2.2% with duration of 3.9 years as of December 31, 2014 compared to an average pre-tax investment yield of 1.7% and duration of 3.2 years as of December 31, 2013.

Net investment income in 2013 was lower when compared to 2012. The decrease was driven by a reduction in the average invested assets resulting from the payment of claims and also due in part to realized gains taken in 2012 and 2011. These realized gains captured income in those prior years that would have otherwise been earned over several years. The realized gains in 2012 and 2011 also drove the investment yield lower. The portfolio’s average pre-tax investment yield was 1.7% at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Our current investment policy emphasizes preservation of capital. Therefore, our investment portfolio consists almost entirely of high-quality, investment grade, fixed income securities. The investment policy also places an emphasis on maximizing investment income. In order to maximize net investment income, the concentration of tax-exempt municipals will increase with sustained profitability of the company.

### *Realized gains and other-than-temporary impairments*

Net realized gains for 2014 included \$1.5 million in net realized gains on the sale of fixed income investments, slightly offset by \$0.1 million in other-than-temporary (“OTTI”) losses. Net realized gains for 2013 included \$6.1 million in net realized gains on the sale of fixed income investments, slightly offset by \$0.3 million in OTTI losses. At December 31, 2014, the net unrealized gains in our investment portfolio were \$7.1 million, which included \$37.6 million of gross unrealized gains, partially offset by \$30.5 million of gross unrealized losses.

Net realized gains for 2012 included \$197.7 million in net realized gains on the sale of fixed income investments, slightly offset by \$2.3 million in OTTI losses. We elected to realize gains during 2012, by selling certain securities, given the favorable market conditions experienced in 2012.

### *Other revenue*

Other revenue for 2014 decreased compared to 2013 primarily due to losses of \$0.8 million realized on debt repurchases. In the first quarter of 2014 we repurchased \$20.9 million in par value of our 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015 at a cost slightly above par.

Other revenue for 2013 decreased compared to 2012 primarily due to a decrease in gains on debt repurchases. During 2013 we repurchased \$17.2 million of our 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015 at par value. In 2012, we recognized \$17.8 million of gains on the repurchase of \$70.9 million in par value of our 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015.

### *Losses*

As discussed in “Critical Accounting Policies” below and consistent with industry practices, we establish loss reserves for future claims only for loans that are currently delinquent. The terms “delinquent” and “default” are used interchangeably by us. We consider a loan in default when it is two or more payments past due. Loss reserves are established based on estimating the number of loans in our default inventory that will result in a claim payment, which is referred to as the claim rate, and further estimating the amount of the claim payment, which is referred to as claim severity. Historically, a substantial majority of borrowers have eventually cured their delinquent loans by making their overdue payments, but this percentage has decreased significantly in recent years.

Estimation of losses is inherently judgmental. The conditions that affect the claim rate and claim severity include the current and future state of the domestic economy, including unemployment and the current and future strength of local housing markets. Current conditions in the housing and mortgage industries make these assumptions more volatile than they would otherwise be. The actual amount of the claim payments may be substantially different than our loss reserve estimates. Our estimates could be adversely affected by several factors, including a deterioration of regional or national economic conditions, including unemployment, leading to a reduction in borrowers’ income and thus their ability to make mortgage payments, and a drop in housing values that could result in, among other things, greater losses on loans that have pool insurance, and may affect borrower willingness to continue to make mortgage payments when the value of the home is below the mortgage balance. Our estimates are also affected by any agreements we enter into regarding our claims paying practices, such as the settlement agreements discussed in Note 20 – “Litigation and Contingencies” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8. Changes to our estimates could result in a material impact to our results of operations, even in a stable economic environment.

Losses incurred

Losses incurred for 2014 decreased by \$343 million as compared to 2013. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in the number of new default notices received, net of cures, and favorable development on prior year losses. Losses incurred in 2012 included a one-time charge of \$267.5 million which was recorded to reflect the settlement of the Freddie Mac pool dispute and an increase to loss reserve estimates of approximately \$100 million to reflect the estimated cost of rescission settlement agreements. The primary default inventory decreased by 23,427 delinquencies in 2014 compared to a decrease of 36,517 in 2013. The claim rate and estimated severity on our default inventory as of December 31, 2014 has increased slightly compared to the rates and amounts as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

In 2014, net losses incurred were \$496 million, comprised of \$596 million of current year loss development partially offset by \$100 million of favorable prior years' loss development. In 2013, net losses incurred were \$839 million, comprised of \$899 million of current year loss development offset by \$60 million of favorable prior years' loss development. In 2012, net losses incurred were \$2,067 million, comprised of \$1,494 million of current year loss development and \$573 million of unfavorable prior years' loss development.

Historically, losses incurred have followed a seasonal trend in which the second half of the year has weaker credit performance than the first half, with higher new notice activity and a lower cure rate.

See Note 9 – “Loss Reserves” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 and “Critical Accounting Policies” below for a discussion of our losses incurred and claims paying practices.

Information about the composition of the primary insurance default inventory at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appears in the table below.

	December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Total loans delinquent	79,901	103,328	139,845
Percentage of loans delinquent (default rate)	8.25%	10.76%	13.90%
Prime loans delinquent (1)	50,307	65,724	90,270
Percentage of prime loans delinquent (default rate)	5.82%	7.82%	10.44%
A-minus loans delinquent (1)	13,021	16,496	20,884
Percentage of A-minus loans delinquent (default rate)	27.61%	30.41%	32.92%
Subprime credit loans delinquent (1)	5,228	6,391	7,668
Percentage of subprime credit loans (default rate)	35.20%	38.70%	40.78%
Reduced documentation loans delinquent (2)	11,345	14,717	21,023
Percentage of reduced documentation loans delinquent (default rate)	27.08%	30.41%	35.23%

General Notes:

- (a) The FICO credit score for a loan with multiple borrowers is the lowest of the borrowers' "decision FICO scores." A borrower's "decision FICO score" is determined as follows: if there are three FICO scores available, the middle FICO score is used; if two FICO scores are available, the lower of the two is used; if only one FICO score is available, it is used.
- (b) Servicers continue to pay our premiums for nearly all of the loans in our default inventory, but in some cases, servicers stop paying our premiums. In those cases, even though the loans continue to be included in our default inventory, the applicable loans are removed from our insurance in force and risk in force. Loans where servicers have stopped paying premiums include 4,074 defaults with risk in force of \$205 million as of December 31, 2014.
- (1) We define prime loans as those having FICO credit scores of 620 or greater, A-minus loans as those having FICO credit scores of 575-619, and subprime credit loans as those having FICO credit scores of less than 575, all as reported to us at the time a commitment to insure is issued. However, we classify all loans without complete documentation as "reduced documentation" loans regardless of FICO score rather than as a prime, "A-minus" or "subprime" loan; in the table above, such loans appear only in the reduced documentation category and they do not appear in any of the other categories.
- (2) In accordance with industry practice, loans approved by GSE and other automated underwriting (AU) systems under "doc waiver" programs that do not require verification of borrower income are classified by MGIC as "full documentation." Based in part on information provided by the GSEs, we estimate full documentation loans of this type were approximately 4% of 2007 NIW. Information for other periods is not available. We understand these AU systems grant such doc waivers for loans they judge to have higher credit quality. We also understand that the GSEs terminated their "doc waiver" programs, with respect to new commitments, in the second half of 2008.

The primary and pool loss reserves at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appear in the table below.

Gross Reserves	December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Primary:			
Direct loss reserves (in millions)	\$ 2,246	\$ 2,834	\$ 3,744
Ending default inventory	79,901	103,328	139,845
Average direct reserve per default	\$ 28,107	\$ 27,425	\$ 26,771
Primary claims received inventory included in ending default inventory	4,746	6,948	11,731
Pool (1):			
Direct loss reserves (in millions):			
With aggregate loss limits	\$ 53	\$ 82	\$ 120
Without aggregate loss limits	12	17	20
Reserves related to Freddie Mac settlement (2)	84	126	167
Total pool direct loss reserves	\$ 149	\$ 225	\$ 307
Ending default inventory:			
With aggregate loss limits	3,020	5,496	7,243
Without aggregate loss limits	777	1,067	1,351
Total pool ending default inventory	3,797	6,563	8,594
Pool claims received inventory included in ending default inventory	99	173	304
Other gross reserves (in millions)	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 6

(1) Since a number of our pool policies include aggregate loss limits and/or deductibles, we do not disclose an average direct reserve per default for our pool business.

(2) See our Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 30, 2012 for a discussion of our settlement with Freddie Mac regarding a pool policy.

The primary default inventory and primary loss reserves by region at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appear in the table below.

#### Losses by Region

##### Primary Default Inventory

<u>Region</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Great Lakes	9,329	12,049	16,538
Mid-Atlantic	4,416	5,469	6,948
New England	4,117	5,056	6,160
North Central	8,499	11,225	16,367
Northeast	13,152	15,223	17,553
Pacific	6,242	8,313	13,235
Plains	2,427	3,156	4,126
South Central	9,045	11,606	15,418
Southeast	22,674	31,231	43,500
Total	<u>79,901</u>	<u>103,328</u>	<u>139,845</u>

##### Primary Loss Reserve

(In millions)

<u>Region</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Great Lakes	\$ 139	\$ 206	\$ 295
Mid-Atlantic	123	123	178
New England	125	139	144
North Central	222	313	445
Northeast	446	417	371
Pacific	250	360	599
Plains	35	53	69
South Central	133	192	301
Southeast	641	849	1,089
Total before IBNR and LAE	\$ 2,114	\$ 2,652	\$ 3,491
IBNR and LAE	132	182	253
Total	<u>\$ 2,246</u>	<u>\$ 2,834</u>	<u>\$ 3,744</u>

Regions contain the states as follows:

Great Lakes: IN, KY, MI, OH

Mid-Atlantic: DC, DE, MD, VA, WV

New England: CT, MA, ME, NH, RI, VT

North Central: IL, MN, MO, WI

Northeast: NJ, NY, PA

Pacific: CA, HI, NV, OR, WA

Plains: IA, ID, KS, MT, ND, NE, SD, WY

South Central: AK, AZ, CO, LA, NM, OK, TX, UT

Southeast: AL, AR, FL, GA, MS, NC, SC, TN

The average claim paid, as shown in the table below, can vary materially from period to period based upon a variety of factors, including the local market conditions, average loan amount, average coverage percentage, and loss mitigation efforts of loans for which claims are paid.

The primary average claim paid for the top 5 states (based on 2014 paid claims) for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appears in the table below.

Primary average claim paid

	2014	2013*	2012
Florida	\$ 53,511	\$ 53,647	\$ 57,181
Illinois	48,176	47,872	47,615
California	82,630	84,862	87,305
Maryland	66,140	71,754	75,227
Pennsylvania	38,618	39,899	40,506
All other states	40,477	40,997	42,833
All states	\$ 45,596	\$ 46,375	\$ 48,722

\*Excludes claim payments associated with the implementation of the settlement agreement with Countrywide as discussed in Note 20 - "Litigation and Contingencies" to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

The primary average loan size of our insurance in force at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appears in the table below.

Primary average loan size

	2014	2013	2012
Total insurance in force	\$ 170,240	\$ 165,310	\$ 161,060
Prime (FICO 620 & >)	172,990	167,660	162,450
A-Minus (FICO 575-619)	126,420	127,280	128,850
Subprime (FICO < 575)	117,310	118,510	119,630
Reduced doc (All FICOs)(1)	181,480	183,050	188,210

(1) In this report we classify loans without complete documentation as "reduced documentation" loans regardless of FICO credit score rather than as prime, "A-" or "subprime" loans; in the table above, such loans appear only in the reduced documentation category and they do not appear in any of the other categories.

The primary average loan size of our insurance in force at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 for the top 5 states (based on 2014 paid claims) appears in the table below.

Primary average loan size

	2014	2013	2012
Florida	\$ 177,981	\$ 172,869	\$ 171,884
Illinois	155,335	154,694	154,158
California	283,228	282,660	281,288
Maryland	239,875	236,840	235,219
Pennsylvania	156,028	149,712	143,685
All other states	162,950	157,976	153,358

Information about net paid claims during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appears in the table below.

Net paid claims (In millions)

	2014	2013	2012
Prime (FICO 620 & >)	\$ 755	\$ 1,163	\$ 1,558
A-Minus (FICO 575-619)	124	179	235
Subprime (FICO < 575)	38	50	65
Reduced doc (All FICOs)(1)	157	219	372
Pool (2)	84	104	334
Other (3)	1	107	5
Direct losses paid	1,159	1,822	2,569
Reinsurance	(34)	(61)	(90)
Net losses paid	1,125	1,761	2,479
LAE	29	36	45
Net losses and LAE paid before terminations	1,154	1,797	2,524
Reinsurance terminations	-	(3)	(6)
Net losses and LAE paid	<u>\$ 1,154</u>	<u>\$ 1,794</u>	<u>\$ 2,518</u>

- (1) In this report we classify loans without complete documentation as "reduced documentation" loans regardless of FICO credit score rather than as prime, "A-" or "subprime" loans; in the table above, such loans appear only in the reduced documentation category and they do not appear in any of the other categories.
- (2) 2014, 2013 and 2012 include \$42 million, \$42 million and \$100 million, respectively, paid under the terms of our settlement with Freddie Mac as discussed in Note 9 - "Loss Reserves" to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.
- (3) 2013 includes \$105 million associated with the implementation of the Countrywide settlement as discussed in Note 20 - "Litigation and Contingencies" to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Primary claims paid for the top 15 states (based on 2014 paid claims) and all other states for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appears in the table below.

## Paid Claims by state (In millions)

	2014	2013*	2012
Florida	\$ 247	\$ 297	\$ 317
Illinois	91	139	144
California	57	147	309
Maryland	49	51	47
Pennsylvania	42	46	38
Ohio	41	60	70
New Jersey	38	33	27
Washington	38	69	64
Georgia	29	58	99
Michigan	29	57	110
New York	27	20	14
North Carolina	24	38	48
Arizona	22	54	122
Nevada	21	47	88
Wisconsin	21	41	50
All other states	298	454	683
	<u>\$ 1,074</u>	<u>\$ 1,611</u>	<u>\$ 2,230</u>
Other (Pool, LAE, Reinsurance and Other)	80	183	288
Net losses and LAE paid	<u>\$ 1,154</u>	<u>\$ 1,794</u>	<u>\$ 2,518</u>

\* In 2013 the claims paid associated with our settlement agreement with Countrywide is included in "Other" above and not in the specific state disclosure.

We believe paid claims will continue to decline in 2015.

The primary default inventory for the top 15 states (based on 2014 paid claims) at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appears in the table below.

	2014	2013	2012
Florida	9,442	14,685	22,024
Illinois	4,481	6,167	9,313
California	2,777	3,656	6,201
Maryland	2,119	2,791	3,486
Pennsylvania	4,480	5,449	6,627
Ohio	3,908	5,055	6,647
New Jersey	4,077	4,646	5,303
Washington	1,415	1,986	3,053
Georgia	2,726	3,515	5,100
Michigan	2,447	3,284	4,808
New York	4,595	5,128	5,623
North Carolina	2,147	2,886	3,956
Arizona	850	1,195	2,161
Nevada	853	1,189	2,053
Wisconsin	1,797	2,176	3,086
All other states	31,787	39,520	50,404
	<u>79,901</u>	<u>103,328</u>	<u>139,845</u>

The primary default inventory by policy year at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appears in the table below.

Default inventory by policy year

Policy year:	2014	2013	2012
2003 and prior	13,383	17,892	23,197
2004	6,414	8,298	10,707
2005	10,630	13,728	18,168
2006	15,529	20,055	27,831
2007	25,232	33,085	46,568
2008	6,721	8,714	12,017
2009	648	749	901
2010	300	327	264
2011	260	243	148
2012	316	189	44
2013	335	48	-
2014	133	-	-
	<u>79,901</u>	<u>103,328</u>	<u>139,845</u>

Our results of operations continue to be negatively impacted by the mortgage insurance we wrote during 2005 through 2008. Although uncertainty remains with respect to the ultimate losses we may experience on these books of business, as we continue to write new insurance on high-quality mortgages, those books have become a smaller percentage of our total portfolio, and we expect this trend to continue. Our 2005 through 2008 books of business represented approximately 40% of our total primary risk in force at December 31, 2014 compared to approximately 49% at December 31, 2013.

On our primary business, the highest claim frequency years have typically been the third and fourth year after the year of loan origination. However, the pattern of claims frequency can be affected by many factors, including persistency and deteriorating economic conditions. Low persistency can accelerate the period in the life of a book during which the highest claim frequency occurs. Deteriorating economic conditions can result in increasing claims following a period of declining claims. As of December 31, 2014, 44% of our primary risk in force was written subsequent to December 31, 2011, 48% of our primary risk in force was written subsequent to December 31, 2010, and 51% of our primary risk in force was written subsequent to December 31, 2009.

Premium deficiency

Beginning in 2007, when we stopped writing Wall Street bulk business, we began to separately measure the performance of these transactions and established a premium deficiency reserve related to this business. The premium deficiency reserve reflects the present value of expected future losses and expenses that exceeded the present value of expected future premiums and already established loss reserves. This premium deficiency reserve as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$24 million, \$48 million and \$74 million, respectively. The discount rate used in the calculation of the premium deficiency reserve at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was 2.1%, 1.6% and 1.3%, respectively.

See Note 10 – “Premium Deficiency Reserve” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for a discussion of our premium deficiency reserve, as well as under “Critical Accounting Policies” below.

#### *Underwriting and other expenses*

Underwriting and other expenses for 2014 decreased when compared to 2013. The decrease primarily reflects an increase in ceding commission related to our reinsurance agreements, a reduction in employee costs, and a decrease in legal expenses.

Underwriting and other expenses for 2013 decreased when compared to 2012. The decrease primarily reflects our reduction in headcount, a decrease in contract underwriting remedy costs and an increase in ceding commission related to our reinsurance agreements.

#### *Ratios*

The table below presents our GAAP loss, expense and combined ratios for our combined insurance operations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

	2014	2013	2012
Loss ratio	58.8%	88.9%	200.1%
Underwriting expense ratio	14.7%	18.6%	15.2%
Combined ratio	73.5%	107.5%	215.3%

The loss ratio is the ratio, expressed as a percentage, of the sum of incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses to net premiums earned. The loss ratio does not reflect any effects due to premium deficiency. The decrease in the loss ratio in 2014 compared to 2013, was due to a decrease in losses incurred, somewhat offset by a decrease in net premiums earned. The underwriting expense ratio is the ratio, expressed as a percentage, of the underwriting expenses of our combined insurance operations (which excludes the cost of non-insurance operations) to net premiums written. The decrease in the underwriting expense ratio in 2014 compared to 2013, was due to an increase in ceding commissions under our 2013 reinsurance agreement and a decrease in other expenses of our combined insurance operations. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio and the underwriting expense ratio.

The decrease in the loss ratio in 2013 compared to 2012, was due to a decrease in losses incurred, somewhat offset by a decrease in premiums earned. The increase in the underwriting expense ratio in 2013 compared to 2012 was due to a decrease in net premiums written as well as an increase in underwriting and other expenses of our combined insurance operations.

#### *Interest expense*

Interest expense for 2014 decreased when compared to 2013. The decrease is primarily related to a \$10.5 million decrease in amortization of the discount on our junior debentures, which became fully amortized in the first quarter of 2013, and a decrease in interest expense on our Senior Notes due in 2015 resulting from repayments of principal in 2013 and 2014. These decreases were offset in part by an increase in interest expense from our Convertible Notes due in 2020 that were issued in the March of 2013.

Interest expense for 2013 decreased when compared to 2012. The decrease was primarily related to a decrease in amortization of the discount on our junior debentures. The discount on the debentures was fully amortized as of March 31, 2013. This decrease in interest expense was somewhat offset by the interest expense associated with the Convertible Notes we issued in March 2013.

#### *Income taxes*

The effective tax rate provision on our pre-tax income was 1.1% in 2014 compared to the effective tax rate provision (benefit) on our pre-tax loss of 8.0% and (0.2%), in 2013, and 2012, respectively. During those periods, the provision for (benefit from) income taxes was reduced by the change in the valuation allowance.

See Note 14 – “Income Taxes” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for a discussion of our tax position.

#### **Financial Condition**

At December 31, 2014 the total fair value of our investment portfolio was \$4.6 billion. In addition, at December 31, 2014 our total assets included approximately \$215 million of cash and cash equivalents as shown on our consolidated balance sheet. At December 31, 2014, based on fair value, virtually all of our fixed income securities were investment grade securities. More than 99% of our fixed income securities are readily marketable. The composition of ratings at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 are shown in the table below.

## Investment Portfolio Ratings

	December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
AAA	31%	42%	52%
AA	17%	17%	15%
A	35%	27%	22%
BBB	17%	14%	11%
Investment grade	100%	100%	100%
Below investment grade	-	-	-
Total	100%	100%	100%

The ratings above are provided by one or more of: Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch Ratings. If three ratings are available the middle rating is utilized, otherwise the lowest rating is utilized.

Approximately 2% of our investment portfolio is guaranteed by financial guarantors. We evaluate the credit risk of securities through analysis of the underlying fundamentals. The extent of our analysis depends on a variety of factors, including the issuer's sector, scale, profitability, debt cover, ratings and the tenor of the investment. At December 31, 2014, less than 1% of our fixed income securities were relying on financial guaranty insurance to elevate their rating.

We primarily place our investments in investment grade securities pursuant to our investment policy guidelines. The policy guidelines also limit the amount of our credit exposure to any one issue, issuer and type of instrument. At December 31, 2014, the modified duration of our fixed income investment portfolio was 3.9 years, which means that an instantaneous parallel shift in the yield curve of 100 basis points would result in a change of 3.9% in the fair value of our fixed income portfolio. For an upward shift in the yield curve, the fair value of our portfolio would decrease and for a downward shift in the yield curve, the fair value would increase. See Note 6 – "Investments" to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional disclosure surrounding our investment portfolio.

At December 31, 2014, we had outstanding \$61.9 million, 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015, with an approximate fair value of \$64 million, \$345 million principal amount of 5% Convertible Senior Notes outstanding due in 2017, with an approximate fair value of \$388 million, \$500 million principal amount of 2% Convertible Senior Notes outstanding due in 2020, with an approximate fair value of \$735 million and \$389.5 million principal amount of 9% Convertible Junior Subordinated Debentures due in 2063 outstanding, with an approximate fair value of \$500 million. See Note 8 – "Debt" to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional disclosure on our debt.

See Note 14 – “Income Taxes” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for a description of our federal income tax contingencies.

Our principal exposure to loss is our obligation to pay claims under MGIC’s mortgage guaranty insurance policies. At December 31, 2014, MGIC’s direct (before any reinsurance) primary and pool risk in force, which is the unpaid principal balance of insured loans as reflected in our records multiplied by the coverage percentage, and taking account of any loss limit, was approximately \$43.7 billion. In addition, as part of our contract underwriting activities provided through a non-insurance subsidiary, that subsidiary is responsible for the quality of the underwriting decisions in accordance with the terms of the contract underwriting agreements with customers. That subsidiary may be required to provide certain remedies to our customers if certain standards relating to the quality of our underwriting work are not met, and we have an established reserve for such future obligations. Claims for remedies may be made a number of years after the underwriting work was performed. Beginning in the second half of 2009, our subsidiary has experienced an increase in claims for contract underwriting remedies, which continued throughout 2012. The related contract underwriting remedy expense was approximately \$5 million and \$27 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The underwriting remedy expense for the year ended December 31, 2014 was approximately \$4 million, but may increase in the future.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

### *Overview*

Our sources of funds consist primarily of:

- our investment portfolio (which is discussed in “Financial Condition” above), and interest income on the portfolio,
- premiums, net of reinsurance agreements, that we will receive from our existing insurance in force as well as policies that we write in the future and
- amounts that we expect to recover from reinsurance agreements which is discussed in “Results of Consolidated Operations – Reinsurance agreements” above.

Our obligations consist primarily of:

- claim payments under MGIC’s mortgage guaranty insurance policies,
- \$62 million of 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015,
- \$345 million of 5% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2017,
- \$500 million of 2% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2020,

- \$390 million of 9% Convertible Junior Debentures due in 2063,
- interest on the foregoing debt instruments, and
- the other costs and operating expenses of our business.

Subject to certain limitations and restrictions, holders of each of the convertible debt issues may convert their notes into shares of our common stock at their option prior to certain dates prescribed under the terms of their issuance, in which case our corresponding obligation will be eliminated.

Since 2009, our claim payments have exceeded our premiums received. Due to the uncertainty regarding how factors such as new loss mitigation protocols established by servicers and changes in some state foreclosure laws that may include, for example, a requirement for additional review and/or mediation process, will affect our future paid claims it remains difficult to estimate the amount and timing of future claim payments. We expect further net cash outflow in 2015. When we experience cash shortfalls, we can fund them through sales of short-term investments and other investment portfolio securities, subject to insurance regulatory requirements regarding the payment of dividends to the extent funds were required by an entity other than the seller. In addition, we align the maturities of our investment portfolio with our estimate of future obligations. A significant portion of our investment portfolio securities are held by our insurance subsidiaries.

The following table summarizes our consolidated cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities:

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands)		
Total cash (used in) provided by:			
Operating activities	\$ (409,984)	\$ (971,531)	\$ (1,568,600)
Investing activities	296,941	(854,127)	1,653,533
Financing activities	(21,767)	1,130,725	(53,107)
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (134,810)	\$ (694,933)	\$ 31,826

Cash used in operating activities for 2014 and 2013 was lower, when compared to the most recent prior year, due to a decrease in losses paid and a decrease in premiums returned, partially offset by a decrease in premiums collected.

The change in cash related to investing activities in 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to a decrease in purchases of fixed maturity securities. In 2013, cash used in investment activities included the purchase of additional fixed maturity securities using proceeds from our concurrent common stock and convertible senior note offerings in March 2013 discussed in Note 9 – “Debt” and Note 15 – “Shareholders’ Equity” to our consolidated financial statements.

Cash provided by investing activities in 2012 was due to sales and maturities of fixed maturity securities, in part to capture realized gains that exceeded reinvestment activity during 2012.

The change in cash related to financing activities was driven by proceeds from our concurrent common stock and convertible senior note offerings in March 2013 discussed in Note 9 – “Debt” and Note 15 – “Shareholders’ Equity” to our consolidated financial statements, offset in part by an increase in debt repurchases of our 5.375% Senior Notes due in 2015.

Cash used in financing activities in 2012 was due to repurchasing \$70.9 million in par value of our 5.375% Senior Notes due in 2015 at a cost of \$53.1 million.

#### *Debt at Our Holding Company and Holding Company Capital Resources*

See Note 8 – “Debt” and Note 15 – “Shareholders’ Equity” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for information related to our sale of common stock and issuance of convertible senior notes in March 2013.

The senior notes, convertible senior notes and convertible debentures are obligations of MGIC Investment Corporation and not of its subsidiaries. The payment of dividends from our insurance subsidiaries, which other than raising capital in the public markets is the principal source of our holding company cash inflow, is restricted by insurance regulation. MGIC is the principal source of dividend-paying capacity. Since 2008, MGIC has not paid any dividends to our holding company. Through 2015, MGIC cannot pay any dividends to our holding company without approval from the OCI.

At December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$491 million in cash and investments at our holding company.

As of December 31, 2014, our holding company’s debt obligations were \$1,297 million in par value consisting of:

- \$61.9 million in par value of 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015, with an annual interest cost of \$3.3 million;
- \$345 million in par value of 5% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2017, with an annual interest cost of \$17 million;
- \$500 million in par value of 2% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2020, with an annual interest cost of \$10 million; and

· \$390 million in par value of 9% Convertible Junior Debentures due in 2063, with an annual interest cost of \$35 million

See Note 8 – “Debt” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information about this indebtedness, including restrictive covenants in our Senior Notes and our option to defer interest on our Convertible Junior Debentures. Any deferred interest compounds at the stated rate of 9%. The description in Note 8 - “Debt” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 is qualified in its entirety by the terms of the notes and debentures. The terms of our Senior Notes are contained in the Officer's Certificate, dated as of October 4, 2005, which specifies the interest rate, maturity date and other terms, and in the Indenture dated as of October 15, 2000, between us and the trustee, included as an exhibit to our Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 19, 2000 (the “2000 Indenture”). The terms of our 5% Convertible Senior Notes are contained in a Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 26, 2010, between us and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, which is included as an exhibit to our 8-K filed with the SEC on April 30, 2010, and in the 2000 Indenture. The terms of our 2% Convertible Senior Notes are contained in a Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 12, 2013, between us and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, and the Indenture dated as of October 15, 2000, between us and the trustee. The terms of our Convertible Junior Debentures are contained in the Indenture dated as of March 28, 2008, between us and U.S. Bank National Association filed as an exhibit to our Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 12, 2008.

Our holding company has no other material sources of cash inflows other than investment income. Furthermore, our holding company contributed \$800 million in the first quarter of 2013, \$100 million in December 2012 and \$200 million in December 2011 to support its insurance operations. Any further contributions to our insurance operations or other non-insurance affiliates would further decrease our holding company cash and investments. See discussion of our non-insurance contract underwriting services under “Financial Condition” above and in Note 20 – “Litigation and Contingencies” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8. We may also contribute funds to our insurance operations in connection with the implementation of revised mortgage insurer capital standards by the GSEs or NAIC. See “Overview – Capital” above for a discussion of these capital standards.

During 2014 and 2013, we repurchased \$20.9 million and \$17.2 million in par value, respectively, of the 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015. The repurchases in 2014 were at a cost slightly above par, for which we recognized a loss of \$0.8 million, and the 2013 repurchases were executed at par value. In 2012 we repurchased approximately \$70.9 million in par value of our 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015, at a cost of \$53.1 million and recognized \$17.8 million in gains on the 2012 repurchases, which is included in other revenue on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2012. We may from time to time continue to seek to acquire our debt obligations through cash purchases and/or exchanges for other securities. We may do this in open market purchases, privately negotiated acquisitions or other transactions. The amounts involved may be material.

## Risk-to-Capital

We compute our risk-to-capital ratio on a separate company statutory basis, as well as for our combined insurance operations. The risk-to-capital ratio is our net risk in force divided by our policyholders' position. Our net risk in force includes both primary and pool risk in force, and excludes risk on policies that are currently in default and for which loss reserves have been established. The risk amount includes pools of loans with contractual aggregate loss limits and in some cases without these limits. Policyholders' position consists primarily of statutory policyholders' surplus (which increases as a result of statutory net income and decreases as a result of statutory net loss and dividends paid), plus the statutory contingency reserve. The statutory contingency reserve is reported as a liability on the statutory balance sheet. A mortgage insurance company is required to make annual contributions to the contingency reserve of approximately 50% of net earned premiums. These contributions must generally be maintained for a period of ten years. However, with regulatory approval a mortgage insurance company may make early withdrawals from the contingency reserve when incurred losses exceed 35% of net earned premium in a calendar year.

The premium deficiency reserve discussed in Note 10 – "Premium Deficiency Reserve" to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 is not recorded as a liability on the statutory balance sheet and is not a component of statutory net income. The present value of expected future premiums and already established loss reserves and statutory contingency reserves, exceeds the present value of expected future losses and expenses on our total in force book, so no deficiency is recorded on a statutory basis. On a GAAP basis, contingency loss reserves are not established and thus not considered when calculating premium deficiency reserve and policies are grouped based on how they are acquired, serviced and measured.

MGIC's separate company risk-to-capital calculation appears in the table below.

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(In millions, except ratio)	
Risk in force - net (1)	\$ 25,735	\$ 24,054
Statutory policyholders' surplus	\$ 1,518	\$ 1,521
Statutory contingency reserve	247	-
Statutory policyholders' position	\$ 1,765	\$ 1,521
Risk-to-capital	14.6:1	15.8:1

(1) Risk in force – net, as shown in the table above, is net of reinsurance and exposure on policies currently in default and for which loss reserves have been established.

Our combined insurance companies' risk-to-capital calculation appears in the table below.

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(In millions, except ratio)	
Risk in force - net (1)	\$ 31,272	\$ 29,468
Statutory policyholders' surplus	\$ 1,585	\$ 1,584
Statutory contingency reserve	318	19
Statutory policyholders' position	\$ 1,903	\$ 1,603
Risk-to-capital	16.4:1	18.4:1

(1) Risk in force – net, as shown in the table above, is net of reinsurance and exposure on policies currently in default (\$3.8 billion at December 31, 2014 and \$4.8 billion at December 31, 2013) and for which loss reserves have been established.

Statutory policyholders' position increased in 2013, due to an \$800 million capital contribution to MGIC from part of the proceeds from our March 2013 sale of common stock and issuance of convertible senior notes. Our risk in force, net of reinsurance, decreased in 2014, due to the Addendum to our quota share reinsurance agreement discussed in Note 1 – “Nature of Business – Capital” and Note 11 – “Reinsurance” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8. Our risk-to-capital ratio will increase if the percentage decrease in capital exceeds the percentage decrease in insured risk. Therefore, as capital decreases, the same dollar decrease in capital will cause a greater percentage decrease in capital and a greater increase in the risk-to-capital ratio.

For additional information regarding regulatory capital see Note 1 – “Nature of Business – Capital” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 as well as our risk factor titled “State capital requirements may prevent us from continuing to write new insurance on an uninterrupted basis” in Item IA.

#### *Financial Strength Ratings*

The financial strength of MGIC, our principal mortgage insurance subsidiary, is rated Ba3 by Moody's Investors Service with a stable outlook. Standard & Poor's Rating Services' insurer financial strength rating of MGIC is BB+ with a stable outlook. For further information about the importance of MGIC's ratings, see our risk factor titled “We may not continue to meet the GSEs' mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and our returns may decrease if we are required to maintain significantly more capital in order to maintain our eligibility” and “Competition or changes in our relationships with our customers could reduce our revenues, reduce our premium yields and/or increase our losses” in Item 1A.

**Contractual Obligations**

At December 31, 2014, the approximate future payments under our contractual obligations of the type described in the table below are as follows:

Contractual Obligations (In millions):	Payments due by period				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Long-term debt obligations	\$ 3,098	\$ 128	\$ 461	\$ 90	\$ 2,419
Operating lease obligations	3	1	2	-	-
Tax obligations	19	-	19	-	-
Purchase obligations	3	2	1	-	-
Pension, SERP and other post-retirement benefit plans	272	24	49	55	144
Other long-term liabilities	2,397	1,222	1,031	144	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 5,792</b>	<b>\$ 1,377</b>	<b>\$ 1,563</b>	<b>\$ 289</b>	<b>\$ 2,563</b>

Our long-term debt obligations at December 31, 2014 include, \$61.9 million of 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015, \$345 million of 5% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2017, \$500 million 2% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2020 and \$389.5 million in convertible debentures due in 2063, including related interest, as discussed in Note 8 – “Debt” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 and under “Liquidity and Capital Resources” above. Our operating lease obligations include operating leases on certain office space, data processing equipment and autos, as discussed in Note 19 – “Leases” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8. Tax obligations consist primarily of amounts related to our current dispute with the IRS, as discussed in Note 14 – “Income Taxes” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8. Purchase obligations consist primarily of agreements to purchase data processing hardware or services made in the normal course of business. See Note 13 - “Benefit Plans” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for discussion of expected benefit payments under our benefit plans.

Our other long-term liabilities represent the loss reserves established to recognize the liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses related to defaults on insured mortgage loans. The timing of the future claim payments associated with the established loss reserves was determined primarily based on two key assumptions: the length of time it takes for a notice of default to develop into a received claim and the length of time it takes for a received claim to be ultimately paid. The future claim payment periods are estimated based on historical experience, and could emerge significantly different than this estimate. Due to the uncertainty regarding how certain factors, such as new loss mitigation protocols established by servicers and changes in some state foreclosure laws that may include, for example, a requirement for additional review and/or mediation process, will affect our future paid claims it has become even more difficult to estimate the amount and timing of future claim payments. See Note 9 – “Loss Reserves” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 and “-Critical Accounting Policies” below. In accordance with GAAP for the mortgage insurance industry, we establish loss reserves only for loans in default. Because our reserving method does not take account of the impact of future losses that could occur from loans that are not delinquent, our obligation for ultimate losses that we expect to occur under our policies in force at any period end is not reflected in our financial statements or in the table above.

## Critical Accounting Policies

We believe that the accounting policies described below involved significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

### *Loss reserves and premium deficiency reserves*

#### Loss reserves

Reserves are established for reported insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses based on when notices of default on insured mortgage loans are received. For reporting purposes, we consider a loan in default when it is two or more payments past due. Reserves are also established for estimated losses incurred on notices of default not yet reported. Even though the accounting standard, Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 944, regarding accounting and reporting by insurance entities specifically excluded mortgage insurance from its guidance relating to loss reserves, we establish loss reserves using the general principles contained in the insurance standard. However, consistent with industry standards for mortgage insurers, we do not establish loss reserves for future claims on insured loans which are not currently in default.

We establish reserves using estimated claim rates and claim amounts in estimating the ultimate loss. The liability for reinsurance assumed is based on information provided by the ceding companies.

The incurred but not reported, or IBNR, reserves referred to above result from defaults occurring prior to the close of an accounting period, but which have not been reported to us. Consistent with reserves for reported defaults, IBNR reserves are established using estimated claim rates and claim severities for the estimated number of defaults not reported. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we had IBNR reserves of approximately \$99 million and \$128 million, respectively.

Reserves also provide for the estimated costs of settling claims, including legal and other expenses and general expenses of administering the claims settlement process.

The estimated claim rates and claim severities represent what we believe reflect the best estimate of what will actually be paid on the loans in default as of the reserve date. If a policy is rescinded we do not expect that it will result in a claim payment and thus the rescission generally reduces the historical claim rate used in establishing reserves. In addition, if a loan cures its delinquency, including successful loan modifications that result in a cure being reported to us, the cure reduces the historical claim rate used in establishing reserves. Our methodology to determine the estimate of claim rates and claim amounts are based on our review of recent trends in the default inventory. To establish reserves we utilize a reserving model that continually incorporates historical data on the rate at which defaults resulted in a claim, or the claim rate. This historical data includes the effects of rescissions, which are included as cures within the model. The model also incorporates an estimate for the amount of the claim we will pay, or severity. The severity is estimated using the historical percentage of our claim paid compared to our loan exposure, as well as the risk in force of the loans currently in default. We do not utilize an explicit rescission rate in our reserving methodology, but rather our reserving methodology incorporates the effects rescission activity has had on our historical claim rate and claim severities. We review recent trends in the claim rate, severity, the change in the level of defaults by geography and the change in average loan exposure. As a result, the process to determine reserves does not include quantitative ranges of outcomes that are reasonably likely to occur.

The claim rates and claim severities are likely to be affected by external events, including actual economic conditions such as changes in unemployment rate, interest rate or housing value. Our estimation process does not include a correlation between claim rates and claim amounts to projected economic conditions such as changes in unemployment rate, interest rate or housing value. Our experience is that analysis of that nature would not produce reliable results. The results would not be reliable as the change in one economic condition cannot be isolated to determine its sole effect on our ultimate paid losses as our ultimate paid losses are also influenced at the same time by other economic conditions. Additionally, the changes and interaction of these economic conditions are not likely homogeneous throughout the regions in which we conduct business. Each economic environment influences our ultimate paid losses differently, even if apparently similar in nature. Furthermore, changes in economic conditions may not necessarily be reflected in our loss development in the quarter or year in which the changes occur. Typically, actual claim results often lag changes in economic conditions by at least nine to twelve months.

In considering the potential sensitivity of the factors underlying our best estimate of loss reserves, it is possible that even a relatively small change in estimated claim rate or a relatively small percentage change in estimated claim amount could have a significant impact on reserves and, correspondingly, on results of operations. For example, a \$1,000 change in the average severity reserve factor combined with a 1% change in the average claim rate reserve factor would change the reserve amount by approximately \$87 million as of December 31, 2014. Historically, it has not been uncommon for us to experience variability in the development of the loss reserves through the end of the following year at this level or higher, as shown by the historical development of our loss reserves in the table below:

	Losses incurred related to prior years (1)	Reserve at end of prior year
	(In thousands)	
2014	(100,359)	3,061,401
2013	(59,687)	4,056,843
2012	573,120	4,557,512
2011	(99,328)	5,884,171
2010	(266,908)	6,704,990

(1) A positive number for a prior year indicates a deficiency of loss reserves, and a negative number for a prior year indicates a redundancy of loss reserves.

See Note 9 – “Loss Reserves” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for a discussion of recent loss development.

Estimation of losses is inherently judgmental. The conditions that affect the claim rate and claim severity include the current and future state of the domestic economy, including unemployment and the current and future strength of local housing markets. Current conditions in the housing and mortgage industries make these assumptions more volatile than they would otherwise be. The actual amount of the claim payments may be substantially different than our loss reserve estimates. Our estimates could be adversely affected by several factors, including a deterioration of regional or national economic conditions, including unemployment, leading to a reduction in borrowers’ income and thus their ability to make mortgage payments, and a drop in housing values that could result in, among other things, greater losses on loans that have pool insurance, and may affect borrower willingness to continue to make mortgage payments when the value of the home is below the mortgage balance. Our estimates are also affected by any agreements we enter into regarding our claims paying practices, such as the settlement agreements discussed in Note 20 – “Litigation and Contingencies” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8. Changes to our estimates could result in a material impact to our results of operations, even in a stable economic environment. Loss reserves in the most recent years contain a greater degree of uncertainty, even though the estimates are based on the best available data.

For more information regarding our claims paying practices and related legal proceedings, see Note 9 – “Loss Reserves” and Note 20 – “Litigation and Contingencies” to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

## Premium deficiency reserve

After our reserves are established, we perform premium deficiency calculations using best estimate assumptions as of the testing date. The calculation of premium deficiency reserves requires the use of significant judgments and estimates to determine the present value of future premium and present value of expected losses and expenses on our business. The present value of future premium relies on, among other things, assumptions about persistency and repayment patterns on underlying loans. The present value of expected losses and expenses depends on assumptions relating to severity of claims and claim rates on current defaults, and expected defaults in future periods. These assumptions also include an estimate of expected rescission activity. Assumptions used in calculating the deficiency reserves can be affected by volatility in the current housing and mortgage lending industries. To the extent premium patterns and actual loss experience differ from the assumptions used in calculating the premium deficiency reserves, the differences between the actual results and our estimate will affect future period earnings.

The establishment of premium deficiency reserves is subject to inherent uncertainty and requires judgment by management. The actual amount of claim payments and premium collections may vary significantly from the premium deficiency reserve estimates. Similar to our loss reserve estimates, our estimates for premium deficiency reserves could be adversely affected by several factors, including a deterioration of regional or economic conditions leading to a reduction in borrowers' income and thus their ability to make mortgage payments, and a drop in housing values that could expose us to greater losses. Changes to our estimates could result in material changes in our operations, even in a stable economic environment. Adjustments to premium deficiency reserves estimates are reflected in the financial statements in the years in which the adjustments are made.

### *Revenue recognition*

When a policy term ends, the primary mortgage insurance written by us is renewable at the insured's option through continued payment of the premium in accordance with the schedule established at the inception of the policy life. We have no ability to reunderwrite or reprice these policies after issuance. Premiums written under policies having single and annual premium payments are initially deferred as unearned premium reserve and earned over the policy life. Premiums written on policies covering more than one year are amortized over the policy life in relationship to the anticipated incurred loss pattern based on historical experience. Premiums written on annual policies are earned on a monthly pro rata basis. Premiums written on monthly policies are earned as the monthly coverage is provided. When a policy is cancelled, all premium that is non-refundable is immediately earned. Any refundable premium is returned to the lender. Cancellations also include rescissions and policies cancelled due to claim payment. When a policy is rescinded, all previously collected premium is returned to the lender and when a claim is paid we return any premium received since the date of default. The liability associated with our estimate of premium to be returned is accrued for separately and separate components of this liability are included in "Other liabilities" and "Premium deficiency reserves" on our consolidated balance sheet. Changes in these liabilities affect premiums written and earned and change in premium deficiency reserve, respectively. The actual return of premium affects premium written and earned. Policy cancellations also lower the persistency rate which is a variable used in calculating the rate of amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs discussed below.

Fee income of our non-insurance subsidiaries is earned and recognized as the services are provided and the customer is obligated to pay.

*Deferred insurance policy acquisition costs*

Costs directly associated with the successful acquisition of mortgage insurance policies, consisting of employee compensation and other policy issuance and underwriting expenses, are initially deferred and reported as deferred insurance policy acquisition costs. The deferred costs are net of any reinsurance recoveries from ceding commissions associated with our reinsurance agreements. Deferred insurance policy acquisition costs arising from each book of business are charged against revenue in the same proportion that the underwriting profit for the period of the charge bears to the total underwriting profit over the life of the policies. The underwriting profit and the life of the policies are estimated and are reviewed quarterly and updated when necessary to reflect actual experience and any changes to key variables such as persistency or loss development. Interest is accrued on the unamortized balance of deferred insurance policy acquisition costs.

Because our insurance premiums are earned over time, changes in persistency result in deferred insurance policy acquisition costs being amortized against revenue over a comparable period of time. At December 31, 2014, the persistency rate of our primary mortgage insurance was 82.8%, compared to 79.5% at December 31, 2013. This change did not significantly affect the amortization of deferred insurance policy acquisition costs for the period ended December 31, 2014. A 10% change in persistency would not have a material effect on the amortization of deferred insurance policy acquisition costs in the subsequent year.

If a premium deficiency exists, we reduce the related deferred insurance policy acquisition costs by the amount of the deficiency or to zero through a charge to current period earnings. If the deficiency is more than the deferred insurance policy acquisition costs balance, we then establish a premium deficiency reserve equal to the excess, by means of a charge to current period earnings.

*Fair Value Measurements*

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we did not elect the fair value option for any financial instruments acquired for which the primary basis of accounting is not fair value.

In accordance with fair value guidance, we applied the following fair value hierarchy in order to measure fair value for assets and liabilities:

Level 1 – Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets that we can access. Financial assets utilizing Level 1 inputs primarily include U.S. Treasury securities, equity securities, and Australian government and semi government securities.

Level 2 – Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and inputs, other than quoted prices, that are observable in the marketplace for the financial instrument. The observable inputs are used in valuation models to calculate the fair value of the financial instruments. Financial assets utilizing Level 2 inputs primarily include obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies and certain municipal and corporate bonds.

Level 3 – Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or value drivers are unobservable. Level 3 inputs reflect our own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing an asset or liability. Financial assets utilizing Level 3 inputs include certain state premium tax credit investments. Our non-financial assets that are classified as Level 3 securities consist of real estate acquired through claim settlement. The fair value of real estate acquired is the lower of our acquisition cost or a percentage of the appraised value. The percentage applied to appraised value is based upon our historical sales experience adjusted for current trends.

To determine the fair value of securities available-for-sale in Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, independent pricing sources have been utilized. One price is provided per security based on observable market data. To ensure securities are appropriately classified in the fair value hierarchy, we review the pricing techniques and methodologies of the independent pricing sources and believe that their policies adequately consider market activity, either based on specific transactions for the issue valued or based on modeling of securities with similar credit quality, duration, yield and structure that were recently traded. A variety of inputs are utilized by the independent pricing sources including benchmark yields, reported trades, non-binding broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data including data published in market research publications. Inputs may be weighted differently for any security, and not all inputs are used for each security evaluation. Market indicators, industry and economic events are also considered. This information is evaluated using a multidimensional pricing model. Quality controls are performed by the independent pricing sources throughout this process, which include reviewing tolerance reports, trading information and data changes, and directional moves compared to market moves. This model combines all inputs to arrive at a value assigned to each security. In addition, on a quarterly basis, we perform quality controls over values received from the pricing sources which include reviewing tolerance reports, trading information and data changes, and directional moves compared to market moves. We have not made any adjustments to the prices obtained from the independent pricing sources.

### *Investment Portfolio*

Our entire investment portfolio is classified as available-for-sale and is reported at fair value. The related unrealized gains or losses are, after considering the related tax expense or benefit, recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. Realized investment gains and losses on investments are recognized in income based upon specific identification of securities sold.

Each quarter we perform reviews of our investments in order to determine whether declines in fair value below amortized cost were considered other-than-temporary in accordance with applicable guidance. In evaluating whether a decline in fair value is other-than-temporary, we consider several factors including, but not limited to:

- § our intent to sell the security or whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery;
- § extent and duration of the decline;
- § failure of the issuer to make scheduled interest or principal payments;
- § change in rating below investment grade; and
- § adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area.

Based on our evaluation, we will record an other-than-temporary impairment adjustment on a security if we intend to sell the impaired security, if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the impaired security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis, or if the present value of the cash flows we expect to collect is less than the amortized costs basis of the security. If the fair value of a security is below its amortized cost at the time of our intent to sell, the security is classified as other-than-temporarily impaired and the full amount of the impairment is recognized as a loss in the statement of operations. Otherwise, when a security is considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the losses are separated into the portion of the loss that represents the credit loss; and the portion that is due to other factors. The credit loss portion is recognized as a loss in the statement of operations, while the loss due to other factors is recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes. A credit loss is determined to exist if the present value of the discounted cash flows, using the security's original yield, expected to be collected from the security are less than the cost basis of the security.

During 2014, 2013 and 2012 we recognized OTTI losses in earnings of \$0.1 million, \$0.3 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. There were no OTTI losses recognized in shareholders' equity for the years ending December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012.

#### **Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

We primarily place our investments in investment grade securities pursuant to our investment policy guidelines. The policy guidelines also limit the amount of our credit exposure to any one issue, issuer and type of instrument. At December 31, 2014, the modified duration of our fixed income investment portfolio (which excludes cash and cash equivalents), was 3.9 years, which means that an instantaneous parallel shift in the yield curve of 100 basis points would result in a change of 3.9% in the market value of our fixed income portfolio. For an upward shift in the yield curve, the market value of our portfolio would decrease and for a downward shift in the yield curve, the market value would increase.

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**

The following consolidated financial statements are filed pursuant to this Item 8:

	<u>Page No.</u>
Consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013	116
Consolidated statements of operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014	117
Consolidated statements of comprehensive income for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014	118
Consolidated statements of shareholders' equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014	119
Consolidated statements of cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014	120
Notes to consolidated financial statements	121
Report of independent registered public accounting firm	190

MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
December 31, 2014 and 2013  
(In thousands)

<u>ASSETS</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Investment portfolio (notes 6 and 7):		
Securities, available-for-sale, at fair value:		
Fixed maturities (amortized cost, 2014 - \$4,602,514; 2013 - \$4,948,543)	\$ 4,609,614	\$ 4,863,925
Equity securities	3,055	2,894
Total investment portfolio	<u>4,612,669</u>	<u>4,866,819</u>
Cash and cash equivalents	197,882	332,692
Restricted cash and cash equivalents (note 2)	17,212	17,440
Accrued investment income	30,518	31,660
Prepaid reinsurance premiums (note 11)	47,623	36,243
Reinsurance recoverable on loss reserves (note 11)	57,841	64,085
Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses (note 11)	6,424	10,425
Premiums receivable	57,442	62,301
Home office and equipment, net	28,693	26,185
Deferred insurance policy acquisition costs	12,240	9,721
Profit commission receivable (note 11)	91,500	2,368
Other assets	106,390	141,451
Total assets	<u>\$ 5,266,434</u>	<u>\$ 5,601,390</u>
<u>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>		
Liabilities:		
Loss reserves (notes 9 and 11)	\$ 2,396,807	\$ 3,061,401
Premium deficiency reserve (note 10)	23,751	48,461
Unearned premiums	203,414	154,479
Senior notes (note 8)	61,918	82,773
Convertible senior notes (note 8)	845,000	845,000
Convertible junior debentures (note 8)	389,522	389,522
Other liabilities	309,119	275,216
Total liabilities	<u>4,229,531</u>	<u>4,856,852</u>
Contingencies (note 20)		
Shareholders' equity (note 15):		
Common stock (one dollar par value, shares authorized 1,000,000; shares issued 2014 and 2013 - 340,047; outstanding 2014 - 338,560; 2013 - 337,758)	340,047	340,047
Paid-in capital	1,663,592	1,661,269
Treasury stock (shares at cost 2014 - 1,487; 2013 - 2,289)	(32,937)	(64,435)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax (note 12)	(81,341)	(117,726)
Retained deficit	(852,458)	(1,074,617)
Total shareholders' equity	<u>1,036,903</u>	<u>744,538</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 5,266,434</u>	<u>\$ 5,601,390</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
<b>Revenues:</b>			
Premiums written:			
Direct	\$ 999,943	\$ 994,910	\$ 1,049,549
Assumed	1,653	2,074	2,425
Ceded (note 11)	(119,634)	(73,503)	(34,142)
Net premiums written	881,962	923,481	1,017,832
(Increase) decrease in unearned premiums	(37,591)	19,570	15,338
Net premiums earned (note 11)	844,371	943,051	1,033,170
Investment income, net of expenses (note 6)	87,647	80,739	121,640
Net realized investment gains (losses) (note 6):			
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(144)	(328)	(2,310)
Portion of losses recognized in other comprehensive income (loss), before taxes (note 12)	-	-	-
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(144)	(328)	(2,310)
Other realized investment gains	1,501	6,059	197,719
Net realized investment gains	1,357	5,731	195,409
Other revenue	8,422	9,914	28,145
Total revenues	941,797	1,039,435	1,378,364
<b>Losses and expenses:</b>			
Losses incurred, net (notes 9 and 11)	496,077	838,726	2,067,253
Change in premium deficiency reserve (note 10)	(24,710)	(25,320)	(61,036)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	7,618	10,641	7,452
Other underwriting and operating expenses, net (note 11)	138,441	181,877	193,995
Interest expense (note 8)	69,648	79,663	99,344
Total losses and expenses	687,074	1,085,587	2,307,008
Income (loss) before tax	254,723	(46,152)	(928,644)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes (note 14)	2,774	3,696	(1,565)
Net income (loss)	\$ 251,949	\$ (49,848)	\$ (927,079)
<b>Income (loss) per share (note 3):</b>			
Basic	\$ 0.74	\$ (0.16)	\$ (4.59)
Diluted	\$ 0.64	\$ (0.16)	\$ (4.59)
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic (note 3)	338,523	311,754	201,892
Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted (note 3)	413,547	311,754	201,892
Dividends per share	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
		(In thousands)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 251,949	\$ (49,848)	\$ (927,079)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax (note 12):			
Change in unrealized investment gains and losses (note 6)	91,139	(123,591)	(78,659)
Benefit plans adjustment (note 13)	(52,112)	68,038	(1,221)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	<u>(2,642)</u>	<u>(14,010)</u>	<u>1,593</u>
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	<u>36,385</u>	<u>(69,563)</u>	<u>(78,287)</u>
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ 288,334</u>	<u>\$ (119,411)</u>	<u>\$ (1,005,366)</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

	Common stock	Paid-in capital	Treasury stock	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (note 12)	Retained earnings (deficit)	Total shareholders' equity
	(In thousands)					
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 205,047	\$ 1,135,821	\$ (162,542)	\$ 30,124	\$ (11,635)	\$ 1,196,815
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(927,079)	(927,079)
Change in unrealized investment gains and losses, net	-	-	-	(78,659)	-	(78,659)
Reissuance of treasury stock, net	-	(8,749)	57,583	-	(51,567)	(2,733)
Equity compensation (note 18)	-	8,224	-	-	-	8,224
Benefit plans adjustments, net	-	-	-	(1,221)	-	(1,221)
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustment, net	-	-	-	1,593	-	1,593
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$ 205,047	\$ 1,135,296	\$ (104,959)	\$ (48,163)	\$ (990,281)	\$ 196,940
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(49,848)	(49,848)
Change in unrealized investment gains and losses, net (note 6)	-	-	-	(123,591)	-	(123,591)
Common stock issuance (note 15)	135,000	528,335	-	-	-	663,335
Reissuance of treasury stock, net (note 15)	-	(7,892)	40,524	-	(34,488)	(1,856)
Equity compensation (note 18)	-	5,530	-	-	-	5,530
Benefit plans adjustments, net (note 13)	-	-	-	68,038	-	68,038
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustment, net	-	-	-	(14,010)	-	(14,010)
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$ 340,047	\$ 1,661,269	\$ (64,435)	\$ (117,726)	\$ (1,074,617)	\$ 744,538
Net income	-	-	-	-	251,949	251,949
Change in unrealized investment gains and losses, net (note 6)	-	-	-	91,139	-	91,139
Reissuance of treasury stock, net (note 15)	-	(6,680)	31,498	-	(29,790)	(4,972)
Equity compensation (note 18)	-	9,003	-	-	-	9,003
Benefit plans adjustments, net (note 13)	-	-	-	(52,112)	-	(52,112)
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustment, net	-	-	-	(2,642)	-	(2,642)
Balance, December 31, 2014	<u>\$ 340,047</u>	<u>\$ 1,663,592</u>	<u>\$ (32,937)</u>	<u>\$ (81,341)</u>	<u>\$ (852,458)</u>	<u>\$ 1,036,903</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 251,949	\$ (49,848)	\$ (927,079)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and other amortization	48,365	68,716	100,135
Deferred tax provision (benefit)	312	590	(34)
Realized investment gains, net	(1,501)	(6,059)	(197,719)
Net investment impairment losses	144	328	2,310
Loss (gain) on repurchase on senior notes	837	-	(17,775)
Other	(5,084)	30,077	(21,802)
Change in certain assets and liabilities:			
Accrued investment income	1,142	(4,417)	28,423
Prepaid reinsurance premium	(11,380)	(35,402)	776
Reinsurance recoverable on loss reserves	6,244	40,763	49,759
Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses	4,001	5,180	4,286
Premiums receivable	4,859	5,527	3,245
Deferred insurance policy acquisition costs	(2,519)	1,524	(3,740)
Profit commission receivable	(89,132)	(2,368)	-
Real estate	622	(9,817)	(1,842)
Loss reserves	(664,594)	(995,442)	(500,669)
Premium deficiency reserve	(24,710)	(25,320)	(61,036)
Unearned premiums	48,935	15,639	(16,026)
Return premium accrual	22,200	(11,800)	(11,700)
Income taxes payable (current)	(674)	598	1,888
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(409,984)</u>	<u>(971,531)</u>	<u>(1,568,600)</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of investments:			
Fixed maturities	(1,979,917)	(3,248,602)	(5,025,204)
Equity securities	(94)	(111)	(132)
Proceeds from sales of fixed maturities	1,147,624	1,054,985	5,216,934
Proceeds from maturity of fixed maturities	1,129,087	1,357,028	1,461,955
Net increase (decrease) in payable for securities	13	13	(20)
Net decrease (increase) in restricted cash	228	(17,440)	-
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>296,941</u>	<u>(854,127)</u>	<u>1,653,533</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net proceeds from convertible senior notes	-	484,625	-
Common stock shares issued	-	663,335	-
Repayment of long-term debt	(21,767)	(17,235)	(53,107)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>(21,767)</u>	<u>1,130,725</u>	<u>(53,107)</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	<u>(134,810)</u>	<u>(694,933)</u>	<u>31,826</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	332,692	1,027,625	995,799
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 197,882</u>	<u>\$ 332,692</u>	<u>\$ 1,027,625</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

## 1. Nature of Business

MGIC Investment Corporation is a holding company which, through Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation (“MGIC”), MGIC Indemnity Corporation (“MIC”) and several other subsidiaries, is principally engaged in the mortgage insurance business. We provide mortgage insurance to lenders throughout the United States and to government sponsored entities to protect against loss from defaults on low down payment residential mortgage loans. Our principal product is primary mortgage insurance. Primary insurance provides mortgage default protection on individual loans and covers unpaid loan principal, delinquent interest and certain expenses associated with the default and subsequent foreclosure or sale approved by us. Prior to 2009, we also wrote pool mortgage insurance. Pool insurance generally covers the excess of the loss on a defaulted mortgage loan which exceeds the claim payment under the primary coverage, if primary insurance is required on that mortgage loan, as well as the total loss on a defaulted mortgage loan which did not require primary insurance. Through certain other non-insurance subsidiaries, we also provide various services for the mortgage finance industry, such as contract underwriting and portfolio analysis and retention. We began our international operations in Australia, where we started to write business in June 2007. Since 2008, we are no longer writing new business in Australia. Our Australian operations are included in our consolidated financial statements; however they are not material to our consolidated results.

At December 31, 2014, our direct domestic primary insurance in force was \$164.9 billion, which represents the principal balance in our records of all mortgage loans that we insure, and our direct domestic primary risk in force was \$42.9 billion, which represents the insurance in force multiplied by the insurance coverage percentage. Our direct pool risk in force at December 31, 2014 was approximately \$0.8 billion (\$0.3 billion on pool policies with aggregate loss limits and \$0.5 billion on pool policies without aggregate loss limits). Our risk in force in Australia at December 31, 2014 was approximately \$346 million which represents the risk associated with 100% coverage on the insurance in force. The mortgage insurance we provided in Australia only covers the unpaid loan balance after the sale of the underlying property.

### *Capital - GSEs*

Substantially all of our insurance written has been for loans sold to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (the “GSEs”), each of which has mortgage insurer eligibility requirements. The existing eligibility requirements include a minimum financial strength rating of Aa3/AA-. Because MGIC does not meet the financial strength rating requirement (its financial strength rating from Moody’s is Ba3 (with a stable outlook) and from Standard & Poor’s is BB+ (with a stable outlook)), MGIC is currently operating with each GSE as an eligible insurer under a remediation plan.

On July 10, 2014, the conservator of the GSEs, the Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”), released draft Private Mortgage Insurer Eligibility Requirements (“draft PMIERS”). The draft PMIERS include revised financial requirements for mortgage insurers (the “GSE Financial Requirements”) that require a mortgage insurer’s “Available Assets” (generally only the most liquid assets of an insurer) to meet or exceed “Minimum Required Assets” (which are based on an insurer’s book and calculated from tables of factors with several risk dimensions and are subject to a floor amount).

The public input period for the draft PMIERS ended September 8, 2014. We currently expect the PMIERS to be published in final form no earlier than late in the first quarter of 2015 and the “effective date” to occur 180 days thereafter. Under the draft PMIERS mortgage insurers would have up to two years after the final PMIERS are published to meet the GSE Financial Requirements (the “transition period”). A mortgage insurer that fails to certify by the effective date that it meets the GSE Financial Requirements would be subject to a transition plan having milestones for actions to achieve compliance. The transition plan would be submitted for the approval of each GSE within 90 days after the effective date, and if approved, the GSEs would monitor the insurer’s progress. During the transition period for an insurer with an approved transition plan, an insurer would be in remediation (a status similar to the one under which MGIC has been operating with the GSEs for over five years) and eligible to provide mortgage insurance on loans owned or guaranteed by the GSEs.

Shortly after the draft PMIERS were released, we estimated that we would have a shortfall in Available Assets of approximately \$600 million on December 31, 2014, which was when the final PMIERS were expected to be published. We also estimated that the shortfall would be reduced to approximately \$300 million through operations over a two year period. Those shortfall projections assumed the risk in force and capital of MGIC’s MIC subsidiary would be repatriated to MGIC, and full credit would be given in the calculation of Minimum Required Assets for our existing reinsurance agreement (approximately \$500 million of credit at December 31, 2014, increasing to \$600 million of credit over two years). However, we do not expect our existing reinsurance agreement would be given full credit under the PMIERS. Applying the same assumptions, but considering the delay in publication of the final PMIERS, our shortfall projections have improved modestly. Also, we have been in discussions with the participating reinsurers regarding modifications to the agreement so that we would receive additional PMIERS credit.

In addition to modifying our reinsurance agreement, we believe we will be able to use a combination of the alternatives outlined below so that MGIC will meet the GSE Financial Requirements of the draft PMIERS even if they are implemented as released. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$491 million of cash and investments at our holding company, a portion of which we believe may be available for future contribution to MGIC. Furthermore, there are regulated insurance affiliates of MGIC that have approximately \$100 million of assets as of December 31, 2014. We expect that, subject to regulatory approval, we would be able to use a material portion of these assets to increase the Available Assets of MGIC. Additionally, if the draft PMIERS are implemented as released, we would consider seeking non-dilutive debt capital to mitigate the shortfall. Factors that may negatively impact MGIC’s ability to comply with the GSE Financial Requirements within the transition period include the following:

- Changes in the actual PMIERS adopted from the draft PMIERS may increase the amount of MGIC’s Minimum Required Assets or reduce its Available Assets, with the result that the shortfall in Available Assets could increase;
- We may not obtain regulatory approval to transfer assets from MGIC’s regulated insurance affiliates to the extent we are assuming because regulators project higher losses than we project or require a level of capital be maintained in these companies higher than we are assuming;

- We may not be able to access the non-dilutive debt markets due to market conditions, concern about our creditworthiness, or other factors, in a manner sufficient to provide the funds we are assuming;
- We may not be able to achieve modifications in our existing reinsurance agreements necessary to minimize the reduction in the credit for reinsurance under the draft PMIERS;
- We may not be able to obtain additional reinsurance necessary to further reduce the Minimum Required Assets due to market capacity, pricing or other reasons (including disapproval of the proposed transaction by a GSE); and
- Our future operating results may be negatively impacted by the matters discussed throughout the financial statement footnotes. Such matters could decrease our revenues, increase our losses or require the use of assets, thereby increasing our shortfall in Available Assets.

There also can be no assurance that the GSEs would not make the GSE Financial Requirements more onerous in the future; in this regard, the draft PMIERS provide that the tables of factors that determine Minimum Required Assets may be updated to reflect changes in risk characteristics and the macroeconomic environment. If MGIC ceases to be eligible to insure loans purchased by one or both of the GSEs, it would significantly reduce the volume of our new business writings.

If we are required to increase the amount of Available Assets we hold in order to continue to insure GSE loans, the amount of capital we hold may increase. If we increase the amount of capital we hold with respect to insured loans, our returns may decrease unless we increase premiums. An increase in premium rates may not be feasible for a number of reasons, including competition from other private mortgage insurers, the Federal Housing Administration (“FHA”), the Veteran’s Administration (“VA”) or other credit enhancement products.

See additional disclosure regarding statutory capital in Note 17 – “Statutory Capital.”

## **2. Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”), as codified in the Accounting Standards Codification. In accordance with GAAP, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### **Principles of Consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of MGIC Investment Corporation and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider money market funds and investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

## Restricted cash and cash equivalents

During the second quarter of 2013, approximately \$60.3 million was placed in escrow in connection with the two agreements we entered into to resolve our dispute with Countrywide Home Loans (“CHL”) and its affiliate, Bank of America, N.A., as successor to Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP (“BANA” and collectively with CHL, “Countrywide”) regarding rescissions. In the fourth quarter of 2013, approximately \$42.9 million was released from escrow in connection with the BANA agreement. At December 31, 2014, approximately \$17.2 million remains in escrow in connection with the CHL agreement. See additional discussion of these settlement agreements in Note 20 – “Litigation and contingencies.”

## Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made in the accompanying consolidated financial statements to 2013 and 2012 amounts to conform to the 2014 presentation.

## Subsequent Events

We have considered subsequent events through the date of this filing.

## 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### Fair value measurements

In accordance with fair value guidance, we applied the following fair value hierarchy in order to measure fair value for assets and liabilities:

Level 1 – Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets that we can access. Financial assets utilizing Level 1 inputs primarily include U.S. Treasury securities, equity securities, and Australian government and semi government securities.

Level 2 – Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and inputs, other than quoted prices, that are observable in the marketplace for the financial instrument. The observable inputs are used in valuation models to calculate the fair value of the financial instruments. Financial assets utilizing Level 2 inputs primarily include obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies and certain municipal and corporate bonds.

Level 3 – Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or value drivers are unobservable. Level 3 inputs reflect our own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing an asset or liability. Financial assets utilizing Level 3 inputs primarily include certain state premium tax credit investments. Our non-financial assets that are classified as Level 3 securities consist of real estate acquired through claim settlement. The fair value of real estate acquired is the lower of our acquisition cost or a percentage of the appraised value. The percentage applied to the appraised value is based upon our historical sales experience adjusted for current trends.

To determine the fair value of securities available-for-sale in Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, independent pricing sources have been utilized. One price is provided per security based on observable market data. To ensure securities are appropriately classified in the fair value hierarchy, we review the pricing techniques and methodologies of the independent pricing sources and believe that their policies adequately consider market activity, either based on specific transactions for the issue valued or based on modeling of securities with similar credit quality, duration, yield and structure that were recently traded. A variety of inputs are utilized by the independent pricing sources including benchmark yields, reported trades, non-binding broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data including data published in market research publications. Inputs may be weighted differently for any security, and not all inputs are used for each security evaluation. Market indicators, industry and economic events are also considered. This information is evaluated using a multidimensional pricing model. Quality controls are performed by the independent pricing sources throughout this process, which include reviewing tolerance reports, trading information and data changes, and directional moves compared to market moves. This model combines all inputs to arrive at a value assigned to each security. In addition, on a quarterly basis, we perform quality controls over values received from the pricing sources which include reviewing tolerance reports, trading information and data changes, and directional moves compared to market moves. We have not made any adjustments to the prices obtained from the independent pricing sources.

## Investments

Our entire investment portfolio is classified as available-for-sale and is reported at fair value. The related unrealized gains or losses are, after considering the related tax expense or benefit, recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders' equity. Realized investment gains and losses are reported in income based upon specific identification of securities sold. (See Note 6 – "Investments.")

Each quarter we perform reviews of our investments in order to determine whether declines in fair value below amortized cost were considered other-than-temporary in accordance with applicable guidance. In evaluating whether a decline in fair value is other-than-temporary, we consider several factors including, but not limited to:

- § our intent to sell the security or whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery;
- § extent and duration of the decline;
- § failure of the issuer to make scheduled interest or principal payments;
- § change in rating below investment grade; and
- § adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area.

Based on our evaluation, we will record an other-than-temporary impairment adjustment on a security if we intend to sell the impaired security, if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the impaired security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis, or if the present value of the cash flows we expect to collect is less than the amortized cost basis of the security. If the fair value of a security is below its amortized cost at the time of our intent to sell, the security is classified as other-than-temporarily impaired and the full amount of the impairment is recognized as a loss in the statement of operations. Otherwise, when a security is considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the losses are separated into the portion of the loss that represents the credit loss; and the portion that is due to other factors. The credit loss portion is recognized as a loss in the statement of operations, while the loss due to other factors is recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes. A credit loss is determined to exist if the present value of the discounted cash flows, using the security's original yield, expected to be collected from the security are less than the cost basis of the security.

### **Home office and equipment**

Home office and equipment is carried at cost net of depreciation. For financial statement reporting purposes, depreciation is determined on a straight-line basis for the home office, equipment and data processing hardware over estimated lives of 45, 5 and 3 years, respectively. For income tax purposes, we use accelerated depreciation methods.

Home office and equipment is shown net of accumulated depreciation of \$54.9 million, \$53.0 million and \$51.3 million at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$2.2 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.9 million, respectively.

### **Deferred Insurance Policy Acquisition Costs**

Costs directly associated with the successful acquisition of mortgage insurance business, consisting of employee compensation and other policy issuance and underwriting expenses, are initially deferred and reported as deferred insurance policy acquisition costs ("DAC"). The deferred costs are net of any ceding commissions received associated with our reinsurance agreements. For each underwriting year of business, these costs are amortized to income in proportion to estimated gross profits over the estimated life of the policies. We utilize anticipated investment income in our calculation. This includes accruing interest on the unamortized balance of DAC. The estimates for each underwriting year are reviewed quarterly and updated when necessary to reflect actual experience and any changes to key variables such as persistency or loss development. If a premium deficiency exists (in other words, no gross profit is expected), we reduce the related DAC by the amount of the deficiency or to zero through a charge to current period earnings. If the deficiency is more than the related DAC balance, we then establish a premium deficiency reserve equal to the excess, by means of a charge to current period earnings.

### **Loss Reserves**

Reserves are established for reported insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses based on when we receive notices of default on insured mortgage loans. We consider a loan in default when it is two or more payments past due. Even though the accounting standard, Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 944, regarding accounting and reporting by insurance entities specifically excludes mortgage insurance from its guidance relating to loss reserves, we establish loss reserves using the general principles contained in the insurance standard. However, consistent with industry standards for mortgage insurers, we do not establish loss reserves for future claims on insured loans which are not currently in default. Loss reserves are established by estimating the number of loans in our inventory of delinquent loans that will result in a claim payment, which is referred to as the claim rate, and further estimating the amount of the claim payment, which is referred to as claim severity. Our loss estimates are established based upon historical experience, including rescission and loan modification activity. Adjustments to reserve estimates are reflected in the financial statements in the years in which the adjustments are made. The liability for reinsurance assumed is based on information provided by the ceding companies.

Reserves are also established for estimated losses from defaults occurring prior to the close of an accounting period on notices of default not yet reported to us. These incurred but not reported (“IBNR”) reserves are also established using estimated claim rates and claim severities.

Reserves also provide for the estimated costs of settling claims, including legal and other expenses and general expenses of administering the claims settlement process. Reserves are also ceded to reinsurers under our reinsurance agreements. (See Note 9 – “Loss Reserves” and Note 11 – “Reinsurance.”)

### **Premium Deficiency Reserve**

After our loss reserves are initially established, we perform premium deficiency tests using our best estimate assumptions as of the testing date. Premium deficiency reserves are established, if necessary, when the present value of expected future losses and expenses exceeds the present value of expected future premium and already established reserves. The discount rate used in the calculation of the premium deficiency reserve is based upon our pre-tax investment yield at year-end. Products are grouped for premium deficiency purposes based on similarities in the way the products are acquired, serviced and measured for profitability.

Calculations of premium deficiency reserves require the use of significant judgments and estimates to determine the present value of future premium and present value of expected losses and expenses on our business. The present value of future premium relies on, among other factors, assumptions about persistency and repayment patterns on underlying loans. The present value of expected losses and expenses depends on assumptions relating to severity of claims and claim rates on current defaults, and expected defaults in future periods. These assumptions also include an estimate of expected rescission activity. Assumptions used in calculating the deficiency reserves can be affected by volatility in the current housing and mortgage lending industries and these effects could be material. To the extent premium patterns and actual loss experience differ from the assumptions used in calculating the premium deficiency reserves, the differences between the actual results and our estimate will affect future period earnings. (See Note 10 - “Premium Deficiency Reserve.”)

### **Revenue Recognition**

We write policies which are guaranteed renewable contracts at the insured's option on a monthly, single, or annual premium basis. We have no ability to reunderwrite or reprice these contracts. Premiums written on monthly policies are earned as coverage is provided. Premiums written on a single premium basis and an annual premium basis are initially deferred as unearned premium reserve and earned over the policy life. Premiums written on policies covering more than one year are amortized over the policy life in relationship to the anticipated incurred loss pattern based on historical experience. Premiums written on annual policies are earned on a monthly pro rata basis. When a policy is cancelled for a reason other than rescission or claim payment, all premium that is non-refundable is immediately earned. Any refundable premium is returned to the servicer or borrower. Cancellations also include rescissions and policies cancelled due to claim payment. When a policy is rescinded, all previously collected premium is returned to the lender and when a claim is paid we return any premium received since the date of default. The liability associated with our estimate of premium to be returned is accrued for separately and separate components of this liability are included in “Other liabilities” and “Premium deficiency reserves” on our consolidated balance sheet. Changes in these liabilities affect premiums written and earned and change in premium deficiency reserve, respectively. The actual return of premium for all periods affects premiums written and earned. Policy cancellations also lower the persistency rate which is a variable used in calculating the rate of amortization of deferred insurance policy acquisition costs.

Fee income of our non-insurance subsidiaries is earned and recognized as the services are provided and the customer is obligated to pay. Fee income consists primarily of contract underwriting and related fee-based services provided to lenders and is included in “Other revenue” on the consolidated statements of operations.

## **Income Taxes**

Deferred income taxes are provided under the liability method, which recognizes the future tax effects of temporary differences between amounts reported in the financial statements and the tax bases of these items. The expected tax effects are computed at the enacted regular federal tax rate. Using this method, we have recorded a net deferred tax asset, before valuation allowance, in large part due to net operating losses incurred in prior years. On a quarterly basis, we review the need to maintain a deferred tax asset valuation allowance as an offset to the net deferred tax asset, before valuation allowance. We analyze several factors, among which are the severity and frequency of operating losses, our capacity for the carryback or carryforward of any losses, the existence and current level of taxable operating income, the expected occurrence of future income or loss, the expiration dates of the carryforwards, the cyclical nature of our operating results, and available tax planning strategies. As discussed in Note 14 –“Income Taxes,” we continue to reduce our benefit from income tax through the recognition of a valuation allowance.

We provide for uncertain tax positions and the related interest and penalties based on our assessment of whether a tax benefit is more likely than not to be sustained under any examination by taxing authorities.

## **Benefit Plans**

We have a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all employees, as well as a supplemental executive retirement plan. Retirement benefits are based on compensation and years of service. We recognize these retirement benefit costs over the period during which employees render the service that qualifies them for benefits. Our policy is to fund pension cost as required under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

We offer both medical and dental benefits for retired domestic employees, their eligible spouses and dependents until the retiree reaches the age of 65. Under the plan retirees pay a premium for these benefits. We accrue the estimated costs of retiree medical and dental benefits over the period during which employees render the service that qualifies them for benefits. (See Note 13 – “Benefit Plans.”)

## **Reinsurance**

Loss reserves and unearned premiums are reported before taking credit for amounts ceded under reinsurance agreements. Ceded loss reserves are reflected as “Reinsurance recoverable on loss reserves.” Ceded unearned premiums are reflected as “Prepaid reinsurance premiums.” Amounts due from reinsurers on paid claims are reflected as “Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses.” Ceded premiums payable are included in “Other liabilities.” Any profit commissions are included with “Premiums written – Ceded” and any ceding commissions are included with “Other underwriting and operating expenses, net.” We remain liable for all reinsurance ceded. (See Note 11 – “Reinsurance.”)

## **Foreign Currency Translation**

Assets and liabilities denominated in a foreign currency are translated at the year-end exchange rates. Operating results are translated at average rates of exchange prevailing during the year. Unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred taxes, resulting from translation are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders’ equity. Gains and losses resulting from transactions in a foreign currency are recorded in current period net income (loss) at the rate on the transaction date.

## **Share-Based Compensation**

We have certain share-based compensation plans. Under the fair value method, compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized over the service period which generally corresponds to the vesting period. The fair value of awards classified as liabilities is remeasured at each reporting period until the award is settled. Awards under our plans generally vest over periods ranging from one to three years. (See Note 18 – “Share-based Compensation Plans.”)

## **Earnings per Share**

Basic earnings per share (“EPS”) is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted EPS includes the components of basic EPS and also gives effect to dilutive common stock equivalents. We calculate diluted EPS using the treasury stock method and if-converted method. Under the treasury stock method, diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if unvested restricted stock or granted stock options result in the issuance of common stock. Under the if-converted method, diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if our convertible debt instruments result in the issuance of common stock. The determination of potentially issuable shares does not consider the satisfaction of the conversion requirements and the shares are included in the determination of diluted EPS as of the beginning of the period, if dilutive. We have several debt issuances that could potentially result in contingently issuable shares and consider each potential issuance of shares separately to reflect the maximum potential dilution. Accordingly, our dilutive common stock equivalents may not reflect all of the potential contingently issuable shares that could be required to be issued upon any debt conversion. For purposes of calculating basic and diluted EPS, vested restricted stock awards are considered outstanding.

GAAP requires unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents, whether paid or unpaid, to be treated as participating securities and included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. Our participating securities are composed of unvested restricted stock with non-forfeitable rights to dividends. There have been no dividends declared by us since the issuance of these participating securities and there has been no reduction to net income available to common shareholders. For the year ended December 31, 2014, participating securities of 0.1 million have been included in basic EPS and 0.1 million and 1.1 million have been excluded for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, as they are anti-dilutive due to our net losses.

The computation of diluted EPS for the year ended December 31, 2014 includes the weighted average unvested restricted stock units outstanding of 3.1 million. During 2013 and 2012 we reported a consolidated net loss. As a result of the net loss, unvested restricted stock awards were anti-dilutive for the year and were not included in the computation of diluted weighted average shares.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, the outstanding Convertible Senior Notes due in 2020 are reflected in diluted earnings per share using the “if-converted” method. Under this method, if dilutive, the common stock is assumed issued as of the beginning the reporting period and included in calculating diluted EPS. In addition, if dilutive, interest expense, net of tax, related to the outstanding Convertible Senior Notes due in 2020 is added back to earnings in calculating diluted EPS. For the year ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, common stock equivalents under our convertible debt instruments of 54.5 million, 126.4 million, and 60.7 million, respectively, were excluded from weighted average shares as they were anti-dilutive.

The following table reconciles basic and diluted EPS amounts:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Basic earnings (loss) per share:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 251,949	\$ (49,848)	\$ (927,079)
Average common shares outstanding	338,523	311,754	201,892
Basic income (loss) per share	\$ 0.74	\$ (0.16)	\$ (4.59)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 251,949	\$ (49,848)	\$ (927,079)
Interest expense, net of tax:			
2% Convertible Senior Notes due 2020	12,197	-	-
Diluted income available to common shareholders	\$ 264,146	\$ (49,848)	\$ (927,079)
Weighted-average shares - Basic	338,523	311,754	201,892
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Unvested restricted stock	3,082	-	-
Convertible debt common stock equivalents	71,942	-	-
Weighted-average shares - Diluted	413,547	311,754	201,892
Diluted income (loss) per share	\$ 0.64	\$ (0.16)	\$ (4.59)

#### 4. New Accounting Policies

In August 2014, the FASB issued an update that requires management to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern and, if so, disclose that fact. Management will also be required to evaluate and disclose whether its plans alleviate that doubt. The guidance is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016 and for interim and annual periods thereafter. We do not expect the adoption of this update to have a material effect on the presentation of our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In June 2014, the FASB issued updated guidance to resolve diversity in practice concerning employee shared-based payments that contain performance targets that could be achieved after the requisite service period. The updated guidance requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that can be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the periods for which service has been rendered. If the performance target becomes probable of being achieved before the end of the service period, the remaining unrecognized compensation cost for which requisite service has not yet been rendered is recognized prospectively over the remaining service period. The total amount of compensation cost recognized during and after the service period should reflect the number of awards that are expected to vest and should be adjusted to reflect those awards that ultimately vest. This updated guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In May 2014, the FASB issued updated guidance to clarify the principles for recognizing revenue. While insurance contracts are not within the scope of this updated guidance, our fee income related to contract underwriting and other fee-based services provided to lenders will be subject to this guidance. The updated guidance requires an entity to recognize revenue as performance obligations are met, in order to reflect the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration the entity is entitled to receive for those goods or services. The guidance also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts. This update is effective for the quarter ending March 31, 2017. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In July 2013, the FASB issued an update to the accounting standard regarding income taxes. This update provides guidance concerning the balance sheet presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward or a tax credit carryforward (the "Carryforwards") is available. This accounting standard requires an entity to net its liability related to unrecognized tax benefits against the related deferred tax assets for the Carryforwards. A gross presentation will be required when the Carryforwards are not available under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction or when the Carryforwards would not be used by the entity to settle any additional income taxes resulting from disallowance of the uncertain tax position. This update is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within such years beginning after December 15, 2013. We are currently in compliance with this new guidance. It did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

## **5. Related Party Transactions**

There were no related party transactions during 2014, 2013 or 2012.

**6. Investments**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of the investment portfolio at December 31, 2014 and 2013 are shown below:

<u>December 31, 2014</u>	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Gains</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Losses (1)</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
	(In thousands)			
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 349,153	\$ 2,752	\$ (5,130)	\$ 346,775
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	844,942	12,961	(2,761)	855,142
Corporate debt securities	2,418,991	16,325	(10,035)	2,425,281
Asset-backed securities	286,260	535	(140)	286,655
Residential mortgage-backed securities	329,983	254	(9,000)	321,237
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	276,215	1,221	(2,158)	275,278
Collateralized loan obligations	61,340	-	(1,264)	60,076
Debt securities issued by foreign sovereign governments	35,630	3,540	-	39,170
Total debt securities	<u>4,602,514</u>	<u>37,588</u>	<u>(30,488)</u>	<u>4,609,614</u>
Equity securities	<u>3,003</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>(9)</u>	<u>3,055</u>
Total investment portfolio	<u>\$ 4,605,517</u>	<u>\$ 37,649</u>	<u>\$ (30,497)</u>	<u>\$ 4,612,669</u>

December 31, 2013	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses (1)	Fair Value
(In thousands)				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 663,642	\$ 1,469	\$ (25,521)	\$ 639,590
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	932,922	5,865	(17,420)	921,367
Corporate debt securities	2,190,095	6,313	(24,993)	2,171,415
Asset-backed securities	399,839	1,100	(453)	400,486
Residential mortgage-backed securities	383,368	146	(24,977)	358,537
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	277,920	131	(6,668)	271,383
Collateralized loan obligations	61,337	-	(1,042)	60,295
Debt securities issued by foreign sovereign governments	39,420	1,722	(290)	40,852
<b>Total debt securities</b>	<b>4,948,543</b>	<b>16,746</b>	<b>(101,364)</b>	<b>4,863,925</b>
Equity securities	2,908	9	(23)	2,894
<b>Total investment portfolio</b>	<b>\$ 4,951,451</b>	<b>\$ 16,755</b>	<b>\$ (101,387)</b>	<b>\$ 4,866,819</b>

(1) There were no other-than-temporary impairment losses recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Our foreign investments primarily consist of the investment portfolio supporting our Australian domiciled subsidiary. In December 2013, our Australian subsidiary liquidated a portion of its investment portfolio and repatriated, with regulatory approval, \$89.5 million to its parent MGIC. The remaining portfolio is comprised of Australian government and semi government securities, representing 86% of the market value of our foreign investments with the remaining 10% invested in corporate securities and 4% in cash equivalents. Eighty-three percent of the Australian portfolio is rated AAA, by one or more of Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch Ratings, and the remaining 17% is rated AA. At December 31, 2014 the investment portfolio fair value in our Australian operations was approximately \$46 million.

The amortized cost and fair values of debt securities at December 31, 2014, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Because most asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities and collateralized loan obligations provide for periodic payments throughout their lives, they are listed below in separate categories.

<u>December 31, 2014</u>	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
	(In thousands)	
Due in one year or less	\$ 330,602	\$ 330,982
Due after one year through five years	1,903,661	1,909,422
Due after five years through ten years	1,063,679	1,069,433
Due after ten years	350,774	356,531
	<u>3,648,716</u>	<u>3,666,368</u>
Asset-backed securities	286,260	286,655
Residential mortgage-backed securities	329,983	321,237
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	276,215	275,278
Collateralized loan obligations	61,340	60,076
	<u>613,798</u>	<u>643,246</u>
Total at December 31, 2014	<u>\$ 4,602,514</u>	<u>\$ 4,609,614</u>

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the investment portfolio had gross unrealized losses of \$30.5 million and \$101.4 million, respectively. For those securities in an unrealized loss position, the length of time the securities were in such a position, as measured by their month-end fair values, is as follows:

	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
<b>December 31, 2014</b>	(In thousands)					
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 58,166	\$ 138	\$ 232,351	\$ 4,992	\$ 290,517	\$ 5,130
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	166,408	1,066	114,465	1,695	280,873	2,761
Corporate debt securities	816,555	5,259	243,208	4,776	1,059,763	10,035
Asset-backed securities	54,491	80	11,895	60	66,386	140
Residential mortgage-backed securities	24,168	34	263,002	8,966	287,170	9,000
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	89,301	810	110,652	1,348	199,953	2,158
Collateralized loan obligations	-	-	60,076	1,264	60,076	1,264
Debt securities issued by foreign sovereign governments	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equity securities	167	1	235	8	402	9
<b>Total investment portfolio</b>	<b>\$ 1,209,256</b>	<b>\$ 7,388</b>	<b>\$ 1,035,884</b>	<b>\$ 23,109</b>	<b>\$ 2,245,140</b>	<b>\$ 30,497</b>
<b>December 31, 2013</b>	(In thousands)					
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 465,975	\$ 24,980	\$ 4,103	\$ 541	\$ 470,078	\$ 25,521
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	503,967	17,370	4,226	50	508,193	17,420
Corporate debt securities	1,238,211	20,371	81,593	4,622	1,319,804	24,993
Asset-backed securities	126,991	387	7,114	66	134,105	453
Residential mortgage-backed securities	91,534	3,886	265,827	21,091	357,361	24,977
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	192,440	6,239	43,095	429	235,535	6,668
Collateralized loan obligations	60,295	1,042	-	-	60,295	1,042
Debt securities issued by foreign sovereign governments	7,203	290	-	-	7,203	290
Equity securities	1,012	18	75	5	1,087	23
<b>Total investment portfolio</b>	<b>\$ 2,687,628</b>	<b>\$ 74,583</b>	<b>\$ 406,033</b>	<b>\$ 26,804</b>	<b>\$ 3,093,661</b>	<b>\$ 101,387</b>

The unrealized losses in all categories of our investments at December 31, 2014 were primarily caused by the difference in interest rates at December 31, 2014 compared to interest rates at the time of purchase. There were 423 and 571 securities in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. At December 31, 2014, the fair value as a percent of amortized cost of the securities in an unrealized loss position was 99% and approximately half of the securities in an unrealized loss position were backed by the U.S. Government.

We recognized other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) losses in earnings of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million during 2014 and 2013, respectively. During 2012 we recognized OTTI losses in earnings of \$2.3 million, related to impairments on certain auction rate securities.

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, there were no credit losses recognized in earnings for which a portion of an OTTI loss was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Net investment income is comprised of the following:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
		(In thousands)	
Fixed maturities	\$ 89,437	\$ 82,168	\$ 122,886
Equity securities	227	229	200
Cash equivalents	179	353	333
Other	<u>711</u>	<u>675</u>	<u>782</u>
Investment income	90,554	83,425	124,201
Investment expenses	<u>(2,907)</u>	<u>(2,686)</u>	<u>(2,561)</u>
Net investment income	<u>\$ 87,647</u>	<u>\$ 80,739</u>	<u>\$ 121,640</u>

The net realized investment gains (losses), including impairment losses, and change in net unrealized gains (losses) of investments are as follows:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
	(In thousands)		
Net realized investment gains (losses) on investments:			
Fixed maturities	\$ 1,000	\$ 3,274	\$ 195,652
Equity securities	356	1,068	487
Other	<u>1</u>	<u>1,389</u>	<u>(730)</u>
Total net realized investment gains	<u>\$ 1,357</u>	<u>\$ 5,731</u>	<u>\$ 195,409</u>
Change in net unrealized gains (losses):			
Fixed maturities	\$ 91,718	\$ (126,020)	\$ (78,604)
Equity securities	66	(153)	58
Other	-	-	-
Total increase (decrease) in net unrealized gains/losses	<u>\$ 91,784</u>	<u>\$ (126,173)</u>	<u>\$ (78,546)</u>

The gross realized gains, gross realized losses and impairment losses are as follows:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
	(In thousands)		
Gross realized gains	\$ 4,966	\$ 11,043	\$ 213,827
Gross realized losses	(3,465)	(4,984)	(16,108)
Impairment losses	<u>(144)</u>	<u>(328)</u>	<u>(2,310)</u>
Net realized gains on securities	<u>\$ 1,357</u>	<u>\$ 5,731</u>	<u>\$ 195,409</u>

We had \$20.2 million and \$20.3 million of investments at fair value on deposit with various states at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, due to regulatory requirements of those state insurance departments.

**7. Fair Value Measurements**

Assets measured at fair value included those listed, by hierarchy level, in the following tables as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
	(In thousands)			
<u>December 31, 2014</u>				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 346,775	\$ 188,824	\$ 157,951	\$ -
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	855,142	-	853,296	1,846
Corporate debt securities	2,425,281	-	2,425,281	-
Asset-backed securities	286,655	-	286,655	-
Residential mortgage-backed securities	321,237	-	321,237	-
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	275,278	-	275,278	-
Collateralized loan obligations	60,076	-	60,076	-
Debt securities issued by foreign sovereign governments	39,170	39,170	-	-
Total debt securities	4,609,614	227,994	4,379,774	1,846
Equity securities	3,055	2,734	-	321
Total investments	\$ 4,612,669	\$ 230,728	\$ 4,379,774	\$ 2,167
Real estate acquired (1)	\$ 12,658	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,658

(1) Real estate acquired through claim settlement, which is held for sale, is reported in Other Assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
	(In thousands)			
<b>December 31, 2013</b>				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 639,590	\$ 347,273	\$ 292,317	\$ -
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	921,367	-	918,944	2,423
Corporate debt securities	2,171,415	-	2,171,415	-
Asset-backed securities	400,486	-	400,486	-
Residential mortgage-backed securities	358,537	-	358,537	-
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	271,383	-	271,383	-
Collateralized loan obligations	60,295	-	60,295	-
Debt securities issued by foreign sovereign governments	40,852	40,852	-	-
<b>Total debt securities</b>	<b>4,863,925</b>	<b>388,125</b>	<b>4,473,377</b>	<b>2,423</b>
Equity securities	2,894	2,573	-	321
<b>Total investments</b>	<b>\$ 4,866,819</b>	<b>\$ 390,698</b>	<b>\$ 4,473,377</b>	<b>\$ 2,744</b>
Real estate acquired (1)	\$ 13,280	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,280

(1) Real estate acquired through claim settlement, which is held for sale, is reported in Other Assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

During the third quarter of 2014, we changed the classification of our U.S. government corporations and agencies securities from Level 1 to Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of our U.S. government corporations and agencies securities, in current market conditions, is determined from quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, which is in accordance with our policy for determining fair value for Level 2 securities. The classification within the fair value table as of December 31, 2013 has been revised to conform to the 2014 presentation, as we believe the most appropriate classification for these securities was Level 2 as of that date.

For assets and liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

	Obligations of U.S. States and Political Subdivisions	Corporate Debt Securities	Equity Securities (In thousands)	Total Investments	Real Estate Acquired
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 2,423	\$ -	\$ 321	\$ 2,744	\$ 13,280
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses):					
Included in earnings and reported as losses incurred, net	-	-	-	-	(4,129)
Purchases	30	-	-	30	42,247
Sales	(607)	-	-	(607)	(38,740)
Transfers into Level 3	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers out of Level 3	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2014	<u>\$ 1,846</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 321</u>	<u>\$ 2,167</u>	<u>\$ 12,658</u>
Amount of total losses included in earnings for the year ended December 31, 2014 attributable to the change in unrealized losses on assets still held at December 31, 2014	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

	Obligations of U.S. States and Political Subdivisions	Corporate Debt Securities	Equity Securities	Total Investments	Real Estate Acquired
			(In thousands)		
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 3,130	\$ 17,114	\$ 321	\$ 20,565	\$ 3,463
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses):					
Included in earnings and reported as realized investment gains (losses), net	-	(225)	-	(225)	-
Included in earnings and reported as losses incurred, net	-	-	-	-	(4,959)
Purchases	30	-	-	30	39,188
Sales	(737)	(16,889)	-	(17,626)	(24,412)
Transfers into Level 3	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers out of Level 3	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2013	<u>\$ 2,423</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 321</u>	<u>\$ 2,744</u>	<u>\$ 13,280</u>
Amount of total losses included in earnings for the year ended December 31, 2013 attributable to the change in unrealized losses on assets still held at December 31, 2013	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

	Obligations of U.S. States and Political Subdivisions	Corporate Debt Securities	Equity Securities (In thousands)	Total Investments	Real Estate Acquired
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 114,226	\$ 60,228	\$ 321	\$ 174,775	\$ 1,621
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses):					
Included in earnings and reported as realized investment gains (losses), net	(8,669)	(3,129)	-	(11,798)	-
Included in earnings and reported as net impairment losses recognized in earnings	-	(2,310)	-	(2,310)	-
Included in earnings and reported as losses incurred, net	-	-	-	-	(1,126)
Included in other comprehensive income	5,630	733	-	6,363	-
Purchases	27	-	-	27	11,991
Sales	(108,084)	(38,408)	-	(146,492)	(9,023)
Transfers into Level 3	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers out of Level 3	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2012	<u>\$ 3,130</u>	<u>\$ 17,114</u>	<u>\$ 321</u>	<u>\$ 20,565</u>	<u>\$ 3,463</u>

Amount of total losses included in earnings for the year ended December 31, 2012 attributable to the change in unrealized losses on assets still held at December 31, 2012	<u>\$ -</u>				
--	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

Authoritative guidance over disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments requires additional disclosure for financial instruments not measured at fair value. Certain financial instruments, including insurance contracts, are excluded from these fair value disclosure requirements. The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents (Level 1) and accrued investment income (Level 2) approximated their fair values.

During 2013 we sold our remaining auction rate securities. At December 31, 2014, the majority of the \$2 million balance of Level 3 securities is state premium tax credit investments. The state premium tax credit investments have an average maturity of less than 5 years, credit ratings of AA+ or higher, and their balance reflects their remaining scheduled payments discounted at an average annual rate of 7.3%.

Additional fair value disclosures related to our investment portfolio are included in Note 6 – “Investments.” Fair value disclosures related to our debt are included in Note 8 – “Debt.”

## **8. Debt**

### 5.375% Senior Notes – due November 2015

At December 31, 2014 and 2013 we had outstanding \$61.9 million and \$82.9 million, respectively, of 5.375% Senior Notes due in November 2015. Interest on these notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 1 and November 1 each year. During the second quarter of 2013 we repurchased \$17.2 million of those Senior Notes at par value. In addition, in February 2014, we repurchased an additional \$20.9 million in par value at a cost slightly above par. Covenants in the Senior Notes include the requirement that there be no liens on the stock of the designated subsidiaries unless the Senior Notes are equally and ratably secured; that there be no disposition of the stock of designated subsidiaries unless all of the stock is disposed of for consideration equal to the fair market value of the stock; and that we and the designated subsidiaries preserve our corporate existence, rights and franchises unless we or any such subsidiary determines that such preservation is no longer necessary in the conduct of its business and that the loss thereof is not disadvantageous to the Senior Notes. A designated subsidiary is any of our consolidated subsidiaries which has shareholders’ equity of at least 15% of our consolidated shareholders’ equity. Further, the notes are subject to the indenture between us and the trustee that, among other terms, include provisions that would constitute an event of default under the indenture. Upon such a default, the trustee could accelerate the maturity of the notes independent of any action by holders of the Senior Notes. This description is not intended to be complete in all respect and is qualified in its entirety by the terms of the Senior Notes, including their covenants and events of default. We were in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2014.

Interest payments on the Senior Notes were \$3.6 million and \$5.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

### 5% Convertible Senior Notes – due May 2017

At December 31, 2014 and 2013 we had outstanding \$345 million principal amount of 5% Convertible Senior Notes due in May 2017. Interest on the 5% Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 1 and November 1 of each year. The 5% Notes will mature on May 1, 2017. The 5% Notes are convertible, at the holder’s option, at an initial conversion rate, which is subject to adjustment, of 74.4186 shares per \$1,000 principal amount at any time prior to the maturity date. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$13.44 per share. These 5% Notes will be equal in right of payment to our other senior debt and will be senior in right of payment to our Convertible Junior Debentures. Debt issuance costs are being amortized to interest expense over the contractual life of the 5% Notes.

The provisions of the 5% Notes are complex. Covenants in the 5% Notes include a requirement to notify holders in advance of certain events and that we and the designated subsidiaries (defined above) preserve our corporate existence, rights and franchises unless we or any such subsidiary determines that such preservation is no longer necessary in the conduct of its business and that the loss thereof is not disadvantageous to the 5% Notes. Further, the notes are subject to the indenture between us and the trustee that, among other terms, include provisions that would constitute an event of default under the indenture. Upon such a default, the trustee could accelerate the maturity of the notes independent of any action by holders of the 5% Notes. This description is not intended to be complete in all respect and is qualified in its entirety by the terms of the 5% Notes, including their covenants and events of default. We were in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2014.

Interest payments on the 5% Notes were \$17.3 million in each of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

#### 2% Convertible Senior Notes – due April 2020

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, we had outstanding \$500 million principal amount of 2% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2020 which we issued in March 2013. We received net proceeds of approximately \$484.6 million after deducting underwriting discount and offering expenses. See Note 15 – “Shareholders’ Equity” for information regarding the use of such proceeds. Interest on the 2% Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on April 1 and October 1 of each year. The 2% Notes will mature on April 1, 2020, unless earlier repurchased by us or converted. Prior to January 1, 2020, the 2% Convertible Senior Notes are convertible only upon satisfaction of one or more conditions. One such condition is that during any calendar quarter commencing after March 31, 2014, the last reported sale price of our common stock for each of at least 20 trading days during the 30 consecutive trading days ending on, and including, the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter be greater than or equal to 130% of the applicable conversion price on each applicable trading day. The 2% Notes are convertible at an initial conversion rate, which is subject to adjustment, of 143.8332 shares per \$1,000 principal amount. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$6.95 per share. 130% of such conversion price is \$9.03. On or after January 1, 2020, holders may convert their notes irrespective of satisfaction of the conditions. These 2% Notes will be equal in right of payment to our other senior debt and will be senior in right of payment to our Convertible Junior Debentures. Debt issuance costs will be amortized to interest expense over the contractual life of the 2% Notes. Prior to April 10, 2017, the notes will not be redeemable. On any business day on or after April 10, 2017 we may redeem for cash all or part of the notes, at our option, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes being redeemed, plus any accrued and unpaid interest, if the closing sale price of our common stock exceeds 130% of the then prevailing conversion price of the notes for at least 20 of the 30 trading days preceding notice of the redemption.

The provisions of the 2% Notes are complex. Covenants in the 2% Notes include a requirement to notify holders in advance of certain events and that we and the designated subsidiaries (defined above) preserve our corporate existence, rights and franchises unless we or any such subsidiary determines that such preservation is no longer necessary in the conduct of its business and that the loss thereof is not disadvantageous to the 2% Notes. Further, the notes are subject to the indenture between us and the trustee that, among other terms, include provisions that would constitute an event of default under the indenture. Upon such a default, the trustee could accelerate the maturity of the notes independent of any action by holders of the 2% Notes. This description is not intended to be complete in all respect and is qualified in its entirety by the terms of the 2% Notes, including their covenants and events of default. We were in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2014.

Interest payments on the 2% Notes were \$10.0 million and \$5.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

#### 9% Convertible Junior Subordinated Debentures – due April 2063

At December 31, 2014 and 2013 we had outstanding \$389.5 million principal amount of 9% Convertible Junior Subordinated Debentures due in 2063 (the “debentures”). The debentures are currently convertible, at the holder's option, at an initial conversion rate, which is subject to adjustment, of 74.0741 common shares per \$1,000 principal amount of debentures at any time prior to the maturity date. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$13.50 per share. If a holder elects to convert their debentures, deferred interest owed on the debentures being converted is also converted into shares of our common stock. The conversion rate for any deferred interest is based on the average price that our shares traded at during a 5-day period immediately prior to the election to convert. In lieu of issuing shares of common stock upon conversion of the debentures, we may, at our option, make a cash payment to converting holders for all or some of the shares of our common stock otherwise issuable upon conversion. The debentures rank junior to all of our existing and future senior indebtedness.

Interest on the debentures is payable semi-annually in arrears on April 1 and October 1 of each year. As long as no event of default with respect to the debentures has occurred and is continuing, we may defer interest, under an optional deferral provision, for one or more consecutive interest periods up to ten years without giving rise to an event of default. Deferred interest will accrue additional interest at the rate then applicable to the debentures. During an optional deferral period we may not pay or declare dividends on our common stock.

When interest on the debentures is deferred, we are required, not later than a specified time, to use reasonable commercial efforts to begin selling qualifying securities to persons who are not our affiliates. The specified time is one business day after we pay interest on the debentures that was not deferred, or if earlier, the fifth anniversary of the scheduled interest payment date on which the deferral started. Qualifying securities are common stock, certain warrants and certain non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock. The requirement to use such efforts to sell such securities is called the Alternative Payment Mechanism.

The net proceeds of Alternative Payment Mechanism sales are to be applied to the payment of deferred interest, including the compound portion. We cannot pay deferred interest other than from the net proceeds of Alternative Payment Mechanism sales, except at the final maturity of the debentures or at the tenth anniversary of the start of the interest deferral. The Alternative Payment Mechanism does not require us to sell common stock or warrants before the fifth anniversary of the interest payment date on which that deferral started if the net proceeds (counting any net proceeds of those securities previously sold under the Alternative Payment Mechanism) would exceed the 2% cap. The 2% cap is 2% of the average closing price of our common stock times the number of our outstanding shares of common stock. The average price is determined over a specified period ending before the issuance of the common stock or warrants being sold, and the number of outstanding shares is determined as of the date of our most recent publicly released financial statements.

We are not required to issue under the Alternative Payment Mechanism a total of more than 10 million shares of common stock, including shares underlying qualifying warrants. In addition, we may not issue under the Alternative Payment Mechanism qualifying preferred stock if the total net proceeds of all issuances would exceed 25% of the aggregate principal amount of the debentures.

The Alternative Payment Mechanism does not apply during any period between scheduled interest payment dates if there is a “market disruption event” that occurs over a specified portion of such period. Market disruption events include any material adverse change in domestic or international economic or financial conditions.

On April 1, 2013 we paid a deferred interest payment, including the compound interest that had accrued on a semi-annual basis at an annual rate of 9%, from an installment initially due October 1, 2012. The interest payment, totaling approximately \$18.3 million, was made from the net proceeds of our March 2013 common stock offering. We also paid the regular April 1, 2013 interest payment due on the debentures of approximately \$17.5 million, and we remain current on all interest payments due. We continue to have the right to defer interest that is payable on subsequent scheduled interest payment dates. Any deferral of such interest would be on terms equivalent to those described above.

The provisions of the debentures are complex. The description above is not intended to be complete in all respects. Moreover, that description is qualified in its entirety by the terms of the debentures, including their covenants and events of default. We were in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2014.

We may redeem the debentures in whole or in part from time to time, at our option, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the debentures being redeemed, plus any accrued and unpaid interest, if the closing sale price of our common stock exceeds 130% of the then prevailing conversion price of the debentures for at least 20 of the 30 trading days preceding notice of the redemption.

Interest payments on the debentures were \$35.1 million and \$53.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

**All debt**

The par value and fair value of our debt at December 31, 2014 and 2013 appears in the table below.

	Par Value	Total Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (In thousands)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>December 31, 2014</b>					
Debt:					
Senior Notes	\$ 61,953	\$ 63,618	\$ -	\$ 63,618	\$ -
Convertible Senior Notes due 2017	345,000	387,997	-	387,997	-
Convertible Senior Notes due 2020	500,000	735,075	-	735,075	-
Convertible Junior Subordinated Debentures	389,522	500,201	-	500,201	-
<b>Total Debt</b>	<b>\$ 1,296,475</b>	<b>\$ 1,686,891</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,686,891</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<b>December 31, 2013</b>					
Debt:					
Senior Notes	\$ 82,883	\$ 85,991	\$ 85,991	\$ -	\$ -
Convertible Senior Notes due 2017	345,000	388,988	388,988	-	-
Convertible Senior Notes due 2020	500,000	685,625	685,625	-	-
Convertible Junior Subordinated Debentures	389,522	439,186	-	439,186	-
<b>Total Debt</b>	<b>\$ 1,317,405</b>	<b>\$ 1,599,790</b>	<b>\$ 1,160,604</b>	<b>\$ 439,186</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

The fair values of our Senior Notes, Convertible Senior Notes, and Convertible Junior Debentures were determined using available pricing for these notes, debentures or similar instruments and they are considered Level 2 securities as described in Note 3 – “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Fair Value Measurements.” As of December 31, 2013, the fair values of our Senior Notes and Convertible Senior Notes were determined using publicly available trade information and they were considered Level 1 securities as described in Note 3 – “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Fair Value Measurements.”

The Senior Notes, Convertible Senior Notes and Convertible Junior Debentures are obligations of our holding company, MGIC Investment Corporation, and not of its subsidiaries. At December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$491 million in cash and investments at our holding company. The net unrealized losses on our holding company investment portfolio were approximately \$2.5 million at December 31, 2014. The modified duration of the holding company investment portfolio, excluding cash and cash equivalents, was 2.9 years at December 31, 2014.

## 9. Loss Reserves

As described in Note 3 – “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – Loss Reserves,” we establish reserves to recognize the estimated liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses related to defaults on insured mortgage loans. Loss reserves are established by estimating the number of loans in our inventory of delinquent loans that will result in a claim payment, which is referred to as the claim rate, and further estimating the amount of the claim payment, which is referred to as claim severity.

Estimation of losses is inherently judgmental. The conditions that affect the claim rate and claim severity include the current and future state of the domestic economy, including unemployment, and the current and future strength of local housing markets. The actual amount of the claim payments may be substantially different than our loss reserve estimates. Our estimates could be adversely affected by several factors, including a deterioration of regional or national economic conditions, including unemployment, leading to a reduction in borrowers’ income and thus their ability to make mortgage payments, and a drop in housing values which may affect borrower willingness to continue to make mortgage payments when the value of the home is below the mortgage balance. Changes to our estimates could result in a material impact to our results of operations and capital position, even in a stable economic environment.

The following table provides a reconciliation of beginning and ending loss reserves for each of the past three years:

	2014	2013	2012
		(In thousands)	
Reserve at beginning of year	\$ 3,061,401	\$ 4,056,843	\$ 4,557,512
Less reinsurance recoverable	64,085	104,848	154,607
Net reserve at beginning of year	2,997,316	3,951,995	4,402,905
<b>Losses incurred:</b>			
Losses and LAE incurred in respect of default notices received in:			
Current year	596,436	898,413	1,494,133
Prior years (1)	(100,359)	(59,687)	573,120
Subtotal	496,077	838,726	2,067,253
<b>Losses paid:</b>			
Losses and LAE paid in respect of default notices received in:			
Current year	32,919	73,470	134,509
Prior years	1,121,508	1,722,923	2,389,985
Reinsurance terminations (2)	-	(2,988)	(6,331)
Subtotal	1,154,427	1,793,405	2,518,163
Net reserve at end of year	2,338,966	2,997,316	3,951,995
Plus reinsurance recoverables	57,841	64,085	104,848
Reserve at end of year	\$ 2,396,807	\$ 3,061,401	\$ 4,056,843

(1) A negative number for prior year losses incurred indicates a redundancy of prior year loss reserves, and a positive number for prior year losses incurred indicates a deficiency of prior year loss reserves. See table below regarding prior year loss development.

(2) In a termination, the reinsurance agreement is cancelled, with no future premium ceded and funds for any incurred but unpaid losses transferred to us. The transferred funds result in an increase in our investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents) and a decrease in net losses paid (reduction to losses incurred). In addition, there is an offsetting decrease in the reinsurance recoverable (increase in losses incurred), and thus there is no net impact to losses incurred. (See Note 11 – “Reinsurance”)

The “Losses incurred” section of the table above shows losses incurred on default notices received in the current year and in prior years. The amount of losses incurred relating to default notices received in the current year represents the estimated amount to be ultimately paid on such default notices. The amount of losses incurred relating to default notices received in prior years represents the actual claim rate and severity associated with those default notices resolved in the current year differing from the estimated liability at the prior year-end, as well as a re-estimation of amounts to be ultimately paid on defaults remaining in inventory from the end of the prior year. This re-estimation of the estimated claim rate and estimated severity is the result of our review of current trends in the default inventory, such as percentages of defaults that have resulted in a claim, the amount of the claims, changes in the relative level of defaults by geography and changes in average loan exposure.

Losses incurred on default notices received in the current year decreased in 2014 compared to 2013, and in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to a decrease in the number of new default notices received, net of cures, as well as a decrease in the estimated claim rate on recently reported delinquencies.

The prior year development of the reserves in 2014, 2013 and 2012 is reflected in the table below.

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
		(In millions)	
Prior year loss development:			
Pool policy settlement (1)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 267
(Decrease) increase in estimated claim rate on primary defaults	(43)	10	260
Decrease in estimated severity on primary defaults	(35)	(50)	(70)
Change in estimates related to pool reserves, LAE reserves, reinsurance and other (2)	(22)	(20)	116
Total prior year loss development	<u>\$ (100)</u>	<u>\$ (60)</u>	<u>\$ 573</u>

(1) See below for a discussion of our settlement with Freddie Mac.

(2) Includes approximately \$100 million related to probable settlements regarding our claims paying practices in 2012

The prior year loss development was based on the resolution of approximately 58%, 59% and 55% for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively of the prior year default inventory, as well as a re-estimation of amounts to be ultimately paid on defaults remaining in inventory and estimated incurred but not reported items from the end of the prior year. In 2014, we recognized favorable development on our estimated claim rate as we experienced a higher cure rate on prior year default inventory. In 2012, lower estimated rescission rates, as well as our experience on defaults that were 12 months or more delinquent increased our estimate of the claim rate. The decrease in the estimated severity in 2014, 2013 and 2012 was based on the resolution of the prior year default inventory.

The "Losses paid" section of the table above shows the breakdown between claims paid on default notices received in the current year, claims paid on default notices received in prior years and the decrease in losses paid related to terminated reinsurance agreements as noted in footnote (2) of that table. Until a few years ago, it took, on average, approximately twelve months for a default that is not cured to develop into a paid claim. Over the past several years, the average time it takes to receive a claim associated with a default has increased. This is, in part, due to new loss mitigation protocols established by servicers and to changes in some state foreclosure laws that may include, for example, a requirement for additional review and/or mediation processes. It is difficult to estimate how long it may take for current and future defaults that do not cure to develop into paid claims.

MGIC and Freddie Mac disagreed on the amount of the aggregate loss limit under certain pool insurance policies (the “Disputed Policies”). On December 1, 2012, an Agreement of Settlement, Compromise and Release (the “Settlement Agreement”) between MGIC, Freddie Mac and the FHFA became effective, settling their dispute regarding the Disputed Policies. Under the Settlement Agreement, MGIC is to pay Freddie Mac a total of \$267.5 million in satisfaction of all obligations under the Disputed Policies. Of the total, \$100 million was paid in December 2012, as required by the Settlement Agreement, and the remaining \$167.5 million is being paid out in 48 equal monthly installments that began on January 2, 2013.

The liability associated with our estimate of premiums to be refunded on expected claim payments is accrued for separately at December 31, 2014 and 2013 and approximated \$115 million and \$131 million, respectively. Separate components of this liability are included in “Other liabilities” and “Premium deficiency reserve” on our consolidated balance sheet.

A rollforward of our primary default inventory for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appears in the table below. The information concerning new notices and cures is compiled from monthly reports received from loan servicers. The level of new notice and cure activity reported in a particular month can be influenced by, among other things, the date on which a servicer generates its report, the number of business days in a month and by transfers of servicing between loan servicers.

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Default inventory at beginning of year	103,328	139,845	175,639
New Notices	88,844	106,823	133,232
Cures	(87,278)	(104,390)	(120,248)
Paid (including those charged to a deductible or captive)	(23,494)	(34,738)	(45,741)
Rescissions and denials	(1,306)	(1,939)	(3,037)
Items removed from inventory resulting from the Countrywide settlement on GSE loans	(193)	(2,273)	-
Default inventory at end of year	<u>79,901</u>	<u>103,328</u>	<u>139,845</u>

Pool insurance default inventory decreased from 6,563 at December 31, 2013 to 3,797 at December 31, 2014. The pool insurance notice inventory was 8,594 at December 31, 2012.

The decrease in the primary default inventory experienced during 2014 and 2013 was generally across all markets and all book years. In 2014 and 2013, the percentage of loans in the inventory that had been in default for 12 or more consecutive months had decreased compared to the prior years. In 2014, the level of loans in inventory that had been in default for 12 or more consecutive months also decreased in relation to the total primary default inventory. Historically as a default ages it becomes more likely to result in a claim. The percentage of loans that have been in default for 12 or more consecutive months has been affected by our suspended rescissions discussed below.

**Aging of the Primary Default Inventory**

	December 31,					
	2014		2013		2012	
Consecutive months in default	15,319	19%	18,941	18%	23,282	17%
3 months or less						
4 - 11 months	19,710	25%	24,514	24%	34,688	25%
12 months or more	44,872	56%	59,873	58%	81,875	58%
<b>Total primary default inventory</b>	<b>79,901</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>103,328</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>139,845</b>	<b>100%</b>
Primary claims received inventory included in ending default inventory (1)	4,746	6%	6,948	7%	11,731	8%

(1) Our claims received inventory includes suspended rescissions, as we have voluntarily suspended rescissions of coverage related to loans that we believed would be included in a potential resolution. As of December 31, 2014, rescissions of coverage on approximately 1,425 loans had been voluntarily suspended.

The length of time a loan is in the default inventory can differ from the number of payments that the borrower has not made or is considered delinquent. These differences typically result from a borrower making monthly payments that do not result in the loan becoming fully current. The number of payments that a borrower is delinquent is shown in the table below.

**Number of Primary Payments Delinquent**

	December 31,					
	2014		2013		2012	
3 payments or less	23,253	29%	28,095	27%	34,245	24%
4 - 11 payments	19,427	24%	24,605	24%	34,458	25%
12 payments or more	37,221	47%	50,628	49%	71,142	51%
<b>Total primary default inventory</b>	<b>79,901</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>103,328</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>139,845</b>	<b>100%</b>

Claims paying practices

Our loss reserving methodology incorporates our estimates of future rescissions. A variance between ultimate actual rescission rates and our estimates, as a result of the outcome of litigation, settlements or other factors, could materially affect our losses.

The liability associated with our estimate of premiums to be refunded on expected future rescissions is accrued for separately. At December 31, 2014 and 2013 the estimate of this liability totaled \$28 million and \$15 million, respectively. Separate components of this liability are included in “Other liabilities” and “Premium deficiency reserve” on our consolidated balance sheets. Changes in the liability affect premiums written and earned and change in premium deficiency reserve.

For information about discussions and legal proceedings with customers with respect to our claims paying practices, including settlements that we believe are probable, as defined in ASC 450-20, see Note 20 – “Litigation and Contingencies.”

**10. Premium Deficiency Reserve**

Beginning in 2007, when we stopped writing Wall Street bulk business, we began to separately measure the performance of these transactions and established a premium deficiency reserve related to this business. The premium deficiency reserve reflects the present value of expected future losses and expenses that exceed the present value of expected future premiums and already established loss reserves.

The components of the premium deficiency reserve at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appear in the table below.

	December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In millions)		
Present value of expected future premium	\$ 387	\$ 432	\$ 445
Present value of expected future paid losses and expenses	(941)	(1,101)	(1,285)
Net present value of future cash flows	(554)	(669)	(840)
Established loss reserves	530	621	766
Net deficiency	\$ (24)	\$ (48)	\$ (74)
Discount rate utilized at December 31,	2.1%	1.6%	1.3%

Each quarter, we re-estimate the premium deficiency reserve on the remaining Wall Street bulk insurance in force. The premium deficiency reserve primarily changes from quarter to quarter as a result of two factors. First, it changes as the actual premiums, losses and expenses that were previously estimated are recognized. Each period such items are reflected in our financial statements as earned premium, losses incurred and expenses. The difference between the amount and timing of actual earned premiums, losses incurred and expenses and our previous estimates used to establish the premium deficiency reserves has an effect (either positive or negative) on that period’s results. Second, the premium deficiency reserve changes as our assumptions relating to the present value of expected future premiums, losses and expenses on the remaining Wall Street bulk insurance in force change. Changes to these assumptions also have an effect on that period’s results.

The decrease in the premium deficiency reserve for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$24 million, \$26 million, and \$61 million, respectively, as shown in the tables below. The decrease represents the net result of actual premiums, losses and expenses as well as a net change in assumptions for these periods. The change in assumptions for 2014 and 2013 is primarily related to higher estimated ultimate premiums resulting principally from an increase in the projected persistency rate, offset in part by higher estimated ultimate losses resulting principally from an increase in the number of projected claims that will ultimately be paid. The change in assumptions for 2012 is primarily related to higher estimated ultimate losses resulting principally from an increase in the number of projected claims that will ultimately be paid.

The decrease in the premium deficiency reserve for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 appears in the table below.

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In millions)		
Premium Deficiency Reserve at beginning of year	\$ (48)	\$ (74)	\$ (135)
Paid claims and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 169	\$ 214	\$ 279
Decrease in loss reserves	(91)	(145)	(60)
Premium earned	(79)	(96)	(102)
Effects of present valuing on future premiums, losses and expenses	(2)	(1)	(1)
Change in premium deficiency reserve to reflect actual premium, losses and expenses recognized	(3)	(28)	116
Change in premium deficiency reserve to reflect change in assumptions relating to future premiums, losses, expenses and discount rate (1)	27	54	(55)
Premium Deficiency Reserve at end of year	\$ (24)	\$ (48)	\$ (74)

(1) A positive (negative) number for changes in assumptions relating to premiums, losses, expenses and discount rate indicates a redundancy (deficiency) of prior premium deficiency reserves.

Each quarter we perform a premium deficiency analysis on the portion of our book of business not covered by the premium deficiency reserve described above. As of December 31, 2014, the analysis concluded that there was no premium deficiency on such portion of our book of business. For the reasons discussed below, our analysis of any potential deficiency reserve is subject to inherent uncertainty and requires significant judgment by management. To the extent, in a future period, expected losses are higher or expected premiums are lower than the assumptions we used in our analysis, and we estimate that the present value of the expected future losses and expenses exceed the present value of expected future premiums and already established loss reserves, we could be required to record a premium deficiency reserve on this portion of our book of business in such period.

The calculation of premium deficiency reserves requires the use of significant judgments and estimates to determine the present value of future premium and present value of expected losses and expenses on our business. The calculation of future premium depends on, among other things, assumptions about persistency and repayment patterns on underlying loans. The calculation of expected losses and expenses depends on assumptions relating to severity of claims and claim rates on current defaults, and expected defaults in future periods. These assumptions also include an estimate of expected rescission activity. Similar to our loss reserve estimates, our estimates for premium deficiency reserves could be adversely affected by several factors, including a deterioration of regional or economic conditions leading to a reduction in borrowers' income and thus their ability to make mortgage payments, and a drop in housing values that could expose us to greater losses. Assumptions used in calculating the deficiency reserves can also be affected by volatility in the current housing and mortgage lending industries. To the extent premium patterns and actual loss experience differ from the assumptions used in calculating the premium deficiency reserves, the differences between the actual results and our estimates will affect future period earnings and could be material.

## **11. Reinsurance**

MGIC has obtained both captive and non-captive reinsurance in the past. In a captive reinsurance agreement, the reinsurer is affiliated with the lender for whom MGIC provides mortgage insurance.

Since June 2005, various state and federal regulators have conducted investigations or requested information regarding captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements in which we participated, in part, in order to consider compliance with the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA") or similar state laws. In April 2013, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida approved a settlement between MGIC and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB") that resolved a federal investigation of MGIC's participation in captive reinsurance arrangements in the mortgage insurance industry. The settlement concludes the investigation with respect to MGIC without the CFPB or the court making any findings of wrongdoing. Three other mortgage insurers agreed to similar settlements. As part of the settlements, MGIC and the other mortgage insurers agreed that they would not enter into any new captive reinsurance agreement or reinsure any new loans under any existing captive reinsurance agreement for a period of ten years. In accordance with this settlement, all of our active captive agreements have been placed into run-off.

Captive agreements were written on an annual book of business and the captives are required to maintain a separate trust account to support the combined reinsured risk on all annual books. MGIC is the sole beneficiary of the trust, and the trust account is made up of capital deposits by the lender captive, premium deposits by MGIC, and investment income earned. These amounts are held in the trust account and are available to pay reinsured losses. The reinsurance recoverable on loss reserves related to captive agreements was \$45 million at December 31, 2014 which was supported by \$198 million of trust assets, while at December 31, 2013 the reinsurance recoverable on loss reserves related to captives was \$64 million which was supported by \$226 million of trust assets. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 there was an additional \$9 million and \$23 million, respectively, of trust assets in captive agreements where there was no related reinsurance recoverable on loss reserves. Trust fund assets of \$3.0 million were transferred to us as a result of captive terminations during 2013.

In April 2013, we entered into a quota share reinsurance agreement with a group of unaffiliated reinsurers that are not captive reinsurers. These reinsurers primarily have a rating of A or better by Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's Rating Services or both. This reinsurance agreement applies to new insurance written between April 1, 2013 and December 31, 2015 (with certain exclusions) and covers incurred losses, with renewal premium through December 31, 2018. Early termination is possible under specified scenarios. The structure of the reinsurance agreement is a 30% quota share, with a 20% ceding commission as well as a profit commission. In December 2013, we entered into an Addendum to the quota share reinsurance agreement that applies to certain insurance written before April 1, 2013 that had never been delinquent. The structure of the quota share reinsurance agreement remains the same, with the exception that the business written before April 1, 2013 has a 40% quota share. Under the Addendum, policies for which premium was received but unearned as of December 31, 2013 were ceded, which generated "Prepaid reinsurance premiums" of \$23.9 million which has been reduced to \$16.8 million at December 31, 2014.

We have accrued a profit commission receivable of \$91.5 million and \$2.4 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. This receivable could continue to increase materially through the term of the agreement, but the ultimate amount of the commission will depend on the ultimate level of premiums earned and losses incurred under the agreement. Any profit commission would be paid to us upon termination of the reinsurance agreement. Recoverables under the agreement are supported by trust funds or letters of credit.

A summary of the combined quota share reinsurance agreement for 2014 and 2013 appears below.

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
	(In thousands)	
Ceded premiums written, net of profit commission	\$ 100,031	\$ 49,672
Ceded premiums earned, net of profit commission	88,528	13,821
Ceded losses incurred	15,163	176
Ceding commissions (1)	37,833	10,408

(1) Ceding commissions are reported within Other underwriting and operating expenses, net on the consolidated statements of operations.

The effect of all reinsurance agreements on premiums earned and losses incurred is as follows:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands)		
Premiums earned:			
Direct	\$ 950,973	\$ 979,078	\$ 1,065,663
Assumed	1,653	2,074	2,425
Ceded	<u>(108,255)</u>	<u>(38,101)</u>	<u>(34,918)</u>
Net premiums earned	<u>\$ 844,371</u>	<u>\$ 943,051</u>	<u>\$ 1,033,170</u>
Losses incurred:			
Direct	\$ 524,051	\$ 863,871	\$ 2,115,974
Assumed	2,012	2,645	6,912
Ceded	<u>(29,986)</u>	<u>(27,790)</u>	<u>(55,633)</u>
Net losses incurred	<u>\$ 496,077</u>	<u>\$ 838,726</u>	<u>\$ 2,067,253</u>

Generally, reinsurance recoverables on primary loss reserves, paid losses and prepaid reinsurance premiums are supported by trust funds or letters of credit. As such, we have not established an allowance against these recoverables.

See Note 20 – “Litigation and Contingencies” for a discussion of requests or subpoenas for information regarding captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements.

**12. Other Comprehensive Income**

Our other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

	2014			
	<u>Before tax</u>	<u>Tax effect</u>	<u>Valuation allowance</u>	<u>Net of tax</u>
	(In thousands)			
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in unrealized gains and losses on investments	\$ 91,782	\$ (32,017)	\$ 31,374	\$ 91,139
Benefit plans adjustments	(52,112)	18,239	(18,239)	(52,112)
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustment	(4,067)	1,425	-	(2,642)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ 35,603</u>	<u>\$ (12,353)</u>	<u>\$ 13,135</u>	<u>\$ 36,385</u>
	2013			
	<u>Before tax</u>	<u>Tax effect</u>	<u>Valuation allowance</u>	<u>Net of tax</u>
	(In thousands)			
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in unrealized gains and losses on investments	\$ (126,175)	\$ 43,732	\$ (41,148)	\$ (123,591)
Benefit plans adjustments	68,038	(23,813)	23,813	68,038
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustment	(21,563)	7,553	-	(14,010)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ (79,700)</u>	<u>\$ 27,472</u>	<u>\$ (17,335)</u>	<u>\$ (69,563)</u>

	2012			
	Before tax	Tax effect	Valuation allowance	Net of tax
	(In thousands)			
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in unrealized gains and losses on investments	\$ (78,546)	\$ 27,510	\$ (27,623)	\$ (78,659)
Benefit plan adjustments	(1,221)	428	(428)	(1,221)
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustment	2,452	(859)	-	1,593
Other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ (77,315)</u>	<u>\$ 27,079</u>	<u>\$ (28,051)</u>	<u>\$ (78,287)</u>

See Note 14 – “Income Taxes” for a discussion of the valuation allowance.

A rollforward of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, including amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), are included in the table below.

	2014			
	Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities	Defined benefit plans	Foreign currency translation	Total
	(In thousands)			
Balance at December 31, 2013, before tax	\$ (84,634)	\$ (3,766)	\$ 11,184	\$ (77,216)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	78,294	(45,182)	(4,067)	29,045
Less: Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(13,488)(1)	6,930(2)	-	(6,558)
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>91,782</u>	<u>(52,112)</u>	<u>(4,067)</u>	<u>35,603</u>
Balance at December 31, 2014, before tax	<u>7,148</u>	<u>(55,878)</u>	<u>7,117</u>	<u>(41,613)</u>
Tax effect (3)	<u>(64,699)</u>	<u>26,940</u>	<u>(1,969)</u>	<u>(39,728)</u>
Balance at December 31, 2014, net of tax	<u>\$ (57,551)</u>	<u>\$ (28,938)</u>	<u>\$ 5,148</u>	<u>\$ (81,341)</u>

	2013			
	Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities	Defined benefit plans	Foreign currency translation	Total
	(In thousands)			
Balance at December 31, 2012, before tax	\$ 41,541	\$ (71,804)	\$ 32,747	\$ 2,484
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(112,667)	68,039	(21,563)	(66,191)
Less: Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	13,508 (1)	1 (2)	-	13,509
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>(126,175)</u>	<u>68,038</u>	<u>(21,563)</u>	<u>(79,700)</u>
Balance at December 31, 2013, before tax	<u>(84,634)</u>	<u>(3,766)</u>	<u>11,184</u>	<u>(77,216)</u>
Tax effect (3)	<u>(64,056)</u>	<u>26,940</u>	<u>(3,394)</u>	<u>(40,510)</u>
Balance at December 31, 2013, net of tax	<u>\$ (148,690)</u>	<u>\$ 23,174</u>	<u>\$ 7,790</u>	<u>\$ (117,726)</u>

	2012			
	Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities	Defined benefit plans	Foreign currency translation	Total
	(In thousands)			
Balance at December 31, 2011, before tax	\$ 120,087	\$ (70,582)	\$ 30,294	\$ 79,799
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	22,710	(2,296)	2,453	22,867
Less: Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	101,256 (1)	(1,074) (2)	-	100,182
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>(78,546)</u>	<u>(1,222)</u>	<u>2,453</u>	<u>(77,315)</u>
Balance at December 31, 2012, before tax	<u>41,541</u>	<u>(71,804)</u>	<u>32,747</u>	<u>2,484</u>
Tax effect (3)	<u>(66,640)</u>	<u>26,940</u>	<u>(10,947)</u>	<u>(50,647)</u>
Balance at December 31, 2012, net of tax	<u>\$ (25,099)</u>	<u>\$ (44,864)</u>	<u>\$ 21,800</u>	<u>\$ (48,163)</u>

(1) During 2014, 2013 and 2012, net unrealized (losses) gains of (\$13.5) million, \$13.5 million and \$101.3 million, respectively, were reclassified to the Consolidated Statement of Operations and included in Realized investment gains.

- (2) For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, other comprehensive income (loss) related to benefit plans of \$6.9 million, \$1 thousand, and (\$1.1) million, respectively, was reclassified to the Consolidated Statements of Operations and included in Underwriting and other expenses, net.
- (3) Tax effect does not approximate 35% due to amounts of tax benefits not provided in various periods due to our tax valuation allowance.

### 13. Benefit Plans

We have a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all domestic employees, as well as a supplemental executive retirement plan. We also offer both medical and dental benefits for retired domestic employees and their eligible spouses under a postretirement benefit plan. The following tables provide the components of aggregate annual net periodic benefit cost, changes in the benefit obligation and the funded status of the pension, supplemental executive retirement and other postretirement benefit plans as recognized in the consolidated balance sheets:

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost for fiscal year ending	Pension and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans			Other Postretirement Benefits		
	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2012	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2012
	(In thousands)					
1. Company Service Cost	\$ 8,565	\$ 11,338	\$ 9,662	\$ 659	\$ 812	\$ 1,226
2. Interest Cost	15,987	15,289	16,481	653	618	1,144
3. Expected Return on Assets	(21,030)	(20,144)	(18,211)	(4,648)	(3,679)	(3,162)
4. Other Adjustments	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Subtotal</i>	3,522	6,483	7,932	(3,336)	(2,249)	(792)
5. Amortization of :						
a. Net Transition Obligation/(Asset)	-	-	-	-	-	-
b. Net Prior Service Cost/(Credit)	(930)	503	665	(6,649)	(6,649)	(6,217)
c. Net Losses/(Gains)	1,083	6,145	5,829	(435)	-	797
<i>Total Amortization</i>	153	6,648	6,494	(7,084)	(6,649)	(5,420)
6. Net Periodic Benefit Cost	3,675	13,131	14,426	(10,420)	(8,898)	(6,212)
7. Cost of settlements or curtailments	302	-	-	-	-	-
8. Total Expense for Year	\$ 3,977	\$ 13,131	\$ 14,426	\$ (10,420)	\$ (8,898)	\$ (6,212)

**Development of Funded Status**

	Pension and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2014	12/31/2013
	(In thousands)			
<b>Actuarial Value of Benefit Obligations</b>				
1. Measurement Date	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2014	12/31/2013
2. Accumulated Benefit Obligation	\$ 366,440	\$ 304,825	\$ 18,225	\$ 15,764
<b>Funded Status/Asset (Liability) on the Consolidated Balance Sheet</b>				
1. Projected Benefit Obligation	\$ (379,324)	\$ (317,606)	\$ (18,225)	\$ (15,764)
2. Plan Assets at Fair Value	378,701	355,704	66,940	62,298
3. Funded Status - Overfunded/Asset	N/A	\$ 38,098	\$ 48,715	\$ 46,534
4. Funded Status - Underfunded/Liability	(623)	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Pension and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2014	12/31/2013
	(In thousands)			
<b>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income</b>				
1. Net Actuarial (Gain)/Loss	\$ 93,243	\$ 49,925	\$ (8,222)	\$ (9,439)
2. Net Prior Service Cost/(Credit)	(3,853)	(4,782)	(25,289)	(31,938)
3. Net Transition Obligation/(Asset)	-	-	-	-
4. Total at Year End	\$ 89,390	\$ 45,143	\$ (33,511)	\$ (41,377)

The amortization of gains and losses resulting from actual experience different from assumed experience or changes in assumptions including discount rates is included as a component of Net Periodic Benefit Cost/(Income) for the year. The gain or loss in excess of a 10% corridor is amortized by the average remaining service period of participating employees expected to receive benefits under the plan.

The changes in the projected benefit obligation are as follows:

Change in Projected Benefit/Accumulated Benefit Obligation	Pension and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2014	12/31/2013
	(In thousands)			
1. Benefit Obligation at Beginning of Year	\$ 317,606	\$ 362,657	\$ 15,764	\$ 16,284
2. Company Service Cost	8,565	11,338	659	812
3. Interest Cost	15,987	15,289	653	618
4. Plan Participants' Contributions	-	-	336	299
5. Net Actuarial (Gain)/Loss due to Assumption Changes	59,901	(44,205)	2,276	(1,414)
6. Net Actuarial (Gain)/Loss due to Plan Experience	(55)	1,353	(855)	101
7. Benefit Payments from Fund (1)	(21,539)	(22,497)	(645)	(871)
8. Benefit Payments Directly by Company	(1,404)	(275)	-	(65)
9. Plan Amendments	(1)	(6,054)	-	-
10. Other Adjustment	264	-	37	-
11. Benefit Obligation at End of Year	\$ 379,324	\$ 317,606	\$ 18,225	\$ 15,764

(1) In 2014, includes lump sum payments of \$11.8 million from our pension plan to eligible participants, which were former employees with vested benefits. In 2013, includes lump sum payments of \$13.8 million from our pension plan to eligible participants, which were former employees with vested benefits of \$200 thousand or less.

In the fourth quarter of 2014, the Society of Actuaries released new mortality tables as a result of their detailed study on the future life expectancies of pension plan participants. We have used these new mortality tables in calculating our year-end 2014 retirement program obligations. If all pension plan participants elected to receive their pension benefits in monthly payments, the new tables would have increased year-end obligations by \$23.2 million. However, based on our experience, we estimate that 75% of our active pension plan participants will elect to receive their pension benefits in a lump sum, which under the terms of the pension plan, are calculated based on mortality assumptions prescribed by the IRS, not the Society of Actuaries. The combined effect of the new Society of Actuaries mortality tables and the 75% lump-sum election assumption was a net increase in year-end obligations of \$14.6 million. In addition, the benefit obligation will also change due to changes in the actuarial assumptions applied, as shown in the table below, to determine the outstanding liability.

The changes in the fair value of the net assets available for plan benefits are as follows:

<b>Change in Plan Assets</b>	Pension and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2014	12/31/2013
	(In thousands)			
1. Fair Value of Plan Assets at Beginning of Year	\$ 355,704	\$ 340,335	\$ 62,298	\$ 49,391
2. Company Contributions	9,504	10,275	-	-
3. Plan Participants' Contributions	-	-	336	299
4. Benefit Payments from Fund	(21,539)	(22,497)	(645)	(871)
5. Benefit Payments paid directly by Company	(1,404)	(275)	-	(65)
6. Actual Return on Assets	36,436	27,866	5,250	13,778
7. Other Adjustment	-	-	(299)	(234)
8. Fair Value of Plan Assets at End of Year	\$ 378,701	\$ 355,704	\$ 66,940	\$ 62,298

<b>Change in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI)</b>	Pension and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2014	12/31/2013
	(In thousands)			
1. AOCI in Prior Year	\$ 45,143	\$ 108,436	\$ (41,377)	\$ (36,602)
2. Increase/(Decrease) in AOCI				
a. Recognized during year - Prior Service (Cost)/Credit	930	(503)	6,649	6,649
b. Recognized during year - Net Actuarial (Losses)/Gains	(1,083)	(6,145)	435	-
c. Occurring during year - Prior Service Cost	(1)	(6,054)	-	-
d. Occurring during year - Net Actuarial Losses/(Gains)	44,703	(50,574)	782	(11,411)
f. Occurring during year - Net Settlement Losses/(Gains)	(302)	-	-	-
e. Other adjustments	-	(17)	-	(13)
3. AOCI in Current Year	\$ 89,390	\$ 45,143	\$ (33,511)	\$ (41,377)

**Amortizations Expected to be Recognized During Next Fiscal Year Ending**

	12/31/2015	12/31/2015
		(In thousands)
1. Amortization of Net Transition Obligation/(Asset)	\$ -	\$ -
2. Amortization of Prior Service Cost/(Credit)	(846)	(6,649)
3. Amortization of Net Losses/(Gains)	4,837	(142)

The projected benefit obligations, net periodic benefit costs and accumulated postretirement benefit obligation for the plans were determined using the following weighted average assumptions.

<b>Actuarial Assumptions</b>	Pension and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2014	12/31/2013
<b>Weighted-Average Assumptions Used to Determine Benefit Obligations at year end</b>				
1. Discount Rate	4.25%	5.15%	4.00%	4.75%
2. Rate of Compensation Increase	3.00%	3.00%	N/A	N/A
<b>Weighted-Average Assumptions Used to Determine Net Periodic Benefit Cost for Year</b>				
1. Discount Rate	5.15%	4.25%	4.75%	3.85%
2. Expected Long-term Return on Plan Assets	6.00%	6.00%	7.50%	7.50%
3. Rate of Compensation Increase	3.00%	3.00%	N/A	N/A
<b>Assumed Health Care Cost Trend Rates at year end</b>				
1. Health Care Cost Trend Rate Assumed for Next Year	N/A	N/A	7.00%	7.00%
2. Rate to Which the Cost Trend Rate is Assumed to Decline (Ultimate Trend Rate)	N/A	N/A	5.00%	5.00%
3. Year That the Rate Reaches the Ultimate Trend Rate	N/A	N/A	2019	2018

In selecting a discount rate, we performed a hypothetical cash flow bond matching exercise, matching our expected pension plan and postretirement medical plan cash flows, respectively, against a selected portfolio of high quality corporate bonds. The modeling was performed using a bond portfolio of noncallable bonds with at least \$50 million outstanding. The average yield of these hypothetical bond portfolios was used as the benchmark for determining the discount rate. In selecting the expected long-term rate of return on assets, we considered the average rate of earnings expected on the classes of funds invested or to be invested to provide for the benefits of these plans. This included considering the trusts' targeted asset allocation for the year and the expected returns likely to be earned over the next 20 years.

The year-end asset allocations of the plans are as follows:

<b>Plan Assets</b>	Pension Plan		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2014	12/31/2013
<b>Allocation of Assets at year end</b>				
1. Equity Securities	22%	43%	100%	100%
2. Debt Securities	78%	57%	0%	0%
3. Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

In accordance with fair value guidance, we applied the following fair value hierarchy in order to measure fair value of our benefit plan assets:

Level 1 – Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets that we have the ability to access. Financial assets utilizing Level 1 inputs include equity securities, mutual funds, money market funds, certain U.S. Treasury securities and ETF's.

Level 2 – Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and inputs, other than quoted prices, that are observable in the marketplace for the financial instrument. The observable inputs are used in valuation models to calculate the fair value of the financial instruments. Financial assets utilizing Level 2 inputs include certain municipal, corporate and foreign bonds, obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies, and pooled equity accounts.

Level 3 – Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or value drivers are unobservable. Level 3 inputs reflect our own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing an asset or liability. There are no securities that utilize Level 3 inputs.

To determine the fair value of securities in Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, independent pricing sources have been utilized. One price is provided per security based on observable market data. To ensure securities are appropriately classified in the fair value hierarchy, we review the pricing techniques and methodologies of the independent pricing sources and believe that their policies adequately consider market activity, either based on specific transactions for the issue valued or based on modeling of securities with similar credit quality, duration, yield and structure that were recently traded. A variety of inputs are utilized by the independent pricing sources including benchmark yields, reported trades, non-binding broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data including market research publications. Inputs may be weighted differently for any security, and not all inputs are used for each security evaluation. Market indicators, industry and economic events are also considered. This information is evaluated using a multidimensional pricing model. In addition, on a quarterly basis, we perform quality controls over values received from the pricing source (the "Trustee") which include comparing values to other independent pricing sources. In addition, we review annually the Trustee's auditor's report on internal controls in order to determine that their controls around valuing securities are operating effectively. We have not made any adjustments to the prices obtained from the independent sources.

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the pension plan assets at fair value as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Assets at Fair Value as of December 31, 2014

<u>Pension Plan</u>	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(In thousands)			
Domestic Mutual Funds	\$ 9,913	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,913
Corporate Bonds	-	200,732	-	200,732
U.S. Government Securities	5,327	1,234	-	6,561
Municipals	-	65,214	-	65,214
Foreign Bonds	-	23,028	-	23,028
ETF's	5,636	-	-	5,636
Pooled Equity Accounts	-	67,617	-	67,617
Total Assets at fair value	<u>\$ 20,876</u>	<u>\$ 357,825</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 378,701</u>

Assets at Fair Value as of December 31, 2013

<u>Pension Plan</u>	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(In thousands)			
Domestic Mutual Funds	\$ 51,240	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51,240
International Mutual Funds	39,814	-	-	39,814
Common Stocks	60,332	-	-	60,332
Corporate Bonds	-	134,012	-	134,012
U.S. Government Securities	9,574	9,245	-	18,819
Municipals	-	33,402	-	33,402
Foreign Bonds	-	15,961	-	15,961
Foreign Stocks	2,124	-	-	2,124
Total Assets at fair value	<u>\$ 163,084</u>	<u>\$ 192,620</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 355,704</u>

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we changed the classification of our U.S. government corporation and agency securities from Level 1 to Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of our U.S. government corporations and agencies, in current market conditions, is determined from quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, which is in accordance with our policy for determining fair value for Level 2 securities. The classification of these securities in the fair value table as of December 31, 2013 has been revised to conform to the 2014 presentation, as we believe the most appropriate classification for these securities was Level 2 at that date. There were no other transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year ended December 31, 2014.

The pension plan has implemented a strategy to reduce risk through the use of a targeted funded ratio. The liability driven component is key to the asset allocation. The liability driven component seeks to align the duration of the fixed income asset allocation with the expected duration of the plan liabilities or benefit payments. Overall asset allocation is dynamic and specifies target allocation weights and ranges based on the funded status.

An improvement in funding status results in the de-risking of the portfolio, allocating more funds to fixed income and less to equity. A decline in funding status would result in a higher allocation to equity. The maximum equity allocation is 40%.

The equity investments utilize combinations of mutual funds, ETFs, and pooled equity account structures. Within the equity investments; return seeking growth investments allocate to global quality growth and global low volatility investments and return seeking bridge investments allocate to enduring asset investments and durable company investments.

The fixed income objective is to preserve capital and to provide monthly cash flows for the payment of plan liabilities. Fixed income investments can include government, government agencies, corporate, mortgage backed, asset backed, municipal securities, and other classes of bonds. The duration of the fixed income portfolio has an objective of being within one year of the duration of the accumulated benefit obligation. The fixed income investments have an objective of a weighted average credit of A3/A-/A- by Moody's, S&P, and Fitch, respectively.

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the postretirement plan assets at fair value as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Assets at Fair Value as of December 31, 2014

<u>Postretirement Plan</u>	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(In thousands)			
Domestic Mutual Funds	\$ 50,710	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,710
International Mutual Funds	16,230	-	-	16,230
<b>Total Assets at fair value</b>	<b>\$ 66,940</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 66,940</b>

Assets at Fair Value as of December 31, 2013

<u>Postretirement Plan</u>	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(In thousands)			
Domestic Mutual Funds	\$ 45,585	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 45,585
International Mutual Funds	16,713	-	-	16,713
<b>Total Assets at fair value</b>	<b>\$ 62,298</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 62,298</b>

Our postretirement plan portfolio is designed to achieve the following objectives over each market cycle and for at least 5 years:

- Total return should exceed growth in the Consumer Price Index by 5.75% annually
- Achieve competitive investment results

The primary focus in developing asset allocation ranges for the portfolio is the assessment of the portfolio's investment objectives and the level of risk that is acceptable to obtain those objectives. To achieve these goals the minimum and maximum allocation ranges for fixed income securities and equity securities are:

	<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
Equities (long only)	70%	100%
Real estate	0%	15%
Commodities	0%	10%
Fixed income/Cash	0%	10%

Given the long term nature of this portfolio and the lack of any immediate need for significant cash flow, it is anticipated that the equity investments will consist of growth stocks and will typically be at the higher end of the allocation ranges above.

Investment in international oriented funds is limited to a maximum of 30% of the equity range. The current international allocation is invested in two mutual funds with 4% of the equity allocation in a fund which has the objective of investing primarily in equity securities of emerging market countries, and 21% of the equity allocation in a fund investing in securities of companies based outside the United States. It invests in companies primarily based in Europe and the Pacific Basin, and primarily in equity investments although it may also hold cash, money market instruments, and fixed income securities depending on market conditions.

The following tables show the current and estimated future contributions and benefit payments.

	Pension and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans	Other Postretirement Benefits
<b>Company Contributions</b>		
	12/31/2014	12/31/2014
	(In thousands)	
<u>Company Contributions for the Year Ending:</u>		
1. Current	\$ 9,504	\$ -
2. Current + 1	17,000	-
<b>Benefit Payments (Total)</b>		
	12/31/2014	12/31/2014
	(In thousands)	
<u>Actual Benefit Payments for the Year Ending:</u>		
1. Current	\$ 22,942	\$ 272
<u>Expected Benefit Payments for the Year Ending:</u>		
2. Current + 1	22,966	781
3. Current + 2	23,159	837
4. Current + 3	24,356	912
5. Current + 4	25,683	1,136
6. Current + 5	27,217	1,238
7. Current + 6 - 10	135,585	8,138

Health care sensitivities

For measurement purposes, a 7.0% health care trend rate was used for benefits for retirees before they reach age 65 for 2014. In 2015, the rate is assumed to be 7.0%, decreasing to 5.0% by 2019 and remaining at this level beyond.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the postretirement plan. A 1% point change in the health care trend rate assumption would have the following effects on other postretirement benefits:

	1-Percentage Point Increase	1-Percentage Point Decrease
	(In thousands)	
Effect on total service and interest cost components	\$ 259	\$ (201)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	2,963	(2,466)

We have a profit sharing and 401(k) savings plan for employees. At the discretion of the Board of Directors, we may make a contribution of up to 5% of each participant's eligible compensation. We provide a matching 401(k) savings contribution for employees' on their before-tax contributions at a rate of 80% of the first \$1,000 contributed and 40% of the next \$2,000 contributed. For employees hired after January 1, 2014, the match is 100% up to 4% contributed. We recognized expenses related to these plans of \$5.0 million, \$5.3 million and \$3.1 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### 14. Income Taxes

Net deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
	(In thousands)	
Total deferred tax assets	\$ 933,576	\$ 1,043,477
Total deferred tax liabilities	<u>(33,789)</u>	<u>(42,158)</u>
Net deferred tax asset before valuation allowance	899,787	1,001,319
Valuation allowance	<u>(902,289)</u>	<u>(1,004,256)</u>
Net deferred tax liability	<u>\$ (2,502)</u>	<u>\$ (2,937)</u>

The components of the net deferred tax liability as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
	(In thousands)	
Unearned premium reserves	\$ 12,296	\$ (1,073)
Benefit plans	(13,900)	(26,111)
Net operating loss	845,616	915,378
Loss reserves	23,069	36,236
Unrealized (appreciation) depreciation in investments	(2,800)	29,230
Mortgage investments	15,346	13,450
Deferred compensation	11,955	15,994
Premium deficiency reserves	8,313	16,961
Other, net	<u>(108)</u>	<u>1,254</u>
Net deferred tax asset before valuation allowance	899,787	1,001,319
Valuation allowance	<u>(902,289)</u>	<u>(1,004,256)</u>
Net deferred tax liability	<u>\$ (2,502)</u>	<u>\$ (2,937)</u>

We review the need to maintain the deferred tax asset valuation allowance on a quarterly basis. We analyze several factors, among which are the severity and frequency of operating losses, our capacity for the carryback or carryforward of any losses, the existence and current level of taxable operating income, the expected occurrence of future income or loss, the expiration dates of the carryforwards, the cyclical nature of our operating results, and available tax planning strategies. Based on our analysis and the current level of cumulative operating losses, we continue to reduce our benefit from income tax through the recognition of a valuation allowance.

It is reasonably possible that the valuation allowance will be reversed in the foreseeable future. Specifically, if we continue to recognize meaningful levels of sustainable pre-tax income, it is likely that the valuation allowance would be reversed during 2015. In the period in which the valuation allowance is reversed, we would recognize a tax benefit which will increase our earnings for that period. In future years, after the valuation allowance has been reversed and until such time as our net operating loss carryforwards are exhausted or expired, our provision for income tax would substantially exceed the amount of cash tax payments.

The effect of the change in valuation allowance on the provision for (benefit from) income taxes was as follows:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
	(In thousands)		
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes before valuation allowance	\$ 91,607	\$ (17,239)	\$ (330,740)
Change in valuation allowance	<u>(88,833)</u>	<u>20,935</u>	<u>329,175</u>
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	<u>\$ 2,774</u>	<u>\$ 3,696</u>	<u>\$ (1,565)</u>

The change in the valuation allowance that was included in other comprehensive income was a decrease of \$13.1 million, an increase of \$17.3 million, and an increase of \$28.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The total valuation allowance as of December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$902.3 million, \$1,004.2 million, and \$966.0 million, respectively.

Giving full effect to the carryback of net operating losses for federal income tax purposes, we have approximately \$2,417 million of net operating loss carryforwards on a regular tax basis and \$1,529 million of net operating loss carryforwards for computing the alternative minimum tax as of December 31, 2014. Any unutilized carryforwards are scheduled to expire at the end of tax years 2029 through 2033.

The following summarizes the components of the provision for (benefit from) income taxes:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
	(In thousands)		
Current	\$ 2,391	\$ 916	\$ (4,251)
Deferred	1	7	90
Other	<u>382</u>	<u>2,773</u>	<u>2,596</u>
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	<u>\$ 2,774</u>	<u>\$ 3,696</u>	<u>\$ (1,565)</u>

We paid (received) \$1.3 million, \$0.1 million, and (\$7.0) million in federal income tax in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate to the effective income tax rate is as follows:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Federal statutory income tax rate	35.0%	(35.0)%	(35.0) %
Valuation allowance	(34.9)	45.4	35.4
Tax exempt municipal bond interest	(0.4)	(3.7)	(0.8)
Other, net	<u>1.4</u>	<u>1.3</u>	<u>0.2</u>
Effective income tax rate	<u>1.1%</u>	<u>8.0%</u>	<u>(0.2)%</u>

As previously disclosed, the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) completed examinations of our federal income tax returns for the years 2000 through 2007 and issued proposed assessments for taxes, interest and penalties related to our treatment of the flow-through income and loss from an investment in a portfolio of residual interests of Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits (“REMICs”). The IRS indicated that it did not believe that, for various reasons, we had established sufficient tax basis in the REMIC residual interests to deduct the losses from taxable income. We appealed these assessments within the IRS and in August 2010, we reached a tentative settlement agreement with the IRS which was not finalized.

On September 10, 2014, we received Notices of Deficiency (commonly referred to as “90 day letters”) covering the 2000-2007 tax years. The Notices of Deficiency reflect taxes and penalties related to the REMIC matters of \$197.5 million and at December 31, 2014, there would also be interest related to these matters of approximately \$168.4 million. In 2007, we made a payment of \$65.2 million to the United States Department of the Treasury which will reduce any amounts we would ultimately owe. The Notices of Deficiency also reflect additional amounts due of \$261.4 million, which are primarily associated with the disallowance of the carryback of the 2009 net operating loss to the 2004-2007 tax years. We believe the IRS included the carryback adjustments as a precaution to keep open the statute of limitations on collection of the tax that was refunded when this loss was carried back, and not because the IRS actually intends to disallow the carryback permanently.

We filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court contesting most of the IRS' proposed adjustments reflected in the Notices of Deficiency and the IRS has filed an answer to our petition which continues to assert their claim. Litigation to resolve our dispute with the IRS could be lengthy and costly in terms of legal fees and related expenses. We can provide no assurance regarding the outcome of any such litigation or whether a compromised settlement with the IRS will ultimately be reached and finalized. Depending on the outcome of this matter, additional state income taxes and state interest may become due when a final resolution is reached. As of December 31, 2014, those state taxes and interest would approximate \$47.4 million. In addition, there could also be state tax penalties. Our total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2014 is \$106.2 million, which represents the tax benefits generated by the REMIC portfolio included in our tax returns that we have not taken benefit for in our financial statements, including any related interest. We continue to believe that our previously recorded tax provisions and liabilities are appropriate. However, we would need to make appropriate adjustments, which could be material, to our tax provision and liabilities if our view of the probability of success in this matter changes, and the ultimate resolution of this matter could have a material negative impact on our effective tax rate, results of operations, cash flows, available assets and statutory capital. In this regard, see Note 1 – "Nature of Business – Capital-GSEs."

In March 2012, we received a Revenue Agent's Report from the IRS related to the examination of our federal income tax returns for the years 2008 and 2009. In January 2013, we received a Revenue Agent's Report from the IRS related to the examination of our federal income tax return for the year 2010. In October 2014, we received a Revenue Agent's Report from the IRS related to the examination of our federal income tax returns for the years 2011 and 2012. The results of these examinations had no material effect on the financial statements.

Under current guidance, when evaluating a tax position for recognition and measurement, an entity shall presume that the tax position will be examined by the relevant taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The interpretation adopts a benefit recognition model with a two-step approach, a more-likely-than-not threshold for recognition and derecognition, and a measurement attribute that is the greatest amount of benefit that is cumulatively greater than 50% likely of being realized. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
		(In thousands)	
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 105,366	\$ 104,550	\$ 110,080
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	-	-	-
Additions for tax positions of prior years	864	816	511
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	-	-	(4,041)
Settlements	-	-	(2,000)
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 106,230</u>	<u>\$ 105,366</u>	<u>\$ 104,550</u>

The total amount of the unrecognized tax benefits, related to our aforementioned REMIC issue, that would affect our effective tax rate is \$93.6 million. We recognize interest accrued and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income taxes. During 2014, we recognized \$0.8 million in interest. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we had \$26.9 million and \$26.1 million of accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions, respectively. The statute of limitations related to the consolidated federal income tax return is closed for all years prior to 2000. It is reasonably possible that our 2000-2007 federal tax case will be resolved, other than through litigation. If it is resolved under terms similar to our previous settlement agreement, our total unrecognized tax benefits would be reduced by \$106.2 million during 2015. After taking into account prior payments and the effect of available net operating loss carrybacks, any net cash outflows would approximate \$25 million.

## 15. Shareholders' Equity

In June 2013, we amended our Articles of Incorporation to increase our authorized common stock from 680 million shares to 1.0 billion shares. In April 2012, we amended our Articles of Incorporation to increase our authorized common stock from 460 million shares to 680 million shares.

In March 2013 we completed the public offering and sale of 135 million shares of our common stock at a price of \$5.15 per share. We received net proceeds of approximately \$663.3 million, after deducting underwriting discount and offering expenses. The shares of common stock sold were newly issued shares.

In March 2013 we also concurrently completed the sale of \$500 million principal amount of 2% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2020. For more information, see Note 8 – “Debt.”

In March 2013 we contributed \$800 million to MGIC to increase its capital as discussed in Note 17 – “Statutory Capital.” We intend to use the remaining net proceeds from the offerings for general corporate purposes, which may include further increasing the capital of MGIC and other subsidiaries and improving liquidity by providing funds for debt service.

We have a Shareholders Rights Agreement which was approved by shareholders (the “Agreement”) dated July 25, 2012, as amended through March 11, 2013, that seeks to diminish the risk that our ability to use our net operating losses (“NOLs”) to reduce potential future federal income tax obligations may become substantially limited and to deter certain abusive takeover practices. The benefit of the NOLs would be substantially limited, and the timing of the usage of the NOLs could be substantially delayed, if we were to experience an “ownership change” as defined by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Under the Agreement each outstanding share of our Common Stock is accompanied by one Right. The Distribution Date occurs on the earlier of ten days after a public announcement that a person has become an Acquiring Person, or ten business days after a person announces or begins a tender offer in which consummation of such offer would result in a person becoming an Acquiring Person. An Acquiring Person is any person that becomes, by itself or together with its affiliates and associates, a beneficial owner of 5% or more of the shares of our Common Stock then outstanding, but excludes, among others, certain exempt and grandfathered persons as defined in the Agreement. The Rights are not exercisable until the Distribution Date. Each Right will initially entitle shareholders to buy one-tenth of one share of our Common Stock at a Purchase Price of \$14 per full share (equivalent to \$1.40 for each one-tenth share), subject to adjustment. Each exercisable Right (subject to certain limitations) will entitle its holder to purchase, at the Rights’ then-current Purchase Price, a number of our shares of Common Stock (or if after the Shares Acquisition Date, we are acquired in a business combination, common shares of the acquiror) having a market value at the time equal to twice the Purchase Price. The Rights will expire on August 1, 2015, or earlier as described in the Agreement. The Rights are redeemable at a price of \$0.001 per Right at any time prior to the time a person becomes an Acquiring Person. Other than certain amendments, the Board of Directors may amend the Rights in any respect without the consent of the holders of the Rights.

We have 28.9 million authorized shares reserved for conversion under our convertible debentures and 97.6 million authorized shares reserved for conversion under our convertible senior notes. (See Note 8 – “Debt”)

## **16. Dividend Restrictions**

In the fourth quarter of 2008, our holding company suspended the payment of dividends to shareholders.

The senior notes, convertible senior notes and convertible debentures, discussed in Note 8 – “Debt”, are obligations of MGIC Investment Corporation, our holding company, and not of its subsidiaries. Our holding company has no material sources of cash inflows other than investment income, dividends from subsidiaries and capital raised in the public markets. MGIC is the principal source of dividend-paying capacity. Since 2008, MGIC has not paid any dividends to our holding company. Through 2015, MGIC cannot pay any dividends to our holding company without approval from the OCI and the GSEs.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to state insurance regulations as to maintenance of policyholders' surplus and payment of dividends. The maximum amount of dividends that the insurance subsidiaries may pay in any twelve-month period without regulatory approval by the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance of the State of Wisconsin (the “OCI”) is the lesser of adjusted statutory net income or 10% of statutory policyholders' surplus as of the preceding calendar year end. Adjusted statutory net income is defined for this purpose to be the greater of statutory net income, net of realized investment gains, for the calendar year preceding the date of the dividend or statutory net income, net of realized investment gains, for the three calendar years preceding the date of the dividend less dividends paid within the first two of the preceding three calendar years.

## **17. Statutory Capital**

### Accounting Principles

The accounting principles used in determining statutory financial amounts differ from GAAP, primarily for the following reasons:

Under statutory accounting practices, including practice prescribed by the OCI, mortgage guaranty insurance companies are required to maintain contingency loss reserves equal to 50% of premiums earned. Such amounts cannot be withdrawn for a period of ten years except as permitted by insurance regulations. With regulatory approval a mortgage guaranty insurance company may make early withdrawals from the contingency reserve when incurred losses exceed 35% of net premiums earned in a calendar year. Changes in contingency loss reserves impact the statutory statement of operations. Contingency loss reserves are not reflected as liabilities under GAAP and changes in contingency loss reserves do not impact the GAAP statements of operations. A premium deficiency reserve that may be recorded on a GAAP basis when the present value of expected future losses and expenses exceeds the present value of expected future premiums and already established loss reserves, may not be recorded on a statutory basis if the present value of expected future premiums and already established loss reserves and statutory contingency reserves, exceeds the present value of expected future losses and expenses. On a GAAP basis, when calculating a premium deficiency reserve policies are grouped based on how they are acquired, serviced and measured. On a statutory basis, a premium deficiency reserve is calculated on all policies in force.

Under statutory accounting practices, insurance policy acquisition costs are charged against operations in the year incurred. Under GAAP, these costs are deferred and amortized as the related premiums are earned commensurate with the expiration of risk.

Under statutory accounting practices, purchases of tax and loss bonds are accounted for as investments. Under GAAP, purchases of tax and loss bonds are recorded as payments of current income taxes.

Under statutory accounting practices, changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized as a separate component of gains and losses in statutory surplus. Under GAAP, changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded on the statement of operations as a component of the (benefit) provision for income tax.

Under statutory accounting practices, fixed maturity investments are generally valued at amortized cost. Under GAAP, those investments which we do not have the ability and intent to hold to maturity are considered to be available-for-sale and are recorded at fair value, with the unrealized gain or loss recognized, net of tax, as an increase or decrease to shareholders' equity.

Under statutory accounting practices, certain assets, including certain deferred tax assets, designated as non-admitted assets, are charged directly against statutory surplus. Such assets are reflected on the GAAP financial statements.

The statutory net income, surplus and the contingency reserve liability of the insurance subsidiaries of our holding company, as well as the surplus contributions made to MGIC and other insurance subsidiaries and dividends paid by MGIC to us, are shown in the tables below. The surplus amounts included below are the combined surplus of our insurance operations as utilized in our risk-to-capital calculations.

Year Ended December 31,	Net income (loss)	Surplus (In thousands)	Contingency Reserve
2014	\$ 13,203	\$ 1,585,164	\$ 318,247
2013	(8,046)	1,584,121	18,558
2012	(902,878)	748,592	6,430

Year Ended December 31,	Additions to the surplus of MGIC from parent company funds (In thousands)	Additions to the surplus of other insurance subsidiaries from parent company funds	Dividends paid by MGIC to the parent company
2014	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2013	800,000	-	-
2012	100,000	-	-

Statutory Capital Requirements

The insurance laws of 16 jurisdictions, including Wisconsin, our domiciliary state, require a mortgage insurer to maintain a minimum amount of statutory capital relative to the risk in force (or a similar measure) in order for the mortgage insurer to continue to write new business. We refer to these requirements as the “State Capital Requirements” and, together with the GSE Financial Requirements, the “Financial Requirements.” While they vary among jurisdictions, the most common State Capital Requirements allow for a maximum risk-to-capital ratio of 25 to 1. A risk-to-capital ratio will increase if (i) the percentage decrease in capital exceeds the percentage decrease in insured risk, or (ii) the percentage increase in capital is less than the percentage increase in insured risk. Wisconsin does not regulate capital by using a risk-to-capital measure but instead requires a minimum policyholder position (“MPP”). The “policyholder position” of a mortgage insurer is its net worth or surplus, contingency reserve and a portion of the reserves for unearned premiums.

At December 31, 2014, MGIC’s preliminary risk-to-capital ratio was 14.6 to 1, below the maximum allowed by the jurisdictions with State Capital Requirements and its policyholder position was \$673 million above the required MPP of \$1.0 billion. In 2013, we entered into a quota share reinsurance agreement with a group of unaffiliated reinsurers that reduced our risk-to-capital ratio. It is possible that under the revised State Capital Requirements discussed below, MGIC will not be allowed full credit for the risk ceded to the reinsurers. If MGIC is disallowed full credit, under either the State Capital Requirements or the GSE Financial Requirements, MGIC may terminate the reinsurance agreement, without penalty. At this time, we expect MGIC to continue to comply with the current State Capital Requirements; however, you should read the rest of these financial statement footnotes for information about matters that could negatively affect such compliance.

At December 31, 2014, the preliminary risk-to-capital ratio of our combined insurance operations (which includes reinsurance affiliates) was 16.4 to 1. Reinsurance agreements with affiliates permit MGIC to write insurance with a higher coverage percentage than it could on its own under certain state-specific requirements. A higher risk-to-capital ratio on a combined basis may indicate that, in order for MGIC to continue to utilize reinsurance agreements with its affiliates, unless a waiver of the State Capital Requirements of Wisconsin continues to be effective, additional capital contributions to the reinsurance affiliates could be needed.

The NAIC previously announced that it plans to revise the minimum capital and surplus requirements for mortgage insurers that are provided for in its Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Model Act. A working group of state regulators is considering this issue, although no date has been established by which the NAIC must propose revisions to such requirements. Depending on the scope of revisions made by the NAIC, MGIC may be prevented from writing new business in the jurisdictions adopting such revisions.

If MGIC fails to meet the State Capital Requirements of Wisconsin and is unable to obtain a waiver of them from the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance of the State of Wisconsin (“OCI”), MGIC could be prevented from writing new business in all jurisdictions. If MGIC fails to meet the State Capital Requirements of a jurisdiction other than Wisconsin and is unable to obtain a waiver of them, MGIC could be prevented from writing new business in that particular jurisdiction. It is possible that regulatory action by one or more jurisdictions, including those that do not have specific State Capital Requirements, may prevent MGIC from continuing to write new insurance in such jurisdictions. If we are unable to write business in all jurisdictions, lenders may be unwilling to procure insurance from us anywhere. In addition, a lender’s assessment of the future ability of our insurance operations to meet the Financial Requirements may affect its willingness to procure insurance from us. A possible future failure by MGIC to meet the Financial Requirements will not necessarily mean that MGIC lacks sufficient resources to pay claims on its insurance liabilities. While we believe MGIC has sufficient claims paying resources to meet its claim obligations on its insurance in force on a timely basis, you should read the rest of these financial statement footnotes for information about matters that could negatively affect MGIC’s claims paying resources.

Statement of Statutory Accounting Principles No. 101 (“SSAP No. 101”) became effective January 1, 2012 and prescribed new standards for determining the amount of deferred tax assets that can be recognized as admitted assets for determining statutory capital. Under a permitted practice effective September 30, 2012 and until further notice, the OCI has approved MGIC to report its net deferred tax asset as an admitted asset in an amount not to exceed 10% of surplus as regards policyholders, notwithstanding any contrary provisions of SSAP No. 101. Deferred tax assets of \$138 million were included in MGIC’s statutory capital at December 31, 2014 and 2013 and deferred tax assets of \$63 million were included in MGIC’s statutory capital at December 31, 2012.

See Note 1 – “Nature of Business – Capital” for additional information regarding the capital standards of the GSEs.

## 18. Share-based Compensation Plans

We have certain share-based compensation plans. Under the fair value method, compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized over the service period which generally corresponds to the vesting period. The fair value of awards classified as liabilities is remeasured at each reporting period until the award is settled. Awards under our plans generally vest over periods ranging from one to three years.

We have an omnibus incentive plan that was adopted in May 2011. The purpose of the plan is to motivate and incent performance by, and to retain the services of, key employees and non-employee directors through receipt of equity-based and other incentive awards under the plan. The maximum number of shares of stock that can be awarded under the plan is 7.0 million. Awards issued under the plan that are subsequently forfeited will not count against the limit on the maximum number of shares that may be issued under the plan. In addition, shares used for income tax withholding or used for payment of the exercise price of an option will not be counted against such limit. The plan provides for the award of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units, as well as cash incentive awards. No awards may be granted after May 5, 2021 under the plan. The vesting provisions of options, restricted stock and restricted stock units are determined at the time of grant. Shares issued under the plan are treasury shares if available, otherwise they will be newly issued shares.

The compensation cost that has been charged against income for share-based plans was \$9.2 million, \$6.6 million, and \$8.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The related income tax benefit, before valuation allowance, recognized for share-based plans was \$3.2 million, \$2.3 million, and \$3.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. See Note 14 – “Income Taxes” for a discussion of our valuation allowance.

There have been no options granted since 2004, and no options exercised since 2007. At December 31, 2013, all 529,800 options outstanding were exercisable at a price of \$68.20 each. All of these options expired in January 2014 without being exercised.

A summary of restricted stock or restricted stock unit (collectively called “restricted stock”) activity during 2014 is as follows:

	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Market Value	Shares
Restricted stock outstanding at December 31, 2013	\$ 5.15	3,622,707
Granted	8.43	1,804,800
Vested	5.66	(1,368,234)
Forfeited	8.44	(206,882)
Restricted stock outstanding at December 31, 2014	<u>\$ 6.33</u>	<u>3,852,391</u>

At December 31, 2014, the 3.9 million shares of restricted stock outstanding consisted of 2.9 million shares that are subject to performance conditions (“performance shares”) and 1.0 million shares that are subject only to service conditions (“time vested shares”). The weighted-average grant date fair value of restricted stock granted during 2013 and 2012 was \$2.75 and \$3.97, respectively. The fair value of restricted stock granted is the closing price of the common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant. The total fair value of restricted stock vested during 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$12.1 million, \$4.3 million, and \$6.9 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2014, there was \$12.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation agreements granted under the plans. Of this total, \$9.9 million of unrecognized compensation costs relate to performance shares and \$2.9 million relates to time vested shares. A portion of the unrecognized costs associated with the performance shares may or may not be recognized in future periods, depending upon whether or not the performance and service conditions are met. The cost associated with the time vested shares is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

In 2011, we granted 449,350 shares of restricted stock units that were to be settled as cash payments over the vesting period under our 2002 stock incentive plan. As of December 31, 2014, all shares granted under this award had either vested or been forfeited. A summary of activity related to these restricted share units for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Outstanding at beginning of year	144,146	294,782	443,950
Granted	-	-	-
Vested	(144,146)	(147,368)	(147,968)
Forfeited	<u>-</u>	<u>(3,268)</u>	<u>(1,200)</u>
Outstanding at end of year	<u>-</u>	<u>144,146</u>	<u>294,782</u>
Cash payments at vesting (in millions)	<u>\$ 1.2</u>	<u>\$ 0.4</u>	<u>0.6</u>

At December 31, 2014, 2.3 million shares were available for future grant under the 2011 omnibus incentive plan.

## 19. Leases

We lease certain office space as well as data processing equipment and autos under operating leases that expire during the next seven years. Generally, rental payments are fixed.

Total rental expense under operating leases was \$2.8 million, \$4.6 million, and \$4.8 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

At December 31, 2014, minimum future operating lease payments are as follows (in thousands):

2015	1,041
2016	1,000
2017	467
2018	231
2019 and thereafter	<u>497</u>
Total	<u>\$ 3,236</u>

## 20. Litigation and Contingencies

Before paying a claim, we review the loan and servicing files to determine the appropriateness of the claim amount. All of our insurance policies provide that we can reduce or deny a claim if the servicer did not comply with its obligations under our insurance policy, including the requirement to mitigate our loss by performing reasonable loss mitigation efforts or, for example, diligently pursuing a foreclosure or bankruptcy relief in a timely manner. We call such reduction of claims submitted to us “curtailments.” In 2013 and 2014, curtailments reduced our average claim paid by approximately 5.8% and 6.7%, respectively. In addition, the claims submitted to us sometimes include costs and expenses not covered by our insurance policies, such as hazard insurance premiums for periods after the claim date and losses resulting from property damage that has not been repaired. These other adjustments reduced claim amounts by less than the amount of curtailments. After we pay a claim, servicers and insureds sometimes object to our curtailments and other adjustments. We review these objections if they are sent to us within 90 days after the claim was paid.

When reviewing the loan file associated with a claim, we may determine that we have the right to rescind coverage on the loan. Prior to 2008, rescissions of coverage on loans were not a material portion of our claims resolved during a year. However, beginning in 2008, our rescissions of coverage on loans have materially mitigated our paid losses. In 2009 through 2011, rescissions mitigated our paid losses in the aggregate by approximately \$3.0 billion; and in 2012, 2013 and 2014, rescissions mitigated our paid losses by approximately \$0.3 billion, \$135 million and \$97 million, respectively (in each case, the figure includes amounts that would have either resulted in a claim payment or been charged to a deductible under pool policy, and may have been charged to a captive reinsurer). In recent quarters, approximately 5% of claims received in a quarter have been resolved by rescissions, down from the peak of approximately 28% in the first half of 2009.

We estimate rescissions mitigated our incurred losses by approximately \$2.5 billion in 2009 and \$0.2 billion in 2010. These figures include the benefit of claims not paid in the period as well as the impact of changes in our estimated expected rescission activity on our loss reserves in the period. In 2012, we estimate that our rescission benefit in loss reserves was reduced by \$0.2 billion due to probable rescission settlement agreements. We estimate that other rescissions had no significant impact on our losses incurred in 2011 through 2014. Our loss reserving methodology incorporates our estimates of future rescissions and reversals of rescissions. Historically, reversals of rescissions have been immaterial. A variance between ultimate actual rescission and reversal rates and our estimates, as a result of the outcome of litigation, settlements or other factors, could materially affect our losses.

If the insured disputes our right to rescind coverage, we generally engage in discussions in an attempt to settle the dispute. As part of those discussions, we may voluntarily suspend rescissions we believe may be part of a settlement. In 2011, Freddie Mac advised its servicers that they must obtain its prior approval for rescission settlements, Fannie Mae advised its servicers that they are prohibited from entering into such settlements and Fannie Mae notified us that we must obtain its prior approval to enter into certain settlements. Since those announcements, the GSEs have consented to our settlement agreements with two customers, one of which is Countrywide, as discussed below, and have rejected other settlement agreements. We have reached and implemented settlement agreements that do not require GSE approval, but they have not been material in the aggregate.

If we are unable to reach a settlement, the outcome of a dispute ultimately would be determined by legal proceedings. Under our policies in effect prior to October 1, 2014, legal proceedings disputing our right to rescind coverage may be brought up to three years after the lender has obtained title to the property (typically through a foreclosure) or the property was sold in a sale that we approved, whichever is applicable, and under our master policy effective October 1, 2014, such proceedings may be brought up to two years from the date of the notice of rescission. In a few jurisdictions there is a longer time to bring such proceedings.

Until a liability associated with a settlement agreement or litigation becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated, we consider our claim payment or rescission resolved for financial reporting purposes even though discussions and legal proceedings have been initiated and are ongoing. Under ASC 450-20, an estimated loss from such discussions and proceedings is accrued for only if we determine that the loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated.

Since December 2009, we have been involved in legal proceedings with Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (“CHL”) and its affiliate, Bank of America, N.A., as successor to Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP (“BANA” and collectively with CHL, “Countrywide”) in which Countrywide alleged that MGIC denied valid mortgage insurance claims. (In our SEC reports, we refer to insurance rescissions and denials of claims collectively as “rescissions” and variations of that term.) In addition to the claim amounts it alleged MGIC had improperly denied, Countrywide contended it was entitled to other damages of almost \$700 million as well as exemplary damages. We sought a determination in those proceedings that we were entitled to rescind coverage on the applicable loans.

In April 2013, MGIC entered into separate settlement agreements with CHL and BANA, pursuant to which the parties will settle the Countrywide litigation as it relates to MGIC’s rescission practices (as amended, the “Agreements”). The Agreement with BANA covers loans purchased by the GSEs. That original Agreement was implemented beginning in November 2013 and we resolved all related suspended rescissions in November and December 2013 by paying the associated claim or processing the rescission. The pending arbitration proceedings concerning the loans covered by that agreement have been dismissed, the mutual releases between the parties regarding such loans have become effective and the litigation between the parties regarding such loans is to be dismissed.

The Agreement with CHL covers loans that were purchased by non-GSE investors, including securitization trusts (the “other investors”). That Agreement will be implemented only as and to the extent that it is consented to by or on behalf of the other investors. While there can be no assurance that the Agreement with CHL will be implemented, we have determined that its implementation is probable.

The estimated impact of the Agreements and other probable settlements have been recorded in our financial statements. The estimated impact that we recorded for probable settlements is our best estimate of our loss from these matters. We estimate that the maximum exposure above the best estimate provision we recorded is \$626 million, of which about 60% is related to claims paying practices subject to the Agreement with CHL and the previously disclosed curtailment matters with Countrywide. If we are not able to implement the Agreement with CHL or the other settlements we consider probable, we intend to defend MGIC vigorously against any related legal proceedings.

The flow policies at issue with Countrywide are in the same form as the flow policies that we used with all of our customers during the period covered by the Agreements, and the bulk policies at issue vary from one another, but are generally similar to those used in the majority of our Wall Street bulk transactions.

We are involved in discussions and legal and consensual proceedings with customers with respect to our claims paying practices. Although it is reasonably possible that when these discussions or proceedings are completed we will not prevail in all cases, we are unable to make a reasonable estimate or range of estimates of the potential liability. We estimate the maximum exposure associated with these discussions and proceedings to be approximately \$16 million, although we believe we will ultimately resolve these matters for significantly less than this amount.

The estimates of our maximum exposure referred to above do not include interest or consequential or exemplary damages.

Consumers continue to bring lawsuits against home mortgage lenders and settlement service providers. Mortgage insurers, including MGIC, have been involved in litigation alleging violations of the anti-referral fee provisions of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, which is commonly known as RESPA, and the notice provisions of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, which is commonly known as FCRA. MGIC's settlement of class action litigation against it under RESPA became final in October 2003. MGIC settled the named plaintiffs' claims in litigation against it under FCRA in December 2004, following denial of class certification in June 2004. Since December 2006, class action litigation has been brought against a number of large lenders alleging that their captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements violated RESPA. Beginning in December 2011, MGIC, together with various mortgage lenders and other mortgage insurers, has been named as a defendant in twelve lawsuits, alleged to be class actions, filed in various U.S. District Courts. The complaints in all of the cases allege various causes of action related to the captive mortgage reinsurance arrangements of the mortgage lenders, including that the lenders' captive reinsurers received excessive premiums in relation to the risk assumed by those captives, thereby violating RESPA. Seven of those cases had been dismissed prior to February 2015 without any further opportunity to appeal. Of the remaining five cases, three were dismissed with prejudice in February 2015 pursuant to stipulations of dismissal from the plaintiffs, and the remaining two cases are expected to be dismissed with prejudice in connection with plaintiffs' stipulations in such cases. There can be no assurance that we will not be subject to further litigation under RESPA (or FCRA) or that the outcome of any such litigation, including the lawsuits mentioned above, would not have a material adverse effect on us.

In 2013, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida approved a settlement with the CFPB that resolved a federal investigation of MGIC's participation in captive reinsurance agreements in the mortgage insurance industry. The settlement concluded the investigation with respect to MGIC without the CFPB or the court making any findings of wrongdoing. As part of the settlement, MGIC agreed that it would not enter into any new captive reinsurance agreement or reinsure any new loans under any existing captive reinsurance agreement for a period of ten years. MGIC had voluntarily suspended most of its captive agreements in 2008 in response to market conditions and GSE requests. In connection with the settlement, MGIC paid a civil penalty of \$2.65 million and the court issued an injunction prohibiting MGIC from violating any provisions of RESPA.

We received requests from the Minnesota Department of Commerce (the "MN Department") beginning in February 2006 regarding captive mortgage reinsurance and certain other matters in response to which MGIC has provided information on several occasions, including as recently as May 2011. In August 2013, MGIC and several competitors received a draft Consent Order from the MN Department containing proposed conditions to resolve its investigation, including unspecified penalties. We are engaged in discussions with the MN Department regarding the draft Consent Order. We also received a request in June 2005 from the New York Department of Financial Services for information regarding captive mortgage reinsurance agreements and other types of arrangements in which lenders receive compensation. Other insurance departments or other officials, including attorneys general, may also seek information about, investigate, or seek remedies regarding captive mortgage reinsurance.

Various regulators, including the CFPB, state insurance commissioners and state attorneys general may bring actions seeking various forms of relief in connection with violations of RESPA. The insurance law provisions of many states prohibit paying for the referral of insurance business and provide various mechanisms to enforce this prohibition. While we believe our practices are in conformity with applicable laws and regulations, it is not possible to predict the eventual scope, duration or outcome of any such reviews or investigations nor is it possible to predict their effect on us or the mortgage insurance industry.

We are subject to comprehensive, detailed regulation by state insurance departments. These regulations are principally designed for the protection of our insured policyholders, rather than for the benefit of investors. Although their scope varies, state insurance laws generally grant broad supervisory powers to agencies or officials to examine insurance companies and enforce rules or exercise discretion affecting almost every significant aspect of the insurance business. State insurance regulatory authorities could take actions, including changes in capital requirements, that could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, the CFPB may issue additional rules or regulations, which may materially affect our business.

In December 2013, the U.S. Treasury Department's Federal Insurance Office released a report that calls for federal standards and oversight for mortgage insurers to be developed and implemented. It is uncertain what form the standards and oversight will take and when they will become effective.

We understand several law firms have, among other things, issued press releases to the effect that they are investigating us, including whether the fiduciaries of our 401(k) plan breached their fiduciary duties regarding the plan's investment in or holding of our common stock or whether we breached other legal or fiduciary obligations to our shareholders. We intend to defend vigorously any proceedings that may result from these investigations. With limited exceptions, our bylaws provide that our officers and 401(k) plan fiduciaries are entitled to indemnification from us for claims against them.

A non-insurance subsidiary of our holding company is a shareholder of the corporation that operates the Mortgage Electronic Registration System ("MERS"). Our subsidiary, as a shareholder of MERS, has been named as a defendant (along with MERS and its other shareholders) in eight lawsuits asserting various causes of action arising from allegedly improper recording and foreclosure activities by MERS. Seven of these lawsuits have been dismissed without any further opportunity to appeal. The remaining lawsuit had also been dismissed by the U.S. District Court, however, the plaintiff in that lawsuit filed a motion for reconsideration by the U.S. District Court and to certify a related question of law to the Supreme Court of the State in which the U.S. District Court is located. That motion for reconsideration was denied, however, in May 2014, the plaintiff appealed the denial. The damages sought in this remaining case are substantial. We deny any wrongdoing and intend to defend ourselves vigorously against the allegations in the lawsuit.

In addition to the matters described above, we are involved in other legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. In our opinion, based on the facts known at this time, the ultimate resolution of these ordinary course legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Through a non-insurance subsidiary, we utilize our underwriting skills to provide an outsourced underwriting service to our customers known as contract underwriting. As part of the contract underwriting activities, that subsidiary is responsible for the quality of the underwriting decisions in accordance with the terms of the contract underwriting agreements with customers. That subsidiary may be required to provide certain remedies to its customers if certain standards relating to the quality of our underwriting work are not met, and we have an established reserve for such future obligations. Claims for remedies may be made a number of years after the underwriting work was performed. Beginning in the second half of 2009, our subsidiary experienced an increase in claims for contract underwriting remedies, which continued throughout 2012. The related contract underwriting remedy expense was approximately \$5 million and \$27 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The underwriting remedy expense for 2014 was approximately \$4 million, but may increase in the future.

See Note 14 – “Income Taxes” for a description of federal income tax contingencies.

**21. Unaudited Quarterly Financial Data**

2014:	Quarter				Full Year
	First	Second	Third	Fourth	
	(In thousands, except share data)				
Net premiums earned	\$ 214,261	\$ 207,486	\$ 209,035	\$ 213,589	\$ 844,371
Investment income, net of expenses	20,156	21,180	22,355	23,956	87,647
Realized (losses) gains	(231)	522	632	434	1,357
Other revenue	896	2,048	3,093	2,385	8,422
Loss incurred, net	122,608	141,141	115,254	117,074	496,077
Underwriting and other expenses, net	51,766	43,455	47,595	48,181	190,997
Provision for income tax	726	1,118	249	681	2,774
Net income	59,982	45,522	72,017	74,428	251,949
Income per share (a) (b):					
Basic	0.18	0.13	0.21	0.22	0.74
Diluted	0.15	0.12	0.18	0.19	0.64

2013:	Quarter				Full Year
	First	Second	Third	Fourth	
	(In thousands, except share data)				
Net premiums earned	\$ 247,059	\$ 237,777	\$ 231,857	\$ 226,358	\$ 943,051
Investment income, net of expenses	18,328	20,883	20,250	21,278	80,739
Realized gains (losses)	1,259	2,485	(139)	2,126	5,731
Other revenue	2,539	2,715	2,481	2,179	9,914
Loss incurred, net	266,208	196,274	180,189	196,055	838,726
Underwriting and other expenses, net	74,768	54,221	61,810	56,062	246,861
Provision for income tax	1,139	990	336	1,231	3,696
Net (loss) income	(72,930)	12,375	12,114	(1,407)	(49,848)
(Loss) income per share (a):					
Basic	(0.31)	0.04	0.04	(0.00)	(0.16)
Diluted	(0.31)	0.04	0.04	(0.00)	(0.16)

- (a) Due to the use of weighted average shares outstanding when calculating earnings per share, the sum of the quarterly per share data may not equal the per share data for the year.
- (b) In periods where convertible debt instruments are dilutive to earnings per share the “if-converted” method of computing diluted EPS requires an interest expense adjustment, net of tax, to net income available to shareholders. This adjustment has not been reflected in the Unaudited Quarterly Financial Data presented. See Note 3 – “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” for further discussion.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
MGIC Investment Corporation

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MGIC Investment Corporation and its subsidiaries (the "Company") at December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin  
February 27, 2015

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.**

None.

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.**

**Management's Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls**

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), as of the end of the period covered by this annual report. Based on such evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that such controls and procedures were effective as of the end of such period.

**Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)). Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of its inherent limitations, however, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using the framework in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on such evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2014.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the consolidated financial statements and effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, as stated in their report which appears herein.

**Changes in Internal Control during the Fourth Quarter**

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2014 that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Item 9B. Other Information.**

None.

**PART III**

**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

This information (other than on the executive officers) will be included in our Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is hereby incorporated by reference. The information on the executive officers appears at the end of Part I of this Form 10-K.

Our Code of Business Conduct is available on our website (<http://mtg.mgic.com>) under the “Investor Information; Corporate Governance” links. Written copies of our Code of Business Conduct are available to any shareholder who submits a written request to our Secretary, addressed to: MGIC Investment Corporation, Secretary, P.O. Box 488, Milwaukee, WI 53201. We intend to disclose on our website any waivers and amendments to our Code of Business Conduct that are required to be disclosed under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation.**

This information will be included in our Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is hereby incorporated by reference.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.**

This information, other than information regarding equity compensation plans required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K of the Securities and Exchange Commission which appears below, will be included in our Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is hereby incorporated by reference.

The table below sets forth certain information, as of December 31, 2014, about the number of securities remaining available for future issuance under our equity compensation plans. No options, warrants or rights were outstanding at that date under any compensation plan or individual compensation arrangement with us. We have no compensation plan under which our equity securities may be issued that has not been approved by shareholders. Share units or phantom shares, which have no voting power and can be settled only in cash, are not considered to be equity securities for this purpose.

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	(b) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available For Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	3,843,658(1)	\$ -	2,269,591(2)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,843,658(1)</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>2,269,591(2)</b>

(1) Includes 3,777,572 restricted stock units (RSUs) granted under our 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the “2011 Plan”) for which shares will be issued if certain criteria are met. Of the 3,777,572 RSUs granted, 2,909,224 RSUs are subject to performance conditions and the remaining RSUs are subject to service conditions. Also includes 66,086 vested RSUs granted under our 2002 Stock Incentive Plan for which shares will be issued in the future.

(2) Reflects shares available for granting. All of these shares are available under our 2011 Plan.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.**

To the extent applicable, this information will be included in our Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is hereby incorporated by reference.

**Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.**

This information will be included in our Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is hereby incorporated by reference.

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

(a)

1. Financial statements. The following financial statements are filed in Item 8 of this annual report:

Consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated statements of operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014

Consolidated statements of comprehensive income for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014

Consolidated statements of shareholders’ equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014

Consolidated statements of cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014

Notes to consolidated financial statements

Report of independent registered public accounting firm

2. Financial statement schedules. The following financial statement schedules are filed as part of this Form 10-K and appear immediately following the signature page:

Report of independent registered public accounting firm on financial statement schedules

Schedules at and for the specified years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014:

Schedule I - Summary of investments, other than investments in related parties

Schedule II - Condensed financial information of Registrant

Schedule IV – Reinsurance

All other schedules are omitted since the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedules, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

3. Exhibits. The accompanying Index to Exhibits is incorporated by reference in answer to this portion of this Item and, except as otherwise indicated in the next sentence, the Exhibits listed in such Index are filed as part of this Form 10-K. Exhibit 32 is not filed as part of this Form 10-K but accompanies this Form 10-K.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on February 27, 2015.

### MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION

/s/ Curt S. Culver

Curt S. Culver  
Chairman of the Board and Chief  
Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below as of the date set forth above by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

#### Name and Title

/s/ Curt S. Culver

Curt S. Culver  
Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive  
Officer and Director

/s/ Timothy A. Holt

Timothy A. Holt, Director

/s/ Kenneth M. Jastrow, II

Kenneth M. Jastrow, II, Director

/s/ Timothy J. Mattke

Timothy J. Mattke  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ Michael E. Lehman

Michael E. Lehman, Director

/s/ Julie K. Sperber

Julie K. Sperber  
Vice President, Controller and  
Chief Accounting Officer  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

/s/ Donald T. Nicolaisen

Donald T. Nicolaisen, Director

/s/ Daniel A. Arrigoni

Daniel A. Arrigoni, Director

/s/ Gary A. Poliner

Gary A. Poliner, Director

/s/ Cassandra C. Carr

Cassandra C. Carr, Director

/s/ Patrick Sinks

Patrick Sinks, Director

/s/ C. Edward Chaplin

C. Edward Chaplin, Director

/s/ Mark M. Zandi

Mark M. Zandi, Director

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on  
Financial Statement Schedules**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
MGIC Investment Corporation

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements and of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting referred to in our report dated February 27, 2015 (the report is included under Item 8 in this Annual Report on Form 10-K) also included an audit of the financial statement schedules listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) of this Form 10-K. In our opinion, these financial statement schedules present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin  
February 27, 2015

## MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION

SCHEDULE I — SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS -  
OTHER THAN INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES

December 31, 2014

Type of Investment	Amortized Cost	Fair Value (In thousands)	Amount at which shown in the balance sheet
Fixed maturities:			
Bonds:			
United States Government and government agencies and authorities	\$ 349,153	\$ 346,775	\$ 346,775
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	844,942	855,142	855,142
Foreign governments	35,630	39,170	39,170
Public utilities	214,179	215,048	215,048
Asset-backed securities	286,260	286,655	286,655
Collateralized loan obligations	61,340	60,076	60,076
Mortgage-backed	606,198	596,515	596,515
All other corporate bonds	<u>2,204,812</u>	<u>2,210,233</u>	<u>2,210,233</u>
Total fixed maturities	4,602,514	4,609,614	4,609,614
Equity securities:			
Common stocks:			
Industrial, miscellaneous and all other	<u>3,003</u>	<u>3,055</u>	<u>3,055</u>
Total equity securities	<u>3,003</u>	<u>3,055</u>	<u>3,055</u>
Total investments	<u>\$ 4,605,517</u>	<u>\$ 4,612,669</u>	<u>\$ 4,612,669</u>

## MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION

## SCHEDULE II - CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS  
PARENT COMPANY ONLY  
December 31, 2014 and 2013

	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
<u>ASSETS</u>		
Fixed maturities (amortized cost, 2014 – \$482,629; 2013 – \$548,528)	\$ 480,125	\$ 539,124
Cash and cash equivalents	10,507	20,725
Investment in subsidiaries, at equity in net assets	1,821,024	1,475,956
Accounts receivable - affiliates	312	380
Income taxes receivable	17,478	17,958
Accrued investment income	3,435	3,629
Other assets	15,156	18,943
Total assets	<u>\$ 2,348,037</u>	<u>\$ 2,076,715</u>
<u>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>		
Liabilities:		
Senior notes	\$ 61,918	\$ 82,773
Convertible senior notes	845,000	845,000
Convertible junior debentures	389,522	389,522
Accrued interest	14,694	14,882
Total liabilities	<u>1,311,134</u>	<u>1,332,177</u>
Shareholders' equity		
Common stock, (one dollar par value, shares authorized 1,000,000; shares issued 2014 and 2013 – 340,047; outstanding 2014 – 338,560; 2013 – 337,758)	340,047	340,047
Paid-in capital	1,663,592	1,661,269
Treasury stock (shares at cost, 2014 – 1,487; 2013 – 2,289)	(32,937)	(64,435)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(81,341)	(117,726)
Retained deficit	(852,458)	(1,074,617)
Total shareholders' equity	<u>1,036,903</u>	<u>744,538</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 2,348,037</u>	<u>\$ 2,076,715</u>

See accompanying supplementary notes to Parent Company condensed financial statements.

## MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION

## SCHEDULE II - CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

**CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**PARENT COMPANY ONLY**  
**Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012**

	2014	2013	2012
		(In thousands)	
Revenues:			
Investment income, net of expenses	\$ 6,985	\$ 5,033	\$ 6,921
Net realized investment gains	395	830	9,895
Other revenue	-	-	17,775
Total revenues	<u>7,380</u>	<u>5,863</u>	<u>34,591</u>
Expenses:			
Operating expenses and other	1,383	511	2,227
Interest expense	69,648	79,663	99,344
Total expenses	<u>71,031</u>	<u>80,174</u>	<u>101,571</u>
Loss before tax	(63,651)	(74,311)	(66,980)
Provision for income taxes	-	-	-
Equity in undistributed net income (loss) of subsidiaries	315,600	24,463	(860,099)
Net income (loss)	<u>251,949</u>	<u>(49,848)</u>	<u>(927,079)</u>
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	36,385	(69,563)	(78,287)
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ 288,334</u>	<u>\$ (119,411)</u>	<u>\$ (1,005,366)</u>

See accompanying supplementary notes to Parent Company condensed financial statements.

## MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION

## SCHEDULE II - CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

**CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**PARENT COMPANY ONLY**  
**Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012**

	2014	2013	2012
		(In thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 251,949	\$ (49,848)	\$ (927,079)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities:			
Equity in undistributed net (income) loss of subsidiaries	(315,600)	(24,463)	860,099
Other	14,862	21,693	23,765
Change in certain assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable - affiliates	68	289	(753)
Income taxes receivable	480	(3)	5,909
Accrued investment income	194	(2,611)	2,702
Accrued interest	(188)	(15,577)	17,288
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(48,235)</u>	<u>(70,520)</u>	<u>(18,069)</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Transactions with subsidiaries	-	(800,000)	(100,000)
Purchase of fixed maturities	(553,538)	(563,968)	(120,181)
Sale of fixed maturities	613,322	148,608	409,601
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>59,784</u>	<u>(1,215,360)</u>	<u>189,420</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Repayment of long-term debt	(21,767)	(17,235)	(53,107)
Net proceeds from convertible senior notes	-	484,625	-
Common stock shares issued	-	663,335	-
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>(21,767)</u>	<u>1,130,725</u>	<u>(53,107)</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(10,218)	(155,155)	118,244
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	20,725	175,880	57,636
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 10,507</u>	<u>\$ 20,725</u>	<u>\$ 175,880</u>

See accompanying supplementary notes to Parent Company condensed financial statements.

**SCHEDULE II — CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**

**PARENT COMPANY ONLY**

**SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES**

**Note A**

The accompanying Parent Company financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Item 8 of this annual report.

**Note B**

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to statutory regulations as to maintenance of policyholders' surplus and payment of dividends. The maximum amount of dividends that the insurance subsidiaries may pay in any twelve-month period without regulatory approval by the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance of the State of Wisconsin is the lesser of adjusted statutory net income or 10% of statutory policyholders' surplus as of the preceding calendar year end. Adjusted statutory net income is defined for this purpose to be the greater of statutory net income, net of realized investment gains, for the calendar year preceding the date of the dividend or statutory net income, net of realized investment gains, for the three calendar years preceding the date of the dividend less dividends paid within the first two of the preceding three calendar years.

The senior notes, convertible senior notes and convertible debentures, discussed in Note 8 – "Debt" to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8, are obligations of MGIC Investment Corporation, our holding company, and not of its subsidiaries. The payment of dividends from our insurance subsidiaries, which other than raising capital in the public markets is the principal source of our holding company cash inflow, is restricted by insurance regulation. MGIC is the principal source of dividend-paying capacity. Since 2008, MGIC has not paid any dividends to our holding company. In 2015, MGIC cannot pay any dividends to our holding company without approval from the OCI.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, we suspended the payment of dividends to shareholders.

**MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION****SCHEDULE IV — REINSURANCE****MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS EARNED**  
**Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012**

	<u>Gross Amount</u>	<u>Ceded to Other Companies</u>	<u>Assumed From Other Companies</u>	<u>Net Amount</u>	<u>Percentage of Amount Assumed to Net</u>
	(In thousands of dollars)				
Year ended December 31, 2014	\$ 950,973	\$ 108,255	\$ 1,653	\$ 844,371	0.2%
2013	979,078	38,101	2,074	943,051	0.2%
2012	1,065,663	34,918	2,425	1,033,170	0.2%

Item 15(a)3

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference		
		Form	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
3.1	Articles of Incorporation, as amended.	10-Q	3.1	August 8, 2013
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended.	8-K	3.2	July 25, 2014
4.1	Articles of Incorporation (included within Exhibit 3.1).	10-Q	3.1	August 8, 2013
4.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws (included as Exhibit 3.2).	8-K	3.2	July 25, 2014
4.3	Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2012, (as amended through March 11, 2013) between MGIC Investment Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, which includes as Exhibit A thereto the Form of Right Certificate, as Exhibit B thereto the Summary of Rights to Purchase Common Shares, and as Exhibit C thereto the Form of Representation and Request Letter.	DEF 14A	App. A	March 25, 2013
4.4	Indenture, dated as of October 15, 2000, between the MGIC Investment Corporation and Bank One Trust Company, National Association, as Trustee. [File 001-10816]	8-K	4.1	October 19, 2000
4.5	Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 26, 2010, between MGIC Investment Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association (as successor to Bank One Trust Company, National Association), as Trustee, under the Indenture, dated as of October 15, 2000, between the Company and the Trustee.	8-K	4.1	April 30, 2010
4.6	Indenture, dated as of March 28, 2008, between U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, and MGIC Investment Corporation. [File 001-10816]	10-Q	4.6	May 12, 2008
4.7	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2013, between MGIC Investment Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association (as successor to Bank One Trust Company, National Association), as Trustee, under the Indenture, dated as of October 15, 2000, between the Company and the Trustee.	8-K	4.1	March 15, 2013
	[We are a party to various other agreements with respect to our long-term debt. These agreements are not being filed pursuant to Reg. S-K Item 601(b) (4) (iii) (A). We hereby agree to furnish a copy of such agreements to the Commission upon its request.]			
10.2	Form of Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. [File 001-10816] *	10-K	10.2.1	March 13, 2006
10.2.1	Form of Incorporated Terms to Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. [File 001-10816] *	10-K	10.2.2	March 13, 2006
10.2.4	Form of Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (for Directors) under 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. [File 001-10816] *	10-K	10.2.4	March 16, 2005
10.2.5	Form of Incorporated Terms to Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (for Directors) under 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. [File 001-10816] *	10-K	10.2.5	March 16, 2005
10.2.8	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Adopted January 2012). *	10-K	10.2.8	March 1, 2013

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference		
		Form	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.2.9	Form of Incorporated Terms to Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Adopted January 2012). *	10-K	10.2.9	March 1, 2013
10.2.10	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Adopted January 2013). *	10-K	10.2.10	February 28, 2014
10.2.11	Form of Incorporated Terms to Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Adopted January 2013). *	10-K	10.2.11	February 28, 2014
<a href="#">10.2.12</a>	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Adopted January 2014). * †			
<a href="#">10.2.13</a>	Form of Incorporated Terms to Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Adopted January 2014). * †			
10.3	MGIC Investment Corporation 1991 Stock Incentive Plan. [File 001-10816] *	10-K	10.7	March 29, 2000
10.3.1	MGIC Investment Corporation 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. *	10-K	10.3.1	March 1, 2011
10.3.2	MGIC Investment Corporation 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan. *	DEF 14A	App. B	March 31, 2011
10.5	Two Forms of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under 1991 Stock Incentive Plan. [File 001-10816] *	10-K	10.10	March 29, 2000
<a href="#">10.6</a>	Executive Bonus Plan. * †			
10.7	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. *	8-K	10.7	January 29, 2014
<a href="#">10.8</a>	MGIC Investment Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended.* †			
10.9	MGIC Investment Corporation 1993 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors. [File 001-10816] *	10-K	10.24	March 25, 1994
10.10	Two Forms of Award Agreement under MGIC Investment Corporation 1993 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors.*	10-Q	10.27 and 10.28	August 12, 1994
<a href="#">10.11.1</a>	Form of Key Executive Employment and Severance Agreement. * †			
<a href="#">10.11.2</a>	Form of Incorporated Terms to Key Executive Employment and Severance Agreement. * †			
10.12	Form of Agreement Not to Compete. *	10-K	10.12	March 1, 2013
10.14	Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release dated as of April 19, 2013 (“BANA Agreement”), by and between Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. (as a successor to BAC Home Loans Servicing f/k/a Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP), on its own behalf and as successor in interest by <i>de jure</i> merger to Countrywide Bank FSB, formerly Treasury Bank. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. is also a party to the BANA Agreement solely to the extent specified in BANA Agreement. **	8-K	10.1	April 25, 2013
10.15	Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release dated as of April 19, 2013 (“CHL Agreement”), by and between Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the CHL Agreement). **	8-K	10.2	April 25, 2013

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference		
		Form	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
10.16	Consulting Agreement between J. Michael Lauer and Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation dated as of March 3, 2014. *	10-K	10.16	February 28, 2014
<a href="#">21</a>	Direct and Indirect Subsidiaries. †			
<a href="#">23</a>	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. †			
<a href="#">31.1</a>	Certification of CEO under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. †			
<a href="#">31.2</a>	Certification of CFO under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. †			
<a href="#">32</a>	Certification of CEO and CFO under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (as indicated in Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, this Exhibit is not being “filed”). ††			
99.1	Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation’s “Flow” Master Insurance Policy and Declaration Page, Restated to Include Selected Endorsements.	10-K	99.1	March 2, 2009
99.2	Endorsement to Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation’s “Flow” Master Insurance Policy Applicable to Lenders with Delegated Underwriting Authority.	10-K	99.2	March 2, 2009
99.7	Specimen Gold Cert Endorsement	10-Q	99.7	May 10, 2012
99.8	Amendment to BANA Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of September 24, 2013 by and between Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. (as a successor to BAC Home Loans Servicing f/k/a Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP), on its own behalf and as successor in interest by de jure merger to Countrywide Bank FSB, formerly Treasury Bank. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. is also a party to the settlement agreement only to the extent specified in the settlement agreement. **	10-Q	10.14.1	November 8, 2013
99.9	Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of September 24, 2013 by and between Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement) **	10-Q	10.14.1	November 8, 2013
99.10	Letter Agreement dated October 9, 2013 among Fannie Mae, Bank of America, N.A., Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation. **	10-K	99.10	February 28, 2014
99.11	Letter Agreement October 9, 2013 among Bank of America, N.A., Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation. **	10-K	99.11	February 28, 2014
99.12	Second Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of November 8, 2013 by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement)	10-K	99.12	February 28, 2014
99.13	Third Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of March 13, 2014 by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement)	10-Q	99.13	May 9, 2014

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference		
		Form	Exhibit(s)	Filing Date
99.14	Second Amendment to BANA Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of June 5, 2014 by and between Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. (as a successor to BAC Home Loans Servicing f/k/a Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP), on its own behalf and as successor in interest by de jure merger to Countrywide Bank FSB, formerly Treasury Bank. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. is also a party to the settlement agreement only to the extent specified in the settlement agreement. **	10-Q	99.14	August 8, 2014
99.15	Fourth Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of May 19, 2014 by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement)	10-Q	99.15	August 8, 2014
99.16	Fifth Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of June 5, 2014 by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement) **	10-Q	99.16	August 8, 2014
99.17	Sixth Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of August 31, 2014 by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement)	10-Q	99.17	November 7, 2014
99.18	Seventh Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of September 11, 2014 by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement)	10-Q	99.18	November 7, 2014
99.19	Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation’s “Flow” Master Insurance Policy for loans with a mortgage insurance application date on or after October 1, 2014	10-Q	99.19	November 7, 2014
<a href="#">99.20</a>	Eighth Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of October 30, 2014 by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement) †			
<a href="#">99.21</a>	Ninth Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of November 30, 2014 by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement) †			
<a href="#">99.22</a>	Tenth Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of January 29, 2015 by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement) †			
<a href="#">99.23</a>	Eleventh Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of February 6, 2015 by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement) †			
<a href="#">99.24</a>	Twelfth Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release made as of February 13, 2015 by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (as defined in the settlement agreement) †			
101	The following financial information from MGIC Investment Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Shareholders’ Equity for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.			

\* Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan.

\*\* Certain portions of these Exhibits are redacted and covered by a confidential treatment request that has been granted. Omitted portions have been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

† Filed herewith.

†† Furnished herewith.

**RESTRICTED STOCK UNIT AGREEMENT**

THIS RESTRICTED STOCK UNIT AGREEMENT is made and entered into as of the date indicated on the signature page under “Date of Agreement” by and between MGIC Investment Corporation, a Wisconsin corporation (the “Company”), and the employee of Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation, or one of its subsidiaries, whose signature is set forth on the signature page hereto (the “Employee”).

## INTRODUCTION

The Company is awarding Restricted Stock Units to the Employee under the MGIC Investment Corporation 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the “Plan”) and this Agreement. The Company may also make cash incentive awards to the Employee under the Plan, which along with such Restricted Stock Units may be recovered in certain circumstances.

This Agreement consists of this instrument and the Incorporated Terms Dated As of January 27, 2014 to Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (the “Incorporated Terms”), which although not attached to this instrument, are part of this Agreement and were provided to the Employee as indicated in Paragraph 1(b) below.

The parties mutually agree as follows:

1. Award of RSUs; Incorporated Terms.

(a) Subject to the terms and conditions set forth herein, the Company is confirming the award to the Employee of:

(i) the number of Restricted Stock Units equal to the number referred to after “Time Vested Restricted Stock Units” on the signature page, which shall be the “Time Vested RSUs”; and

(ii) the number of Restricted Stock Units equal to the number referred to after “Performance Restricted Stock Units” on the signature page, which shall be the “Performance RSUs.”

As used in this Agreement, the term “RSUs” means collectively all Time Vested RSUs and all Performance RSUs.

(b) The Incorporated Terms are incorporated in this instrument with the same effect as if they were physically set forth in this instrument. The Incorporated Terms and this instrument constitute a single agreement which is referred to as “this Agreement.” The terms “herein,” “hereof,” “above” and similar terms used in this Agreement refer to this Agreement as a whole. The “Award Notification” is the document entitled “Officer Compensation” that was delivered to the Employee by the Company to notify the Employee of the award of RSUs, the legal terms of which are set forth in this Agreement. The Employee agrees if there is any difference between the number of RSUs determined by (i) the Award Notification, as delivered to the Employee, and (ii) the number of RSUs awarded by the Committee, as reflected in the records of the Committee, the number of RSUs reflected in the records of the Committee shall control. The Incorporated Terms were attached to an email sent to the Employee from a member of the Legal Department of the Company which included other documents relating to the RSUs. The Company is hereby advising the Employee to print and retain a copy of the Incorporated Terms. The Employee agrees if there is any difference between the text of the Incorporated Terms obtained as indicated above and the text of the Incorporated Terms retained by the Company’s Secretary, the text of the copy retained by the Secretary will control.

---

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Company has caused this Agreement to be executed by its duly authorized signer, and the Employee has executed this Agreement, all as of the day and year set forth below.

Date of Agreement: As of January 27, 2014

MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: Authorized Signer

Sign Here:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Time Vested Restricted Stock Units:

Performance Restricted Stock Units:

Performance RSU Multiplier:

Time Vested RSUs Release Date:

Performance RSUs Release Date:

Holding Period:

Threshold Expense Ratio:  
Target Expense Ratio:  
Maximum Expense Ratio:

Threshold Loss Ratio:  
Target Loss Ratio:  
Maximum Loss Ratio

Threshold Share:  
Target Share:  
Maximum Share:

Goal:

Dividend Start Date:

\* \* \* \*

Beneficiary

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address of Beneficiary:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Beneficiary Tax Identification

No: \_\_\_\_\_

**INCORPORATED TERMS  
DATED AS OF JANUARY 27, 2014  
TO  
RESTRICTED STOCK UNIT AGREEMENT**

The following are the "Incorporated Terms" referred to in the instrument entitled "Restricted Stock Unit Agreement" which refers to these Incorporated Terms and which has been signed by the Company and the Employee (the "Base Instrument"). The Incorporated Terms and the Base Instrument constitute a single agreement and that agreement consists of the Base Instrument and the Incorporated Terms. The Incorporated Terms dovetail with the Base Instrument; because the last paragraph of the Base Instrument is Paragraph 1, the Incorporated Terms begin with Paragraph 2.

2. Release Date.

(a) (i) The Release Date, which determines the date on which the property underlying RSUs shall be released and settled, shall be determined as described below.

(ii) The term "Release Date" shall be applied separately to the Time Vested RSUs and the Performance RSUs as if the term "Release Date" were the term "Time Vested RSUs Release Date," or "Performance RSUs Release Date," as the case may be, and such application shall correspond to the application of the term "RSUs" as set forth in Paragraph 1(a) of the Base Instrument.

(b) (i) For each date set forth after "Time Vested RSUs Release Date" on the signature page, divide the number of shares referred to after "Time Vested Restricted Stock Units" by the sum of one and the difference between the latest year set forth after "Time Vested RSUs Release Date" on the signature page and the earliest year set forth thereafter. The resulting quotient, rounded down to the nearest whole RSU, is the number of Time Vested RSUs for which a Release Date shall occur on the corresponding date set forth after "Time Vested RSUs Release Date" and such date shall be the Release Date for such RSUs (and only for such RSUs), except that if after "Goal" on the signature page "Applicable" appears, then such date shall be a Release Date only if the condition set forth after "Goal" applicable to such Release Date is satisfied, provided that if such condition is not satisfied, the number of RSUs for which a Release Date did not occur as a result thereof (the "Unreleased RSUs"), shall be added to the number of RSUs for which a Release Date shall occur on the next date on which a Release Date occurs, and provided further that if on the last date set forth after "Time Vested RSUs Release Date" on the signature page, there are Unreleased RSUs, such RSUs shall be released on the earliest of the next two anniversaries of such last date on which the condition set forth after "Goal" is satisfied and such anniversary shall be a Release Date.

---

(ii) As used herein, "Combined Ratio" shall mean, for any year, the sum of the Loss Ratio and the Expense Ratio for such year, expressed as a percentage. "Loss Ratio" shall mean, for any year, the ratio, expressed as a percentage, of the Company's direct losses incurred from primary NIW written that year, excluding losses associated with incurred but not reported defaults, to its direct premiums earned from primary NIW written that year, in each case as computed in accordance with Past Practices. "Expense Ratio" shall mean, for any year, the ratio, expressed as a percentage, of the net underwriting and other expenses of the Company's combined insurance operations that year to its net premiums written that year, in each case as computed in accordance with Past Practices. As used herein, "Past Practices" shall mean the manner in which the applicable item was calculated by the Company prior to the date of this Agreement.

The foregoing notwithstanding, if after "Goal" on the signature page "Applicable" appears, then the Release Date shall not occur earlier than the date on which the Committee (as defined in Paragraph 6) certifies the Combined Ratio in accordance with the regulations under Section 162(m) of the Code. The Committee shall certify the Combined Ratio no later than the March 31st/February 28th following the close of the year for which the Combined Ratio is certified and the Release Date shall occur reasonably promptly (but in no event more than 15 days) thereafter.

(c) (i) The Release Date for Performance RSUs shall be determined as follows. For each date set forth after "Performance RSUs Release Date" on the signature page, multiply the number referred to after "Performance Restricted Stock Units" on the signature page by the product of (i) the Aggregate Percentage Achievement for the fiscal year of the Company ended on the December 31 immediately preceding such date, and (ii) one-third, and if after "Performance RSU Multiplier" on the signature page "Applicable" appears, (iii) the fraction set forth after "Performance RSU Multiplier." The resulting product, rounded down to the nearest whole RSU, is the number of Performance RSUs for which a Release Date shall occur on the corresponding date set forth after "Performance RSUs Release Date" and such date shall be the Release Date for such RSUs (and only for such RSUs); provided that the number of Performance RSUs for which a Release Date occurs shall in no event exceed the number of Performance RSUs that, when added together with Performance RSUs as to which a Release Date has previously occurred under this Agreement, equals the number set forth after "Performance Restricted Stock Units" on the signature page.

(ii) The "Aggregate Percentage Achievement" for any year shall be determined as follows:

(A) If the Company's Flow Market Share for such year can be determined based on information published by Inside Mortgage Finance (along with any successor publication thereto, "Inside Mortgage Finance") on or before the second Thursday in March of the following year, then the Aggregate Percentage Achievement for such year shall mean the sum of the Expense Ratio Achievement Percentage, the Loss Ratio Achievement Percentage and the Share Achievement Percentage for such year.

(B) If the Company's Flow Market Share for such year cannot be determined based on information published by Inside Mortgage Finance on or before the second Thursday in March of the following year, then the Aggregate Percentage Achievement for such year shall mean the sum of the Expense Ratio Achievement Percentage and the Loss Ratio Achievement Percentage for such year.

---

(iii) The “Expense Ratio Achievement Percentage” for any year shall be determined as follows:

(A) If the Company’s Expense Ratio (as defined above) for such year is equal to or higher than the Expense Ratio set forth after “Maximum Expense Ratio” on the signature page, then the Expense Ratio Achievement Percentage shall be 0%;

(B) If the Company’s Expense Ratio for such year is equal to the Expense Ratio set forth after “Target Expense Ratio” on the signature page, then the Expense Ratio Achievement Percentage shall be (I) 33.34% if the Company’s Flow Market Share for such year can be determined as described above, or (II) 50% if the Company’s Flow Market Share for such year cannot be so determined;

(C) If the Company’s Expense Ratio for such year is equal to or lower than the Expense Ratio set forth after “Threshold Expense Ratio” on the signature page, then the Expense Ratio Achievement Percentage shall be (I) 50% if the Company’s Flow Market Share for such year can be determined as described above, or (II) 75% if the Company’s Flow Market Share for such year cannot be so determined; and

(D) If the Company’s Expense Ratio for such year is between the Maximum Expense Ratio and the Target Expense Ratio, or between the Target Expense Ratio and the Threshold Expense Ratio, then the Expense Ratio Achievement Percentage shall be correspondingly interpolated on a linear basis between 0% and the Expense Ratio Achievement Percentage associated with the Target Expense Ratio, or between the Expense Ratio Achievement Percentage associated with the Target Expense Ratio and the Expense Ratio associated with the Threshold Expense Ratio, respectively.

(iv) The “Loss Ratio Achievement Percentage” for any year shall be determined as follows:

(A) If the Company’s Loss Ratio (defined above) for such year is equal to or higher than the Loss Ratio set forth after “Maximum Loss Ratio” on the signature page, the Loss Ratio Achievement Percentage shall be 0%;

(B) If the Company’s Loss Ratio for such year is equal to the Loss Ratio set forth after “Target Loss Ratio” on the signature page, then the Loss Ratio Achievement Percentage shall be (I) 33.33% if the Company’s Flow Market Share for such year can be determined as described above, or (II) 50% if the Company’s Flow Market Share for such year cannot be so determined;

(C) If the Company’s Loss Ratio for such year is equal to or lower than the Loss Ratio set forth after “Threshold Loss Ratio” on the signature page, then the Loss Ratio Achievement Percentage shall be (I) 50% if the Company’s Flow Market Share for such year can be determined as described above, or (II) 75% if the Company’s Flow Market Share for such year cannot be so determined; and

---

(D) If the Company's Loss Ratio for such year is between the Maximum Loss Ratio and the Target Loss Ratio, or between the Target Loss Ratio and the Threshold Loss Ratio, then the Loss Ratio Achievement Percentage shall be correspondingly interpolated on a linear basis between 0% and the Loss Ratio Achievement Percentage associated with the Target Loss Ratio, or between the Loss Ratio Achievement Percentage associated with the Target Loss Ratio and the Loss Ratio Achievement Percentage associated with the Threshold Loss Ratio, respectively.

(v) If the Company's Flow Market Share for such year can be determined based on information published by Inside Mortgage Finance on or before the second Thursday in March of the following year, the "Share Achievement Percentage" for such year shall be determined as follows:

(A) If the Company's Flow Market Share for such year is equal to or lower than the Flow Market Share set forth after "Threshold Share" on the signature page, then the Flow Market Share Achievement Percentage shall be 0%;

(B) If the Company's Flow Market Share for such year is equal to the Flow Market Share set forth after "Target Share" on the signature page, then the Flow Market Share Achievement Percentage shall be 33.33%;

(C) If the Company's Flow Market Share for such year is equal to or higher than the Flow Market Share set forth after "Maximum Share" on the signature page, then the Flow Market Share Achievement Percentage shall be 50%; and

(D) If the Company's Flow Market Share for such year is between the Threshold Share and the Target Share, or between the Target Share and the Maximum Share, then the Flow Market Share Achievement Percentage shall be correspondingly interpolated on a linear basis between 0% and 33.33%, or between 33.33% and 50%, respectively.

"Flow Market Share" for any year shall mean the Company's market share of the industry's flow NIW for that year, expressed as a percentage, determined based on information reported by Inside Mortgage Finance; provided, however, that if Inside Mortgage Finance has not reported the necessary information by the second Thursday in March of the following year, the Flow Market Share shall be deemed to be unable to be determined.

The foregoing notwithstanding, the Release Date shall not occur earlier than the date on which the Committee (as defined in Paragraph 6) certifies the Aggregate Percentage Achievement in accordance with the regulations under Section 162(m) of the Code. The Committee shall certify the Aggregate Percentage Achievement no later than the March 31st following the close of the year for which the Aggregate Percentage Achievement is certified and the Release Date shall occur reasonably promptly (but in no event more than 15 days) after the Aggregate Percentage Achievement is certified.

---

(d) If all Time Vested RSUs set forth after “Time Vested Restricted Stock Units” on the signature page or if all Performance RSUs set forth after “Performance Restricted Stock Units” on the signature page would have been released but for the provisions of this Agreement that round down RSUs to the nearest whole number, the number of RSUs released on the last Release Date shall be the RSUs awarded minus the RSUs that were previously released such that on such last Release Date the fractional RSUs that were not released due to rounding shall be released.

3. [Reserved]

4. Transfer After Release Date; Securities Law Restrictions; Holding Period.

(a) Notwithstanding the foregoing or anything to the contrary herein, the Employee agrees and acknowledges with respect to any Stock delivered in settlement of RSUs that has not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “1933 Act”) and that, in the opinion of counsel to the Company, absent such registration cannot be publicly sold or otherwise disposed of, (i) he will not sell or otherwise dispose of such Stock except pursuant to an effective registration statement under the 1933 Act and any applicable state securities laws, or in a transaction which, in the opinion of counsel for the Company, is exempt from such registration, and (ii) a legend may be placed on the certificates or other evidence for the Stock delivered in settlement of the RSUs to such effect.

(b) If after “Holding Period” on the signature page “Applicable” appears, then the Employee agrees that, during the Holding Period, the Employee will not make a Sale of the Holding Period Shares. “Holding Period” means a period beginning on the Release Date and ending on the earlier of (i) the first anniversary of the Release Date and (ii) the first date on which the Employee is no longer subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“1934 Act”). “Holding Period Shares” means a number of shares of Stock for which a Release Date shall occur that are released on such Release Date equal to the lesser of (1) 25% of the aggregate number of RSUs that are released on the Release Date and (2) 50% of the difference between (i) the aggregate number of RSUs that are released on the Release Date and (ii) the aggregate number of shares that are withheld to satisfy withholding tax requirements under Paragraph 10(b) of this Agreement. “Sale” means a transfer for value, except that, (i) the transfer to the Company of Holding Period Shares in payment of the exercise price of an option granted to the Employee by the Company shall not be a Sale if there is no Sale for the remainder of the Holding Period of a number of shares of Stock received upon exercise of such option that are not less than the number of Holding Period Shares so transferred in connection with such exercise, and (ii) an involuntary transfer, including Holding Period Shares converted in a merger, is not a Sale; it is understood that neither a pledge nor a gift, including to an entity in which the Employee has an interest (provided that in the case of such an entity, such entity does not make a Sale for the remainder of the Holding Period), is a transfer for value.

---

(c) Except as otherwise provided in the parenthetical in clause (ii) of the definition of Sale, if a transfer that is not a Sale occurs, the Holding Period for the shares involved in such transfer shall terminate at the time of such transfer.

5. Termination of Employment Due to Death. If the Employee's employment with the Company or any of its subsidiaries is terminated because of death prior to the Release Date, a Release Date shall be deemed to have occurred for all RSUs.

6. Forfeiture of RSUs.

(a) If the Employee's employment with the Company and all of its subsidiaries is terminated prior to the Release Date for any reason (including without limitation, disability or termination by the Company and all subsidiaries thereof, with or without cause) other than death, all RSUs shall be forfeited to the Company on the date of such termination unless otherwise provided in subparagraph (b) below, or unless the Management Development, Nominating and Governance Committee of the Company's Board of Directors (the "Management Development Committee") or other Committee of such Board administering the Plan (the Management Development Committee or such other Committee is herein referred to as the "Committee") determines, on such terms and conditions, if any, as the Committee may impose, that all or a portion of the Stock deliverable on settlement of RSUs shall be released to the Employee and the restrictions of Paragraph 2 applicable thereto shall terminate. Absence of the Employee on leave approved by a duly elected officer of the Company, other than the Employee, shall not be considered a termination of employment during the period of such leave.

The Release Date for the Time Vested RSUs and the Performance RSUs may occur on multiple dates, each of which is a Release Date for the number of RSUs determined as provided in Paragraphs 2(b) and (c). Hence, any forfeiture of Time Vested RSUs or Performance RSUs applies only to the RSUs for which a Release Date had not yet occurred on the date of forfeiture. The preceding sentence has been included in this Agreement for the purpose of avoiding any doubt that the result described in the preceding sentence would occur; therefore, such result will occur under prior agreements awarding restricted stock or RSUs to the Employee even though a comparable provision is not included in such agreements.

(b) If the Employee's employment with the Company and all of its subsidiaries terminates by reason of retirement after reaching age 62 and after having been employed by the Company or any subsidiary thereof for an aggregate period of at least seven years, such retirement shall not result in forfeiture of any Time Vested RSUs or Performance RSUs if (1) the Employee's employment with the Company or one of its subsidiaries continues for no less than one year after the date of this Agreement, and (2) no later than the date on which employment terminates, the Employee enters into an agreement with the Company (which agreement shall be drafted by and acceptable to the Company) under which the Employee agrees not to compete with the Company and its subsidiaries during a period ending one year after the latest of the dates set forth after (i) "Time Vested RSUs Release Date" on the signature page, and (ii) "Performance RSUs Release Date" on the signature page, and the Employee complies with such agreement. If the Employee enters into such a non-competition agreement and thereafter breaches the terms thereof, the RSUs shall be forfeited; the Employee shall return to the Company any cash or Stock, as applicable, awarded under this Agreement that was delivered to the Employee after the date on which such non-competition agreement was entered into; and the Company may seek other remedies as contemplated in such non-competition agreement. If the conditions in the second preceding sentence are satisfied and the Employee complies with the terms of such agreement, the Release Date for the RSUs shall be determined as provided in Paragraph 2, however, upon the Employee's death, the provisions of Paragraph 5 shall apply as if the Employee's employment with the Company and its subsidiaries terminated because of such death.

---

(c) Any (i) Performance RSUs for which a Release Date has not occurred by the latest date set forth after “Performance RSUs Release Date” on the signature page (as such date may be extended under Paragraph 2(c) hereof) and (ii) Time Vested RSUs for which a Release Date does not occur because the condition set forth after “Goal” on the signature page is not satisfied by the second anniversary of the latest date set forth after “Time Vested RSUs Release Date” on the signature page (as such date may be extended under Paragraph 2(b) hereof), shall be forfeited to the Company, unless in the case of (i) and (ii) the Committee determines otherwise as contemplated in subparagraph (a) above.

7. Beneficiary. (a) The person whose name appears on the signature page hereof after the caption “Beneficiary” or any successor designated by the Employee in accordance herewith (the person who is the Employee’s Beneficiary at the time of his death herein referred to as the “Beneficiary”) shall be entitled to receive the Stock to be delivered in settlement of RSUs under Paragraph 5 as a result of the death of the Employee. The Employee may from time to time revoke or change his Beneficiary without the consent of any prior Beneficiary by filing a new designation with the Committee. The last such designation received by the Committee shall be controlling; provided, however, that no designation, or change or revocation thereof, shall be effective unless received by the Committee prior to the Employee’s death, and in no event shall any designation be effective as of a date prior to such receipt.

(b) If no such Beneficiary designation is in effect at the time of an Employee’s death, or if no designated Beneficiary survives the Employee or if such designation conflicts with law, upon the death of the Employee, the Employee’s estate shall be entitled to receive the Stock to be delivered in settlement of RSUs. If the Committee is in doubt as to the right of any person to receive such property, the Company may retain the same and any distributions thereon, without liability for any interest thereon, until the Committee determines the person entitled thereto, or the Company may deliver such property and any distributions thereon to any court of appropriate jurisdiction and such delivery shall be a complete discharge of the liability of the Company therefor.

8. Stock Legends. If after “Holding Period” on the signature page “Applicable” appears, at the option of the Company, an appropriate legend may be placed on certificates for Stock delivered in settlement of RSUs noting the requirements to hold such Stock imposed by Paragraph 4(b) of this Agreement. When such requirements terminate, the Employee shall be entitled to have the foregoing legend removed from such certificates.

---

9. Settlement; Voting Rights; Dividends and Other Distributions; Rights of RSUs.

(a) **Settlement.** Except to the extent forfeited as provided herein, on, or reasonably promptly after, the Release Date set forth on the signature page or determined herein, RSUs shall be settled by the issuance (or transfer from treasury) of shares of Stock equal to the number determined in Paragraphs 2, 5 or 12, as applicable. Such issuance or transfer may be accomplished by issuance of certificates for such Stock, by a credit into a direct registration account with the Company's transfer agent, or by an electronic transfer of shares to an account maintained with a broker/dealer. Such issuance or transfer shall be made to the Employee, or in the case of his death, to his Beneficiary.

(b) **Voting and Other Rights of RSUs.** RSUs represent only the right to receive Stock, on the terms provided herein. The Employee with respect to RSUs shall have no rights as a holder of Stock, including the right to vote or to receive dividends, until certificates for such Stock are actually delivered in settlement.

(c) **Dividend Rights.** Notwithstanding the preceding subparagraph, to the extent RSUs are settled on a Release Date, the Company shall make a payment in cash equal to the aggregate amount that would have been paid as dividends on the shares of Stock issued or transferred in settlement as if such shares had been outstanding on each dividend record date on and after the Dividend Start Date specified on the signature page and prior to the date on which settlement occurs.

10. Tax Withholding.

(a) It shall be a condition of the obligation of the Company to deliver Stock in settlement of RSUs, and the Employee agrees, that the Employee shall pay to the Company upon its demand, such amount as may be requested by the Company for the purpose of satisfying its liability to withhold federal, state, or local income or other taxes incurred by reason of the award of the RSUs or the delivery of Stock in settlement of the RSUs.

(b) If the Employee does not satisfy the withholding obligations prior to the Tax Date (as defined below) by paying sufficient cash to the Company or transferring ownership of a sufficient number of other shares of Stock to the Company as provided in Paragraph 10(c), then the withholding tax requirements arising from the settlement of RSUs shall be satisfied through a withholding by the Company of shares of Stock that would otherwise be delivered to the Employee. In such event, the Company shall withhold that number of shares of Stock that would otherwise be delivered in settlement of RSUs, in each case, having a Fair Market Value (as such term is defined in the Plan) on the day prior to the Tax Date equal to the amount required to be withheld as a result of the settlement of RSUs. As used herein, "Tax Date" means the date on which the Employee must include in his gross income for federal income tax purposes the fair market value of the Stock delivered in settlement of the RSUs, over the purchase price therefor.

(c) If the Employee desires to use cash or other shares of Stock to satisfy the withholding obligations set forth above, the Employee must: (i) make an election to do so in writing on a form provided by the Company, (ii) deliver such election form to the Company by the deadline specified by the Company, and (iii) deliver to the Company the required cash or other shares of Stock having a Fair Market Value on the Tax Date (as defined above) equal to the amount required to be withheld.

---

(d) To the extent provided in the resolutions of the Committee awarding RSUs subject to this Agreement, and subject to applicable law, the Employee shall be entitled to have amounts withheld in excess of the minimum amount required to be withheld by the Company.

11. Adjustments in Event of Change in Stock or Fiscal Year. In the event of any change in the outstanding shares of Stock (“capital adjustment”) for any reason, including but not limited to, any stock splits, stock dividend, recapitalization, merger, consolidation, reorganization, combination or exchange of shares or other similar event which, in the judgment of the Committee, could distort the implementation of the award of RSUs or the realization of the objectives of such award, the Committee shall make such adjustments in the RSUs, or in the terms, conditions or restrictions of this Agreement as the Committee deems equitable, except that in the event of any stock split, reverse stock split, stock dividend, combination or reclassification of the Stock that occurs after the date of this Agreement (collectively, “future capital adjustment”), the number of RSUs shall be proportionally adjusted for any increase or decrease in the number of outstanding shares resulting from such future capital adjustment, any such adjustment rounded down to the next lower whole share. In addition, if the Company changes its fiscal year from a year ending December 31, the Committee may make such adjustments in the Time Vested RSUs Release Date and the Performance RSUs Release Date as set forth on the signature page as the Committee deems equitable. The determination of the Committee as to any such adjustment shall be conclusive and binding for all purposes of this Agreement.

12. Change in Control. If a “Change in Control of the Company” (as such term is defined in the Plan) occurs, notwithstanding anything herein, a Release Date shall be deemed to have occurred for all RSUs.

13. Powers of Company Not Affected; No Right to Continued Employment.

(a) The existence of the RSUs shall not affect in any way the right or power of the Company or its stockholders to make or authorize any combination, subdivision or reclassification of the Stock or any reorganization, merger, consolidation, business combination, exchange of shares, or other change in the Company’s capital structure or its business, or any issue of bonds, debentures or stock having rights or preferences equal, superior or affecting any property to be issued in settlement of RSUs or the rights thereof, or dissolution or liquidation of the Company, or any sale or transfer of all or any part of its assets or business, or any other corporate act or proceeding, whether of a similar character or otherwise.

(b) Nothing herein contained shall confer upon the Employee any right to continue in the employment of the Company or any subsidiary or interfere with or limit in any way the right of the Company or any subsidiary to terminate the Employee’s employment at any time, subject, however, to the provisions of any agreement of employment between the Company or any subsidiary and the Employee. The Employee acknowledges that a termination of his or her employment could occur at a time before which the Release Date occurs, resulting in the forfeiture of the RSUs by the Employee, unless otherwise provided herein. In such event, the Employee will not be able to realize the value of the property that underlies the RSUs nor will the Employee be entitled to any compensation on account of such value.

---

14. Interpretation by Committee. The Employee agrees that any dispute or disagreement which may arise in connection with this Agreement shall be resolved by the Committee, in its sole discretion, and that any interpretation by the Committee of the terms of this Agreement or the Plan and any determination made by the Committee under this Agreement or the Plan may be made in the sole discretion of the Committee and shall be final, binding, and conclusive. Any such determination need not be uniform and may be made differently among Employees awarded RSUs.

15. Clawback. If and to the extent the Committee deems it appropriate for such payment to be made, each Covered Employee shall pay the Company an amount equal to the Excess Compensation. "Covered Employee" means a current or former employee of the Company or a subsidiary of the Company who was a Section 16 Filer at an Affected Date regardless of whether such employee ceased to be a Section 16 Filer thereafter. "Section 16 Filer" is a person who is required to file reports under Section 16(a) of the 1934 Act, as amended, as such requirement to so file is in effect at each Affected Date. "Affected Date" means (1) each Release Date on which, had a Financial Restatement that was made after such Release Date been in effect at such Release Date, the number of shares of Company equity delivered in settlement on account of the vesting of an equity award would have been lower, and (2) each Payment Date on which, had a Financial Restatement that was made after such Payment Date been in effect at such Payment Date, the amount of cash paid on account of incentive compensation would have been lower. "Release Date" for purposes of this Paragraph 15 only means the date on which an award of Company equity vests. "Payment Date" means the date on which cash incentive compensation is paid. "Excess Compensation" means (i) the difference between the Income that was recognized by the Covered Employee on an Affected Date and the Income that would have been recognized had the financial restatement referred to in the definition of Affected Date then been in effect, except that such difference will be deemed to be zero for each Affected Date prior to the date on which Covered Employee was a Section 16 Filer, plus (ii) the value of any income tax deduction or credit to which the Covered Employee is entitled on account of the payment to the Company required by this Paragraph 15. "Income" means income determined for federal income tax purposes minus the amount of federal, state and local income taxes and, to the extent applicable, the employee portion of Social Security and Medicaid payroll taxes, payable on account of such income. The amount of federal, state and local income taxes and the value of any deduction or credit contemplated by clause (ii) of the second preceding sentence shall be computed by assuming that Income is taxed at the highest marginal rate, with such rate for any state and local income taxes appropriately adjusted to reflect the benefit of an itemized federal deduction for such taxes (if in the case of local taxes, such taxes are eligible for such a deduction), which adjustment shall be made by assuming that no reduction in such deduction on account of the Covered Employee's adjusted gross income applies. "Financial Restatement" means any accounting restatement due to material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement under the federal securities laws. The interpretation of the Policy and all computations under it shall be made by the Management Development Committee and shall not be reviewable or subject to challenge by any other person. This Clawback Policy may be amended in the discretion of the Management Development Committee.

---

16. Miscellaneous. (a) This Agreement shall be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Wisconsin applicable to contracts made and to be performed therein between residents thereof.

(b) The waiver by the Company of any provision of this Agreement shall not operate or be construed to be a subsequent waiver of the same provision or waiver of any other provision hereof.

(c) The RSUs shall be deemed to have been awarded pursuant to the Plan and the action of the Committee authorizing such awards; as a result, such awards are subject to the terms and conditions thereof. In the event of any conflict between the terms hereof and the provisions of the Plan or such authorization, the provisions of the Plan (to such extent) and/or such authorization shall prevail. Any and all terms used herein, unless specifically defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Plan. A copy of the Plan is available on request of the Employee made in writing or by e-mail to the Company's Secretary.

(d) Any notice, filing or delivery hereunder or with respect to RSUs shall be given to the Employee at either his usual work location or his home address as indicated in the records of the Company, and shall be given to the Committee or the Company at 250 East Kilbourn Avenue, Milwaukee 53202, Attention: Secretary. All such notices shall be given by first class mail, postage pre-paid, or by personal delivery.

(e) This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Company and its successors and assigns and shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Employee, the Beneficiary and the personal representative(s) and heirs of the Employee, except that the Employee may not transfer any RSUs or any interest in any RSUs.

(f) As a condition to the grant of the RSUs, the Employee must execute an agreement not to compete in the form provided to the Employee by the Company.

The end of Paragraph 16 is the end of the Incorporated Terms. The remainder of the Agreement is contained in the Base Instrument.

---

At the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on May 5, 2011, the Company's shareholders approved the MGIC Investment Corporation 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan"), including a structure and list of performance goals (the "listed goals") for an annual bonus plan for the Company's named executive officers, or NEOs (these officers are the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the next three highest paid executive officers) which conditions bonuses on satisfaction of one or more of the performance goals on that list (a bonus plan of this type is "a 162(m) Bonus Plan"). A copy of the 2011 Plan is included as Appendix B to our Proxy Statement filed on March 31, 2011.

The performance goal for our 162(m) Bonus Plan adopted by the Management Development, Nominating and Governance Committee of the Company's Board of Directors (the "Committee") for 2015 requires the sum of the loss ratio and the expense ratio for MGIC's primary new insurance written for that year to be less than 40%. The loss ratio ("Loss Ratio") is calculated as direct incurred losses in 2015 for the Company's 2015 primary new insurance written, divided by direct premiums earned in 2015 on that business (incurred losses exclude the effect of losses incurred on notices of default that have not yet been reported to us, which is commonly known as "IBNR"), and the expense ratio ("Expense Ratio") is calculated as the expenses of the Company's insurance operations in 2015 divided by the Company's net premiums written in 2015.

If this goal is met, the maximum bonuses provided in the 2011 Plan shall be paid unless the bonus determined by application of a Bonus Criteria Template provides for a lower bonus, in which event such lower bonus shall be the bonus to be paid. The Bonus Criteria Template provides that 75% of the bonus is determined by the extent to which financial performance goals have been met, and 25% by the extent to which business performance objectives have been met.

Threshold, target and maximum performance achievement levels have been established for each financial performance goal and each is assigned a percentage weight, with the weight of all the performance goals totaling 100%. Based on the actual achievement level and the weight assigned to each financial performance goal, a weighted percentage score for the goal is determined. Each business performance objective is assigned a percentage weight, with the weight of all such objectives totaling 100%. The Committee's evaluation of actual performance against the business performance objective results in a weighted percentage score for each objective. The sum of the weighted financial performance goal scores is weighted 75% and the sum of the weighted business performance goal scores is weighted 25%. An NEO's bonus is the maximum bonus for the NEO multiplied by the sum of the weighted percentage scores, except that the Committee has discretion to reduce the weighted percentage score sum by up to 20 percentage points and to increase it by up to 10 percentage points.

The individual financial performance goals, as generally described, and their weightings are: pre-tax diluted EPS (30%); pre-tax return on beginning shareholders' equity (25%); New Insurance Written (15%); Loss Ratio (15%); and Expense Ratio (15%). The subjects addressed by the individual business performance objectives, each of which is weighted 25%, are: capital position; business mix; succession planning; and regulatory and legislative.

---

MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION  
DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN FOR NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS

As Amended and Restated Effective January 27, 2015

Section 1. Purpose

(a) The purpose of the MGIC Investment Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "Plan") is to promote the best interests of MGIC Investment Corporation, a Wisconsin corporation (together with any successor thereto, the "Company"), and its shareholders by providing a means to attract and retain directors of the highest capabilities who are not employees of the Company or of any Affiliate (as defined below) through establishing a mechanism for annual grants of share units to the Company's Non-Employee Directors and to provide such directors with an opportunity to defer all or any portion of their compensation for services as a member of the Board of Directors of the Company (the "Board") that would otherwise be paid currently for payment upon death, disability, termination of services or a designated distribution date.

(b) Effective as of January 1, 2005, the Plan is divided into two components. The Plan, as in effect on October 3, 2004 (the "Predecessor Plan"), shall govern Share Accounts and Interest-Bearing Accounts as of December 31, 2004, including subsequent net changes in value and net earnings of such Accounts. The Predecessor Plan governs all amounts considered by law to be deferred under the Plan prior to January 1, 2005, and not subject to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). If the Predecessor Plan is materially modified, within the meaning of Code Section 409A and the guidance thereunder, after October 3, 2004, the exemption from regulation by Code Section 409A may be lost.

(c) The Plan, originally effective as of January 1, 2005 and governing all amounts considered by law to be deferred on or after January 1, 2005, was amended and restated effective January 1, 2012, and is further amended and restated effective December 17, 2014, as set forth herein.

Section 2. Definitions

As used in the Plan, the following terms shall have the respective meanings set forth below:

(a) "Administrator" shall mean the Compensation Committee.

(b) "Affiliate" shall mean any entity that, directly or through one or more intermediaries, is controlled by, controls, or is under common control with, the Company.

(c) "Annual Grant" is defined in Section 4(a) hereof.

(d) "Annual Grant Election" is defined in Section 4(c) hereof.

(e) "Annual Grant Share Units" is defined in Section 4(a) hereof.

(f) "Change in Control" is defined in the Annex attached hereto.

(g) "Commission" shall mean the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any successor agency.

(h) "Committee Action" is defined in Section 4(b) hereof.

(i) "Common Stock" shall mean the common stock, \$1.00 par value, of the Company.

(j) "Company" is defined in Section 1 hereof.

(k) "Compensation" shall mean those fees to which Non-Employee Directors are entitled for services rendered on the Board of Directors of the Company or any subsidiary or any committee of such Board or subsidiary, including attendance fees, fees for acting as committee chair or member, as well as annual retainer fees, but excluding the Annual Grant.

---

(l) "Compensation Committee" shall mean the Management Development, Nominating and Governance Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company or, if such committee shall cease to have oversight responsibility for the compensation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and other members of senior management, the committee of the of Board of Directors of the Company that succeeds the Management Development, Nominating and Governance Committee with respect to such oversight.

(m) "Disability" shall mean disability as set forth in Code Section 409A(a)(2)(C)(i).

(n) "Distribution Date" shall mean the first of the month following the earliest to occur of the following:

(i) The Non-Employee Director's death.

(ii) The Non-Employee Director's Disability.

(iii) The termination of the Non-Employee Director's service as a member of the Board of Directors of the Company, whether by retirement or otherwise, provided the termination of service is a good-faith and complete termination of the relationship with the Company in accordance with Treasury Regulation 1.409A-1(h), which is incorporated herein by this reference.

(iv) The date (if any) specified by the Non-Employee Director in accordance with Section 10 hereof.

(o) "Exchange Act" shall mean the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended from time to time.

(p) "Interest-Bearing Account" is defined in Section 8 hereof.

(q) "Non-Employee Director" is defined in Section 5 hereof.

(r) "Notice" is defined in Section 6(a) hereof.

(s) "Plan" is defined in Section 1 hereof.

(t) "Plan Year" shall mean the calendar fiscal year of the Company.

(u) "Share Account" is defined in Section 7(a) hereof.

### Section 3. Administration

(a) The Plan shall be administered by the Administrator. Subject to the terms of the Plan and applicable law, the Administrator shall have full power and authority to interpret the Plan, to prescribe, amend or rescind rules and regulations relating to it and to make all other determinations necessary or advisable for the administration of the Plan. The Plan shall be construed so that transactions under the Plan will be exempt from Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act. Unless otherwise expressly provided in the Plan, all determinations, interpretations and other decisions by the Administrator shall be final, conclusive and binding on all persons.

(b) The Plan is intended to comply with the provisions of Code Section 409A. The Company does not guarantee the tax treatment or tax consequences associated with any payment or benefit, including but not limited to consequences related to Code Section 409A. To the fullest possible extent permissible, the terms of this Plan shall be interpreted in a manner which avoids violation of Code Section 409A.

#### Section 4. Annual Grant of Share Units

(a) *Annual Grants.* Each year, beginning in 2009, each Non-Employee Director shall receive a grant (an “Annual Grant”) of share units, but subject to the approval of the Annual Grant by the Compensation Committee. Each Annual Grant shall be made on a date and valued in an amount designated by the Compensation Committee. For each Annual Grant, the number of share units credited to each Non-Employee Director’s Share Account shall equal (i) the value of the Annual Grant divided by (ii) the closing price per share of the Common Stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on (A) the effective date, for Annual Grants for which the action of the Compensation Committee approving the Annual Grant (the “Committee Action”) specifies an effective date, or (B) the date of the Annual Grant, for all other Annual Grants. The share units awarded pursuant to this Section 4 shall be referred to “Annual Grant Share Units.” This Section 4 details the terms of the Plan as applied to Annual Grants made on or after December 17, 2014. The vesting and distribution rules applicable to Annual Grants made prior to December 17, 2014 shall be governed by the terms of the Plan, the Committee Action and the applicable elections made by Non-Employee Directors under the terms of the Plan as in effect at the time the Annual Grant was made.

(b) *Vesting of Annual Grant Share Units.* Annual Grant Share Units granted to a Non-Employee Director shall vest as provided in the Committee Action, including immediate vesting. If a Non-Employee Director ceases to be a director of the Company, and such termination occurs prior to the vesting date established in the Committee Action and if the Non-Employee Director is not otherwise vested in accordance with the vesting rules set forth in this Section 4(b), the unvested Annual Grant Share Units shall be forfeited by the Non-Employee Director unless the forfeiture is waived by the Compensation Committee after considering the implications of such waiver under Section 409A of the Code.

(i) *Default Vesting Provisions.* Unless otherwise provided in the Committee Action, the Non-Employee Director will obtain a vested right to the Annual Grant Share Units if (i) the Non-Employee Director ceases to be a director of the Company on or after the vesting date specified in the Committee Action, or (ii) the Non-Employee Director ceases to be a director of the Company prior to the vesting date specified in the Committee Action on account of death, Disability or retirement.

(ii) *Retirement.* “Retirement” means a Non-Employee Director’s termination of service as a director of the Company, if (A) the Non-Employee Director at the time of termination was ineligible for continued service as a director under the Company’s retirement policy; or (B) the Non-Employee Director had served as a director of the Company for at least two years (except that such two-year period shall not apply to a retirement that occurs after a Change in Control) and such termination is (I) due to the Non-Employee Director’s taking a position with or providing services to a governmental, charitable or educational institution whose policies prohibit continued service on the Board of Directors of the Company; (II) due to the fact that continued service as a director would be a violation of law; or (III) not due to the voluntary resignation or refusal to stand for reelection by the Non-Employee Director. It is understood that a termination of service as a director as a result of (x) failure to get a Majority Vote, as defined in the Company’s Articles of Incorporation, or (y) any requirement under the Company’s Corporate Governance Guidelines to offer to resign, shall be described within clause (III) of the immediately preceding sentence.

(c) *Annual Grant Elections.* Each Non-Employee Director shall elect, within the date or dates set forth in this Section 4(c) or within such other date or dates as may be set forth in the Committee Action and that are consistent with the requirements of Code Section 409A, the date or dates upon which vested Annual Grant Share Units shall be distributed. Such election shall be made by written notice to the Company in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit A (“Annual Grant Election”) and as otherwise provided for in the applicable Committee Action.

(i) *Initial Annual Grant Election.* In the case of a Non-Employee Director who becomes a participant in the Plan for the first time (and who has not previously been eligible for participation in another deferred compensation plan that is required to be aggregated with this Plan for purposes of Code Section 409A) and who completes and delivers his or her initial Annual Grant Election within 30 days of becoming eligible to participate in the Plan, the Non-Employee Director’s Annual Grant Election shall become effective with respect to Annual Grant Share Units that are attributable to services to be performed after the date the election is filed with the Administrator.

(ii) *Carryover of Annual Grant Election from Year to Year.* A Non-Employee Director's initial Annual Grant Election shall continue in effect, and shall be the Non-Employee Director's Annual Grant Election with respect to Annual Grants made in Plan Years after the year for which the initial election was first effective, unless the Non-Employee Director has timely replaced such election with a revised Annual Grant Election that has become effective. Similarly, a Non-Employee Director's revised Annual Grant Election, once effective with respect to a Plan Year, shall continue in effect, and shall be the Non-Employee Director's Annual Grant Election with respect to Annual Grants made in Plan Years after the year for which the revised election was first effective, unless the Non-Employee Director has timely replaced such election with a further revised Annual Grant Election that has become effective.

(iii) *Revised Annual Grant Elections.* Except as provided in subparagraph (i) above with respect to a Non-Employee Director's election following initial eligibility, a Non-Employee Director's Annual Grant Election (including a carryover of a prior Annual Grant Election pursuant to subparagraph (ii) above) becomes effective, and is irrevocable, with respect to any Plan Year at the close of the preceding Plan Year. Once effective with respect to a Plan Year, the Annual Grant Election may not be revoked or modified with respect to Annual Grants made during the Plan Year for which the Annual Grant Election is effective. A Non-Employee Director may modify his or her then current Annual Grant Election by filing a new Annual Grant Election, properly completed and signed, with the Administrator. However, the revised election will not become effective until the Plan Year following the Plan Year during which the revised election is received and accepted by the Administrator, and the revised Annual Grant Election, once effective, shall remain in effect until again modified by a further revised Annual Grant Election that has become effective.

## Section 5. Eligibility

Any member of the Company's Board of Directors who is not an employee of the Company or of any Affiliate (a "Non-Employee Director") is eligible to participate in the Plan. For these purposes, service as a Director does not in itself constitute employment.

## Section 6. Election to Defer Compensation

(a) Each Non-Employee Director may elect to defer all or any portion of his or her Compensation for services rendered during a Plan Year commencing on the first day of the Plan Year following the date such Non-Employee Director's deferral election is delivered to the Administrator. Any such deferral election shall be made by written notice to the Company in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit B ("Notice"). In the Notice, the Non-Employee Director shall indicate whether the amount to be deferred shall be (i) converted into share units and credited to a Share Account as provided in Section 7 hereof, (ii) credited to an Interest-Bearing Account as provided in Section 8 hereof, or (iii) credited to a combination of both accounts.

(b) A deferral election (including, without limitation, the amount deferred as specified in each Non-Employee Director's Notice) is irrevocable and will remain in effect as to all future Plan Years and deferred amounts until a Non-Employee Director delivers an amended Notice to the Administrator and such new irrevocable election or revocation becomes effective. Any amended Notice shall be effective with respect to Compensation earned on and after the first day of the Plan Year beginning after the date the amended Notice is delivered to the Administrator.

(c) The most recent Notice provided under this Plan, or the Predecessor Plan prior to January 1, 2005, shall be a Non-Employee Director's initial Notice under this Plan.

(d) If a newly-elected Non-Employee Director or a member of the Board who becomes a Non-Employee Director completes his or her initial Notice not later than thirty (30) days after the date of his or her election as Director or his or her becoming a Non-Employee Director, respectively, such Notice shall be effective as to Compensation earned for services performed on and after the first day of the first Plan Year quarter beginning after such Notice is delivered to the Administrator.

## Section 7. Bookkeeping Share Unit Accounts

(a) The Company shall establish and maintain a bookkeeping share unit account (“Share Account”) for each Non-Employee Director participating in the Plan. The Share Account shall reflect all entries required to be made pursuant to (i) Annual Grants pursuant to Section 4, (ii) except as set forth in Section 8(a), the Non-Employee Director’s Notice and amended Notices, if any, and (iii) this Plan. Non-Employee Directors shall have no rights as stockholders of the Company with respect to share units credited to their Share Accounts.

(b) At the end of each Plan Year quarter, other than any quarter during the period January 1, 2009 – December 31, 2014, a Non-Employee Director’s Share Account shall be credited with a number of share units equal to (i) the portion of the Non-Employee Director’s Compensation for such quarter designated in his or her then effective Notice to be deferred and converted into share units divided by (ii) the closing price per share of the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the last trading day of such quarter.

(c) Whenever cash dividends or other distributions are paid by the Company on its outstanding Common Stock, there shall be credited to each Non-Employee Director’s Share Account additional share units equal to (i) the aggregate dividend or distribution that would be payable on a number of outstanding shares of Common Stock equal to the number of share units in such Non-Employee Director’s Share Account on the record date for the dividend divided by (ii) the closing price per share of the Common Stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on the last trading day immediately preceding the date of payment of the dividend.

(d) The number of share units credited to each Non-Employee Director’s Share Account shall be adjusted as appropriate in the event of any changes in the outstanding Common Stock by reason of any stock dividend, stock split, recapitalization, merger, consolidation, combination, exchange of stock or other similar corporate change.

## Section 8. Interest-Bearing Accounts

(a) The Company shall establish and maintain a bookkeeping interest-bearing account (“Interest-Bearing Account”) for each Non-Employee Director participating in the Plan. The Interest-Bearing Account shall reflect all entries required to be made pursuant to the Non-Employee Director’s Notice and amended Notices, if any, and pursuant to this Plan. Notwithstanding any Notice and amended Notices, if any, effective after December 31, 2008 and before December 17, 2014 that include an election to have amounts credited to a Share Account, all such amounts shall be made credited to such Non-Employee Director’s Interest-Bearing Account.

(b) At the end of each Plan Year quarter, a Non-Employee Director’s Interest-Bearing Account shall be credited with the portion of the Non-Employee Director’s Compensation for such quarter designated in his or her then effective Notice to be deferred and credited to his or her Interest-Bearing Account. A Non-Employee Director’s Interest-Bearing Account balance at the beginning of each Plan Year quarter shall also be credited at the end of such quarter with interest for the quarter at a rate equal to the Six Month U.S. Treasury Bill Rate determined at the closest preceding January 1 or July 1 of each year.

## Section 9. Account Transfer

A Non-Employee Director may not transfer or convert a Share Account to an Interest-Bearing Account or vice versa.

## Section 10. Distributions

(a) A Non-Employee Director may designate on his or her initial Notice a Distribution Date for the commencement of payment of amounts credited to his or her Share Account and Interest-Bearing Account; provided, however, that amounts associated with Annual Grant Share Units shall be distributed in accordance with the applicable Annual Grant Election(s). All Distribution Date elections made by Non-Employee Directors are irrevocable; provided, however, that each Non-Employee Director who has an initial Notice on file with the Plan before January 1, 2009, may, not later than December 31, 2008, designate a Distribution Date that shall supersede any previous designation of a Distribution Date. Such designation shall be irrevocable effective January 1, 2009.

(b) A Non-Employee Director shall direct in his or her initial Notice whether distributions of the amount(s) accumulated in his or her Share Account (other than amounts associated with Annual Grant Share Units, which shall be distributed in accordance with the applicable Annual Grant Election(s)) and/or Interest-Bearing Account are to be made in (i) a lump sum, payable on the first business day of the calendar month following the applicable Distribution Date, or (ii) up to ten (10) annual installments commencing on the first business day of the calendar month following the applicable Distribution Date and continuing on the appropriate number of consecutive anniversaries of such date. If a Non-Employee Director receives distributions on an installment basis, whether pursuant to a Notice or an Annual Grant Election, amounts remaining in his or her Share Account and/or Interest-Bearing Account before payment in full is completed shall continue to be credited, as appropriate, with (i) additional share units in the event cash dividends are paid by the Company and shall be appropriately adjusted in the event of any changes in the outstanding Common Stock in accordance with Sections 7(c) and 7(d), respectively, hereof and/or (ii) interest in accordance with Section 8(b) hereof. All designations of a form of payment shall be irrevocable; provided, however, that each Non-Employee Director who has an initial Notice on file with the Plan before January 1, 2009, may, not later than December 31, 2008, designate a form of payment that shall supersede any previous designation of a form of payment. Such designation shall be irrevocable effective January 1, 2009.

(c) All distributions made pursuant to the Plan shall be made in cash and, if appropriate, will be deemed to be made from the Share Accounts and the Interest-Bearing Accounts pro rata, excluding, for purposes of such pro rata calculations, the portion of the Share Accounts attributable to Annual Grants. When distributions are made from a Share Account, the Company shall pay on the applicable date an amount in cash equal to the average of the closing price per share of the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange for the five (5) consecutive trading days immediately preceding the date of distribution multiplied by the number of share units (i.e., shares of Common Stock since each unit represents one share) that would be otherwise distributable.

(d) If the Distribution Date is the first day of the month following the Non-Employee Director's death or a fixed date which in fact occurs after the Non-Employee Director's death or if at the time of death the Non-Employee Director was receiving distributions in installments, the balance remaining in the Non-Employee Director's Share Account and/or Interest-Bearing Account shall be distributed to such beneficiary or beneficiaries as such Non-Employee Director shall have designated by an instrument in writing filed with the Company prior to the Non-Employee Director's death. All distributions to the Non-Employee Director's beneficiary or beneficiaries shall be in a lump sum and will be made as soon as practicable after the Non-Employee Director's death. In the absence of an effective beneficiary designation, the Non-Employee Director's Share Account and/or Interest-Bearing Account balance(s) shall be distributed to his or her estate.

#### Section 11. Amendments and Termination.

The Board of Directors of the Company hereby reserves the right to amend this Plan from time to time and to terminate this Plan at any time without the consent of the Non-Employee Directors or their beneficiaries; provided, however, that no amendment or termination may reduce any Share Account and/or Interest-Bearing Account balance accrued on behalf of a Non-Employee Director based on deferrals already made, or divest any Non-Employee Director of rights to which he or she would have been entitled if the Plan had been terminated immediately prior to the effective date of such amendment.

#### Section 12. General.

(a) Assignment. Neither the Non-Employee Director, nor his or her beneficiary, nor his or her estate shall have any right or power to transfer, assign, pledge, encumber or otherwise dispose of any rights hereunder and any such attempt to assign, transfer, pledge or other conveyance shall not be recognized by the Company. The rights of a Non-Employee Director hereunder are exercisable during the Non-Employee Director's lifetime only by him or her or his or her guardian or legal representative.

(b) Non-Employee Directors' Rights Unsecured. The right of any Non-Employee Director or his or her beneficiary to receive a distribution hereunder shall be an unsecured claim against the general assets of the Company, and neither the Non-Employee Director nor any beneficiary shall have any right, title or interest in or against any amount credited to his or her Share Account, his or her Interest-Bearing Account or any other specific assets of the Company prior to the payment thereof to such person.

(c) Funding. This Plan is unfunded and is maintained by the Company for the purpose of providing deferred compensation to Non-Employee Directors. Nothing contained in this Plan and no action taken pursuant to its terms shall create or be construed to create a trust of any kind, or a fiduciary relationship between the Company and any Non-Employee Director or his or her beneficiary, or any other person. The Company may authorize the creation of a trust or other arrangement to assist the Company in meeting the obligations created under the Plan. Any liability to any person with respect to the Plan shall be based solely upon any contractual obligations that may be created pursuant to the Plan. No obligation of the Company hereunder shall be deemed to be secured by any pledge of, or other encumbrance on, any property of the Company.

(d) Withholding for Taxes. No later than the date as of which an amount first becomes includable in the gross income of the Non-Employee Director for Federal income tax purposes with respect to any participation under the Plan, the Non-Employee Director shall pay to the Company, or make arrangements satisfactory to the Company regarding the payment of, any Federal, state, local or foreign taxes of any kind required by law to be withheld with respect to such amount.

(e) Costs of Administration. Costs of administration of the Plan will be paid by the Company.

(f) Benefit Statements. The Company shall provide statements with respect to Share Accounts and/or Interest-Bearing Accounts to participating Non-Employee Directors on a periodic basis, but not less than annually, in such form and at such time as it deems appropriate.

(g) Governing Law. The validity, construction, and effect of the Plan and any rules and regulations relating to the Plan shall be determined in accordance with the laws of the State of Wisconsin and applicable federal law.

(h) Severability. If any provision of the Plan is or becomes or is deemed to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any jurisdiction, or as to any person, or would disqualify the Plan under any law deemed applicable by the Administrator, such provision shall be construed or deemed amended to conform to applicable laws, or if it cannot be so construed or deemed amended without, in the determination of the Administrator, materially altering the intent of the Plan, such provision shall be stricken as to such jurisdiction or person and the remainder of the Plan shall remain in full force and effect.

(i) Headings. Headings are given to the Sections and subsections of the Plan solely as a convenience to facilitate reference. Such headings shall not be deemed in any way material or relevant to the construction or interpretation of the Plan or any provision thereof.

NOTICE OF ELECTION REGARDING ANNUAL GRANTS

The undersigned, being a Non-Employee Director of MGIC Investment Corporation (the "Company"), hereby makes this election pursuant to the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "Deferred Compensation Plan").

1. The undersigned elects to receive distributions related to his Annual Grants awarded as follows in (please check one):

(a) One lump-sum, payable on the tenth business day following the vesting date provided for in the applicable Committee Action, or if there is immediate vesting, on the first business day of the first month that is after the first anniversary of the Committee Action, except that if for any Annual Grant the Committee Action specifies an effective date for such Grant, no earlier than the first anniversary of such effective date unless otherwise provided by the Committee Action.

(b) In 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 (please circle one number) annual installments commencing on the first business day of the calendar month following the termination of the Non-Employee Director's service as a member of the Board of Directors of the Company, as contemplated by Section 2(n)(iii) of the Plan, and continuing on the appropriate number of consecutive anniversaries of such date.

(c) One lump-sum on \_\_\_\_\_, which may be no earlier than the date provided in (a), above.

2. Designation of Beneficiary with respect to Annual Grants.

Name and Address of Beneficiary:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

All capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Deferred Compensation Plan.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

NOTICE OF ELECTION TO DEFER COMPENSATION UNDER MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN FOR NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS

The undersigned, being a Non-Employee Director of MGIC Investment Corporation (the "Company"), hereby elects to participate in the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "Deferred Compensation Plan") on the terms and conditions set forth in such Plan and pursuant to the specific instructions below:

1. Percentage of Directors' Compensation to be deferred for services rendered during all Plan Years beginning after the date of this Notice. A newly eligible Director may make a mid-year election within 30 days of initial eligibility with respect to Fees earned after the date the election is provided to the Corporation. Please list percentage of fees you wish to defer:

\_\_\_% Annual Retainer Fee    \_\_\_% Board and Committee Fees

2. Percentage of Compensation deferred to be credited to Interest-Bearing Account and/or converted into share units (and credited to Share Account). Please specify percentage:

\_\_\_% Interest-Bearing Account \_\_\_% Share Units (Share Account)

3. Method by which Interest-Bearing Account and/or Share Account balance(s) shall be paid. Please check one:

One lump-sum, payable on first business day of the calendar month following the applicable Distribution Date

In 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 (please circle one number) annual installments commencing on the first business day of the calendar month following the applicable Distribution Date and continuing on the appropriate number of consecutive anniversaries of such date.

4. Optional designation of a Distribution Date other than the first to occur of death, Disability or termination of service as a member of the Board of Directors of the Company, whether by retirement or otherwise. Please specify such other Distribution Date if you desire:

Other fixed Distribution Date: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Designation of Beneficiary under the Deferred Compensation Plan, if any.

Name and Address of Beneficiary:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

All capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Deferred Compensation Plan.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**DEFINITION OF “CHANGE IN CONTROL OF THE COMPANY”  
AND RELATED TERMS**

1 Change in Control of the Company. A “Change in Control of the Company” shall be deemed to have occurred if an event set forth in any one of the following paragraphs shall have occurred:

(i) any Person (other than (A) the Company or any of its subsidiaries, (B) a trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under any employee benefit plan of the Company or any of its subsidiaries, (C) an underwriter temporarily holding securities pursuant to an offering of such securities or (D) a corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by the shareholders of the Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of stock in the Company (“Excluded Persons”)) is or becomes the Beneficial Owner, directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company (not including in the securities beneficially owned by such Person any securities acquired directly from the Company or its Affiliates after July 22, 1999, pursuant to express authorization by the Board of Directors of the Company (the “Board”) that refers to this exception) representing more than 50% of the total fair market value of the stock of the Company or representing 50% or more of the total voting power of the stock of the Company; or

(ii) during any 12 consecutive month period, the following individuals cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the number of directors of the Company then serving: (A) individuals who, on July 22, 1999, constituted the Board and (B) any new director (other than a director whose initial assumption of office is in connection with an actual or threatened election contest, including but not limited to a consent solicitation, relating to the election of directors of the Company, as such terms are used in Rule 14a-11 of Regulation 14A under the Act) whose appointment or election by the Board or nomination for election by the Company’s shareholders was approved by a vote of at least a majority of the directors then still in office who either were directors on July 22, 1999, or whose initial appointment, election or nomination for election as a director which occurred after July 22, 1999 was approved by such vote of the directors then still in office at the time of such initial appointment, election or nomination who were themselves either directors on July 22, 1999 or initially appointed, elected or nominated by such majority vote as described above ad infinitum (collectively the “Continuing Directors”); provided, however, that individuals who are appointed to the Board pursuant to or in accordance with the terms of an agreement relating to a merger, consolidation, or share exchange involving the Company (or any direct or indirect subsidiary of the Company) shall not be Continuing Directors for purposes of this Plan until after such individuals are first nominated for election by a vote of at least a majority of the then Continuing Directors and are thereafter elected as directors by the shareholders of the Company at a meeting of shareholders held following consummation of such merger, consolidation, or share exchange; and, provided further, that in the event the failure of any such persons appointed to the Board to be Continuing Directors results in a Change in Control of the Company, the subsequent qualification of such persons as Continuing Directors shall not alter the fact that a Change in Control of the Company occurred; or

(iii) a merger, consolidation or share exchange of the Company with any other corporation is consummated or voting securities of the Company are issued in connection with a merger, consolidation or share exchange of the Company (or any direct or indirect subsidiary of the Company) pursuant to applicable stock exchange requirements, other than (A) a merger, consolidation or share exchange which would result in the voting securities of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors outstanding immediately prior to such merger, consolidation or share exchange continuing to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into voting securities of the surviving entity or any parent thereof) at least 50% of the combined voting power of the voting securities of the Company or such surviving entity or any parent thereof entitled to vote generally in the election of directors of such entity or parent outstanding immediately after such merger, consolidation or share exchange, or (B) a merger, consolidation or share exchange effected to implement a recapitalization of the Company (or similar transaction) in which no Person (other than an Excluded Person) is or becomes the Beneficial Owner, directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company (not including in the securities beneficially owned by such Person any securities acquired directly from the Company or its Affiliates after July 22, 1999, pursuant to express authorization by the Board that refers to this exception) representing at least 50% of the combined voting power of the Company’s then outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors; or

---

(iv) the sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets to a Person (in one transaction or a series of related transactions within any period of 12 consecutive months), other than a sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets to (a) a shareholder of the Company (immediately before the asset transfer) in exchange for or with respect to its stock; (b) an entity, 50% or more of the total value or voting power of which is owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company; (c) a Person that owns, directly or indirectly, 50% or more of the total value or voting power of all of the outstanding stock of the Company; or (d) an entity, at least 50% of the total value or voting power of which is owned, directly or indirectly, by a Person that owns, directly or indirectly, 50% or more of the total value or voting power of all the outstanding voting stock of the Company. It is understood that in no event shall a sale or disposition of assets be considered to be a sale of substantially all of the assets unless the assets sold or disposed of have a total gross fair market value of at least 40% of the total gross fair market value of all of the Company's assets immediately prior to such sale or disposition.

2 Related Definitions. For purposes of this Annex, the following terms, when capitalized, shall have the following meanings:

(i) Act. The term "Act" means the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

(ii) Affiliate and Associate. The terms "Affiliate" and "Associate" shall have the respective meanings ascribed to such terms in Rule 12b-2 of the General Rules and Regulations under the Act.

(iii) Beneficial Owner. A Person shall be deemed to be the "Beneficial Owner" of any securities:

(a) which such Person or any of such Person's Affiliates or Associates has the right to acquire (whether such right is exercisable immediately or only after the passage of time) pursuant to any agreement, arrangement or understanding, or upon the exercise of conversion rights, exchange rights, rights, warrants or options, or otherwise; *provided, however*, that a Person shall not be deemed the Beneficial Owner of, or to beneficially own, (A) securities tendered pursuant to a tender or exchange offer made by or on behalf of such Person or any of such Person's Affiliates or Associates until such tendered securities are accepted for purchase, or (B) securities issuable upon exercise of Rights issued pursuant to the terms of the Company's Rights Agreement, dated as of July 22, 1999, between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association (as successor Rights Agent), as amended from time to time (or any successor to such Rights Agreement), at any time before the issuance of such securities;

(b) which such Person or any of such Person's Affiliates or Associates, directly or indirectly, has the right to vote or dispose of or has "beneficial ownership" of (as determined pursuant to Rule 13d-3 of the General Rules and Regulations under the Act), including pursuant to any agreement, arrangement or understanding; *provided, however*, that a Person shall not be deemed the Beneficial Owner of, or to beneficially own, any security under this Subsection 2(b) as a result of an agreement, arrangement or understanding to vote such security if the agreement, arrangement or understanding: (A) arises solely from a revocable proxy or consent given to such Person in response to a public proxy or consent solicitation made pursuant to, and in accordance with, the applicable rules and regulations under the Act and (B) is not also then reportable on a Schedule 13D under the Act (or any comparable or successor report); or

(c) which are beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, by any other Person with which such Person or any of such Person's Affiliates or Associates has any agreement, arrangement or understanding for the purpose of acquiring, holding, voting (except pursuant to a revocable proxy as described in Subsection 2(b) above) or disposing of any voting securities of the Company.

(iv) Person. The term "Person" shall mean any individual, firm, partnership, corporation or other entity, including any successor (by merger or otherwise) of such entity, or a group of any of the foregoing acting in concert.

(v) Stock. The term "stock" shall have the meaning contemplated by Treasury Regulation 1.409A-1 et seq.

---

**KEY EXECUTIVE EMPLOYMENT AND SEVERANCE AGREEMENT**

**THIS AGREEMENT** is made and entered into as of the \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, by and between MGIC Investment Corporation, a Wisconsin corporation (hereinafter referred to as the “Company”), and the person whose name appears on the signature page hereof (hereinafter referred to as “Executive”).

**WITNESSETH**

**WHEREAS**, the Executive is employed by the Company and/or a subsidiary of the Company (hereinafter referred to collectively as the “Employer”) in a key executive capacity and the Executive’s services are valuable to the conduct of the business of the Company;

**WHEREAS**, the Company desires to continue to attract and retain dedicated and skilled management employees in a period of actual and potential industry consolidation and changes in regulatory barriers regarding the ownership of insurance companies, consistent with achieving a transaction in the best interests of its shareholders in any change in control of the Company;

**WHEREAS**, the Company recognizes that circumstances may arise in which a change in control of the Company occurs, through acquisition or otherwise, thereby causing a potential conflict of interest between the Company’s needs for the Executive to remain focused on the Company’s business and for the necessary continuity in management prior to and following a change in control, and the Executive’s reasonable personal concerns regarding future employment with the Employer and economic protection in the event of loss of employment as a consequence of a change in control;

**WHEREAS**, the Company and the Executive are desirous that any proposal for a change in control or acquisition of the Company will be considered by the Executive objectively and with reference only to the best interests of the Company and its shareholders;

**WHEREAS**, the Executive will be in a better position to consider the Company’s best interests if the Executive is afforded reasonable economic security, as provided in this Agreement, against altered conditions of employment which could result from any such change in control or acquisition;

**WHEREAS**, the Executive possesses intimate knowledge of the business and affairs of the Company and has acquired certain confidential information and data with respect to the Company;

**WHEREAS**, the Company desires to insure, insofar as possible, that it will continue to have the benefit of the Executive’s services and to protect its confidential information and goodwill; and

**WHEREAS**, this Agreement consists of this instrument and the Incorporated Terms Dated As of \_\_\_\_\_ to Key Executive Employment and Severance Agreement (the “Incorporated Terms”), which although not attached to this instrument, are part of this Agreement and were provided to the Employee as indicated in Paragraph 1(b) below.

---

**NOW, THEREFORE**, in consideration of the foregoing and of the mutual covenants and agreements hereinafter set forth, the parties hereto mutually covenant and agree as follows:

1. Definition of KEESA Multiplier; Incorporated Terms.

(a) The term "Multiplier" means two less, if the Termination Date occurs more than three months after the date of Change in Control of the Company, the portion of the Employment Period that has elapsed at the Termination Date (measured by the number of months that have elapsed from the date of the Change in Control of the Company to the Termination Date divided by 33.

(b) The Incorporated Terms are incorporated in this instrument with the same effect as if they were physically set forth in this instrument. The Incorporated Terms and this instrument constitute a single agreement which is referred to as "this Agreement." The terms "herein," "hereof," "above" and similar terms used in this Agreement refer to this Agreement as a whole. The Incorporated Terms were provided to the Executive along with this instrument. The Company is hereby advising the Executive to print and retain a copy of the Incorporated Terms. The Executive agrees if there is any difference between the text of the Incorporated Terms obtained as indicated above and the text of the Incorporated Terms retained by the Company's Secretary, the text of the copy retained by the Secretary will control.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, the parties have executed this Agreement as of the day and year first above written.

**MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name:  
Title:

**EXECUTIVE:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

## INCORPORATED TERMS

DATED AS OF \_\_\_\_\_

TO

**KEY EXECUTIVE EMPLOYMENT AND SEVERANCE AGREEMENT**

The following are the “Incorporated Terms” referred to in the instrument entitled “Key Executive Employment and Severance Agreement” which refers to these Incorporated Terms and which has been signed by the Company and the Employee (the “Base Instrument”). The Incorporated Terms and the Base Instrument constitute a single agreement and that agreement consists of the Base Instrument and the Incorporated Terms. The Incorporated Terms dovetail with the Base Instrument; because the last section of the Base Instrument is Section 1, the Incorporated Terms begin with Section 2.

## 2. Definitions.

(a) 409A Affiliate. Each entity that is required to be included in the Company’s controlled group of corporations within the meaning of Section 414(b) of the Code, or that is under common control with the Company within the meaning of Section 414(c) of the Code; *provided, however*, that the phrase “at least 50%” shall be used in place of the phrase “at least 80%” each place it appears therein or in the regulations thereunder.

(b) Act. The Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

(c) Affiliate and Associate. The terms “Affiliate” and “Associate” shall have the respective meanings ascribed to such terms in Rule 12b-2 of the General Rules and Regulations under the Act.

(d) Beneficial Owner. A Person shall be deemed to be the “Beneficial Owner” of any securities:

(i) which such Person or any of such Person’s Affiliates or Associates has the right to acquire (whether such right is exercisable immediately or only after the passage of time) pursuant to any agreement, arrangement or understanding, or upon the exercise of conversion rights, exchange rights, rights, warrants or options, or otherwise; *provided, however*, that a Person shall not be deemed the Beneficial Owner of, or to beneficially own, (A) securities tendered pursuant to a tender or exchange offer made by or on behalf of such Person or any of such Person’s Affiliates or Associates until such tendered securities are accepted for purchase, or (B) securities issuable upon exercise of Rights issued pursuant to the terms of the Company’s Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2012, between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as amended from time to time (or any successor to such Rights Agreement), at any time before the issuance of such securities;

---

(ii) which such Person or any of such Person's Affiliates or Associates, directly or indirectly, has the right to vote or dispose of or has "beneficial ownership" of (as determined pursuant to Rule 13d-3 of the General Rules and Regulations under the Act), including pursuant to any agreement, arrangement or understanding; *provided, however*, that a Person shall not be deemed the Beneficial Owner of, or to beneficially own, any security under this Subsection 2(d) as a result of an agreement, arrangement or understanding to vote such security if the agreement, arrangement or understanding: (A) arises solely from a revocable proxy or consent given to such Person in response to a public proxy or consent solicitation made pursuant to, and in accordance with, the applicable rules and regulations under the Act and (B) is not also then reportable on a Schedule 13D under the Act (or any comparable or successor report); or

(iii) which are beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, by any other Person with which such Person or any of such Person's Affiliates or Associates has any agreement, arrangement or understanding for the purpose of acquiring, holding, voting (except pursuant to a revocable proxy as described in Subsection 2(d)(ii) above) or disposing of any voting securities of the Company.

(e) Cause. "Cause" for termination by the Employer of the Executive's employment shall, for purposes of this Agreement, be limited to (i) the engaging by the Executive in intentional conduct not taken in good faith which has caused demonstrable and serious financial injury to the Employer, as evidenced by a determination in a binding and final judgment, order or decree of a court or administrative agency of competent jurisdiction, in effect after exhaustion or lapse of all rights of appeal, in an action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative; (ii) conviction of a felony (as evidenced by binding and final judgment, order or decree of a court of competent jurisdiction, in effect after exhaustion of all rights of appeal) which substantially impairs the Executive's ability to perform his duties or responsibilities; and (iii) continuing willful and unreasonable refusal by the Executive to perform the Executive's duties or responsibilities (unless significantly changed without the Executive's consent)

(f) Change in Control of the Company. The occurrence of any one of the following events:

(i) any Person (other than (A) the Company or any of its subsidiaries, (B) a trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under any employee benefit plan of the Company or any of its subsidiaries, (C) an underwriter temporarily holding securities pursuant to an offering of such securities or (D) a corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by the shareholders of the Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of stock in the Company ("Excluded Persons")) is or becomes the Beneficial Owner, directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company (not including in the securities beneficially owned by such Person any securities acquired directly from the Company or its Affiliates after January 1, 2011, pursuant to express authorization by the Board of Directors of the Company (the "Board") that refers to this exception) representing 50% or more of either the then outstanding shares of common stock of the Company or the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors; or

(ii) the following individuals cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the number of directors of the Company then serving: (A) individuals who, on January 1, 2011, constituted the Board and (B) any new director (other than a director whose initial assumption of office is in connection with an actual or threatened election contest, including but not limited to a consent solicitation, relating to the election of directors of the Company), whose appointment or election by the Board or nomination for election by the Company's shareholders was approved by a vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the directors then still in office who either were directors on January 1, 2011, or whose initial appointment, election or nomination for election as a director which occurred after January 1, 2011 was approved by such vote of the directors then still in office at the time of such initial appointment, election or nomination who were themselves either directors on January 1, 2011 or initially appointed, elected or nominated by such two-thirds (2/3) vote as described above ad infinitum (collectively the "Continuing Directors"); *provided, however*, that individuals who are appointed to the Board pursuant to or in accordance with the terms of an agreement relating to a merger, consolidation, or share exchange involving the Company (or any direct or indirect subsidiary of the Company) shall not be Continuing Directors for purposes of this Agreement until after such individuals are first nominated for election by a vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the then Continuing Directors and are thereafter elected as directors by the shareholders of the Company at a meeting of shareholders held following consummation of such merger, consolidation, or share exchange; and, *provided further*, that in the event the failure of any such persons appointed to the Board to be Continuing Directors results in a Change in Control of the Company, the subsequent qualification of such persons as Continuing Directors shall not alter the fact that a Change in Control of the Company occurred; or

(iii) a merger, consolidation or share exchange of the Company with any other corporation is consummated or voting securities of the Company are issued in connection with a merger, consolidation or share exchange of the Company (or any direct or indirect subsidiary of the Company), other than (A) a merger, consolidation or share exchange which would result in the voting securities of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors outstanding immediately prior to such merger, consolidation or share exchange continuing to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into voting securities of the surviving entity or any parent thereof) at least 50% of the combined voting power of the voting securities of the Company or such surviving entity or any parent thereof entitled to vote generally in the election of directors of such entity or parent outstanding immediately after such merger, consolidation or share exchange, or (B) a merger, consolidation or share exchange effected to implement a recapitalization of the Company (or similar transaction) in which no Person (other than an Excluded Person) is or becomes the Beneficial Owner, directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company (not including in the securities beneficially owned by such Person any securities acquired directly from the Company or its Affiliates after January 1, 2011, pursuant to express authorization by the Board that refers to this exception) representing at least 50% of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors; or

(iv) the sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets (in one transaction or a series of related transactions within any period of 24 consecutive months), other than a sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets to an entity of which at least 75% of the combined voting power of the voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors immediately after such sale are owned by Persons in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of the Company immediately prior to such sale.

(g) Code. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, including any amendments thereto or successor tax codes thereof.

(h) Company. Subject to Section 18(a) hereof, "Company" has the meaning given to it in the first paragraph of this Agreement.

(i) Compensation Committee. The Board of Directors of the Company, an appropriate committee thereof or a committee comprised of members of management of the Employer, in each case, in accordance with the Company's practice prior to the Change in Control of the Company with respect to executives of comparable position to the Executive.

(j) Covered Termination. Subject to Subsection 3(b) hereof, any Termination of Employment during the Employment Period where the Notice of Termination is delivered on, or the Termination Date is, any date prior to the end of the Employment Period.

(k) Effective Date. The date shown on the first page of the Base Agreement as the effective date on which this Agreement was entered into.

(l) Employment Period. Subject to Subsection 3(b) hereof, a period commencing on the date of a Change in Control of the Company, and ending at 11:59 p.m. Central Time on the third anniversary of such date.

(m) Employer. "Employer" has the meaning given to it in the second paragraph of this Agreement.

(n) Excess Parachute Payment. "Excess Parachute Payment" has the meaning assigned to it in Section 280G of the Code and shall be valued as provided therein. Present value for purposes of this Agreement shall be calculated in accordance with Section 280G(d)(4) of the Code and the regulations thereunder (or any successor provisions thereto).

(o) Good Reason. For purposes of this Agreement, the Executive shall have "Good Reason" for Termination of Employment in the event of:

(i) A material diminution in the Executive's annual base salary;

(ii) A material diminution in the Executive's authority, duties, or responsibilities;

(iii) A material diminution in the authority, duties, or responsibilities of the supervisor to whom the Executive is required to report, including a requirement that the Executive report to a corporate officer or employee instead of reporting directly to the Board of Directors of the Employer.

(iv) A material diminution in the budget over which the Executive retains authority.

(v) Any other action or inaction that constitutes a material breach by the Employer of this Agreement.

Good Reason shall not exist for a Termination of Employment unless the Executive provides notice to the Employer of the existence of the condition described in (i) through (v) above within a period not to exceed 90 days of the initial existence of the condition, upon which the Employer has thirty days during which it may remedy the condition and not be required to pay the Termination Payment.

(p) MGIC. Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation.

(q) Multiplier. The number specified in Section 1 to this Agreement.

(r) Parachute Payment. "Parachute Payment" has the meaning assigned to it in Section 280G of the Code and shall be valued as provided therein. Present value for purposes of this Agreement shall be calculated in accordance with Section 280G(d)(4) of the Code and the regulations thereunder (or any successor provisions thereto).

(s) Person. Any individual, firm, partnership, corporation or other entity, including any successor (by merger or otherwise) of such entity, or a group of any of the foregoing acting in concert.

(t) Prime. The rate of interest announced by U.S. Bank, National Association, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, from time to time as its prime or base lending rate, such rate to be determined on the Termination Date.

(u) Restricted Stock Award. A grant of shares of restricted stock or a grant of a right to receive shares of stock (or a cash payment based upon the fair market value of a share of stock) in the future.

(v) Section 409A Tax. Interest charges and taxes imposed by Section 409A(a)(1)(B) of the Code, or any state, local, or foreign taxes of a similar nature, or any interest charges or penalties with respect to such taxes.

(w) Termination Date. Except as otherwise provided in Subsection 3(b), Subsection 11(b), and Subsection 18(a) hereof, the term "Termination Date" means (i) if the Executive's Termination of Employment is by the Executive's death, the date of death; (ii) if the Executive's Termination of Employment is by reason of voluntary early retirement, as agreed in writing by the Employer and the Executive, the date of such early retirement which is set forth in such written agreement; (iii) if the Termination of Employment is by reason of disability pursuant to Section 13 hereof, the earlier of thirty days after the Notice of Termination is given or one day prior to the end of the Employment Period; (iv) if the Termination of Employment is by the Executive voluntarily (other than for Good Reason), the date the Notice of Termination is given; (v) if the Termination of Employment is by the Employer for Cause, the earlier of ten days after the Notice of Termination is given or one day prior to the end of the Employment Period; and (vi) if the Executive's Termination of Employment is by the Employer (other than for Cause or by reason of disability pursuant to Section 13 hereof) or by the Executive for Good Reason, the earlier of thirty days after the Notice of Termination is given or one day prior to the end of the Employment Period. Notwithstanding the foregoing,

(i) If termination is for Cause pursuant to Subsection 2(e)(iii) of this Agreement and if the Executive has cured the conduct constituting such Cause as described by the Employer in its Notice of Termination within such ten-day or shorter period, then the Executive's employment hereunder shall continue as if the Employer had not delivered its Notice of Termination; provided, however, the right of the Executive to cure such conduct shall apply only to the first Notice of Termination indicating that the termination is for Cause.

(ii) If the party receiving the Notice of Termination notifies the other party that a dispute exists concerning the termination within the appropriate period following receipt thereof and it is finally determined that the reason asserted in such Notice of Termination did not exist, then (i) if such Notice was delivered by the Executive, the Executive will be deemed to have voluntarily terminated his employment and the Termination Date shall be the earlier of the date fifteen days after the Notice of Termination is given or one day prior to the end of the Employment Period and (ii) if delivered by the Company, the Company will be deemed to have terminated the Executive other than by reason of death, disability or Cause.

(x) Termination of Employment. For purposes of this Agreement, the Executive's Termination of Employment shall be presumed to occur (A) when the Company and Executive reasonably anticipate that no further services will be performed by the Executive for the Company and its 409A Affiliates or that the level of bona fide services the Executive will perform as an employee of the Company and its 409A Affiliates will permanently decrease to no more than 20% of the average level of bona fide services performed by the Executive (whether as an employee or independent contractor) for the Company and its 409A Affiliates over the immediately preceding 36-month period (or such lesser period of services) or (B) when the Company determines in good faith based on the facts and circumstances in accordance with Code Section 409A, upon a decrease in services by the Executive to more than 20% of such average level of bona fide services but less than 50%, that a Termination of Employment has occurred. The Executive's Termination of Employment shall be presumed not to occur where the level of bona fide services performed by the Executive for the Company and its 409A Affiliates continues at a level that is 50% or more of the average level bona fide services performed by the Executive (whether as an employee or independent contractor) for the Company and its 409A Affiliates over the immediately preceding 36-month period (or such lesser period of service). No presumption applies to a decrease in services that is more than 20% of such average level of bona fide services but less than 50%, and in such event, whether the Executive has had a Termination of Employment will be determined in good faith by the Company based on the facts and circumstances in accordance with Code Section 409A. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if Executive takes a leave of absence for purposes of military leave, sick leave or other bona fide leave of absence, the Executive will not be deemed to have incurred a Termination of Employment for the first six months of the leave of absence, or if longer, for so long as the Executive's right to reemployment is provided either by statute or by contract, including this Agreement; *provided* that if the leave of absence is due to a medically determinable physical or mental impairment that can be expected to result in death or last for a continuous period of not less than six months, where such impairment causes the Executive to be unable to perform the duties of his or her position of employment or any substantially similar position of employment, the leave may be extended for up to twenty-nine months without causing a Termination of Employment. The foregoing notwithstanding, no Termination of Employment shall be deemed to have occurred under this Agreement unless there has been a "separation from service" as defined under Code Section 409A and Termination of Employment shall be construed to mean "separation from service" as so defined.

3. Termination or Cancellation Prior to Change in Control.

(a) Subject to Subsection 3(b) hereof, the Employer and the Executive shall each retain the right to terminate the employment of the Executive at any time prior to a Change in Control of the Company. Subject to Subsection 3(b) hereof, in the event the Executive's employment is terminated prior to a Change in Control of the Company, this Agreement shall be terminated and cancelled and of no further force and effect, and any and all rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall cease.

(b) Anything in this Agreement to the contrary notwithstanding, if a Change in Control of the Company occurs and if the Executive's employment with the Employer is terminated (other than a termination due to the Executive's death or as a result of the Executive's disability) during the period of 90 days prior to the date on which the Change in Control of the Company occurs, and if it is reasonably demonstrated by the Executive that such termination of employment (i) was at the request of a third party who has taken steps reasonably calculated to effect a Change in Control of the Company or (ii) was by the Executive for Good Reason or was by the Employer for other than Cause and otherwise arose in connection with or in anticipation of a Change in Control of the Company, then for all purposes of this Agreement such termination of employment shall be deemed a "Covered Termination," "Notice of Termination" shall be deemed to have been given, and the "Employment Period" shall be deemed to have begun on the date of such termination which shall be deemed to be the "Termination Date" and the date of the Change in Control of the Company for purposes of this Agreement.

4. Employment Period; Vesting of Certain Benefits. If a Change in Control of the Company occurs when the Executive is employed by the Employer, the Employer will continue thereafter to employ the Executive during the Employment Period, and the Executive will remain in the employ of the Employer in accordance with and subject to the terms and provisions of this Agreement. The foregoing notwithstanding, an Executive may terminate his or her employment for any or no reason during the Employment Period. Payments under this Agreement upon a voluntary termination of employment by the Executive other than for Good Reason shall be as provided in Section 8. Any Termination of Employment of the Executive during the Employment Period, whether by the Company or the Employer, shall be deemed a Termination of Employment by the Company for purposes of this Agreement.

5. Duties. During the Employment Period, the Executive (a) shall devote the Executive's best efforts and all of the Executive's business time, attention and skill to the business and affairs of the Employer and (b) shall be entitled to materially the same job function as held by the Executive at the time of the Change in Control of the Company or in such other job function or functions as shall be mutually agreed upon in writing by the Executive and the Employer from time to time. The services which are to be performed by the Executive hereunder are to be rendered in the same metropolitan area in which the Executive was employed at the date of such Change in Control of the Company, or in such other place or places as shall be mutually agreed upon in writing by the Executive and the Employer from time to time. Any travel incident to the Executive's job function shall not be deemed to result in a breach of the immediately preceding sentence by the Company.

6. Compensation. During the Employment Period, the Executive shall be compensated as follows:

(a) The Executive shall receive, at reasonable intervals (but not less often than monthly) and in accordance with such standard policies as may be in effect immediately prior to the Change in Control of the Company, an annual base salary in cash equivalent of not less than the Executive's highest annual base salary in effect at any time during the 90-day period immediately prior to the Change in Control of the Company, or if prior to the Change in Control of the Company, the Employer had approved an increase in such base salary to take effect after the Change in Control of the Company, at such higher rate beginning on the date on which such increase was to take effect (determined prior to any reduction for amounts deferred under Section 401(k) of the Code or otherwise, or deducted pursuant to a cafeteria plan or qualified transportation benefit under Sections 125 and 132(f) of the Code), subject to adjustment as hereinafter provided in Section 7 (such salary amount as adjusted upward from time to time is hereafter referred to as the "Annual Base Salary").

(b) The Executive shall be reimbursed, at such intervals and in accordance with such standard policies that were in effect at any time during the 90-day period immediately prior to the Change in Control of the Company, for any and all monies advanced in connection with the Executive's employment for reasonable and necessary expenses incurred by the Executive on behalf of the Employer, including travel expenses.

(c) The Executive and/or the Executive's family, as the case may be, shall be included, to the extent eligible thereunder (which eligibility shall not be conditioned on the Executive's salary grade or on any other requirement which excludes persons of comparable status to the Executive unless such exclusion was in effect for such plan or an equivalent plan at any time during the 90-day period immediately prior to the Change in Control of the Company), in any and all plans providing benefits for the Employer's salaried employees in general, including but not limited to group life insurance, hospitalization, medical, dental, pension, profit sharing and stock-based compensation.

(d) The Executive shall annually be entitled to not less than the amount of paid vacation and not fewer than the highest number of paid holidays to which the Executive was entitled annually at any time during the 90-day period immediately prior to the Change in Control of the Company.

(e) The Executive shall be included in all plans providing additional benefits to executives of the Employer of comparable status and position to the Executive, including but not limited to deferred compensation, supplemental retirement, stock-based compensation, and similar or comparable plans, and shall receive fringe benefits made available to executives of the Employer of comparable status and position to the Executive; *provided*, that the Employer's obligation to include the Executive in bonus or incentive compensation plans shall be determined by Subsection 6(g) hereof.

(f) The aggregate annual value of the benefits made available to the Executive pursuant to Subsections 6(c) and (e) shall not be less than 75% of the highest aggregate annual value of the benefits of the type referred to in such Subsections that were made available to the Executive at any time during the 90-day period immediately prior to the Change in Control of the Company, except that if executives based in the United States of Affiliated Companies whose status and position with such Companies are approximately comparable to the Executive do not generally receive stock options, restricted stock or other stock-based compensation, during any period in which the Executive does not receive such benefits, (i) the highest aggregate value of the benefits during such 90-day period shall be computed without regard the value of stock options, restricted stock or other stock-based compensation, and (ii) the percentage in the preceding portion of this sentence shall be increased to 100% from 75%. The term "Affiliated Companies" means companies that become Affiliates of the Employer as a result of the Change in Control of the Company.

(g) (i) To assure that the Executive will have an opportunity to earn annual incentive compensation after a Change in Control of the Company, the Executive shall be included in a bonus plan of the Employer which shall satisfy the standards described below (such plan, the "Post-Change Bonus Plan"). Bonuses under the Post-Change Bonus Plan shall be payable annually with respect to achieving such annual financial or other goals reasonably related to the business of the Employer (or, in the case of an Executive whose primary responsibility is sales of the products of the Employer or an Affiliate, to the extent so provided by the Employer or the Affiliate, reasonably related to such sales) as the Employer shall establish (the "Goals"), all of which Goals that are determinable under objective standards shall be attainable on an annual basis with approximately the same degree of probability as the comparable goals under the Employer's bonus plan or plans as in effect at any time during the 90-day period immediately prior to the Change in Control of the Company (whether one or more, the "Pre-Change Company Bonus Plan") and in view of the Employer's existing and projected financial and business circumstances applicable at the time. The determination of whether any of the Goals that are determinable under subjective standards has been achieved shall be made by the Compensation Committee. In the event a majority of the members of the Compensation Committee are not persons who were on the Compensation Committee or were officers of MGIC during the 90-day period prior to the date of the Change in Control of the Company or the highest ranking member of the Compensation Committee is not a person who was on the Compensation Committee during such 90-day period, none of the Goals shall be subjective.

(g) (ii) The maximum amount of the bonus (the "Bonus Amount") that the Executive is eligible to earn under the Post-Change Bonus Plan shall be no less than the product of the Executive's Annual Base Salary multiplied by a percentage that is at least 75% of the percentage that determined the Executive's maximum award provided in the Pre-Change Company Bonus Plan (such maximum bonus amount herein referred to as the "Targeted Bonus"), and in the event the Goals (other than any objective Goal) are not achieved such that the entire Targeted Bonus is not payable, the Bonus Plan shall provide for a payment of a Bonus Amount equal to a portion of the Targeted Bonus reasonably related to that portion of the Goals which were achieved. The Bonus Amount earned shall be paid within 75 days after the end of the related fiscal year; at the option of the Employer, up to one-third of the Bonus Amount may be paid in restricted stock if the class of stock of which such restricted stock is a part is publicly-traded in an active market in the United States and such stock becomes fully vested by continued employment for a period of not more than one year after the date on which the Bonus Amount is paid.

7. Annual Compensation Adjustments. During the Employment Period, the Compensation Committee will consider and appraise, annually, the contributions of the Executive to the Company, and in accordance with the Company's practice prior to the Change in Control of the Company, good faith consideration shall be given to the upward adjustment of the Executive's Annual Base Salary, annually.

8. Termination for Cause or Without Good Reason. If there is a Covered Termination for Cause or due to the Executive's voluntarily terminating his or her employment other than for Good Reason (any such terminations to be subject to the procedures set forth in Section 14 hereof), then the Executive shall be entitled to receive only Accrued Benefits pursuant to Subsection 10(a) hereof.

9. Termination Giving Rise to a Termination Payment.

(a) If there is a Covered Termination by the Executive for Good Reason, or by the Company other than by reason of (i) death, (ii) disability pursuant to Section 13 hereof, or (iii) Cause (any such terminations to be subject to the procedures set forth in Section 14 hereof), then the Executive shall be entitled to receive, and the Company shall promptly pay, Accrued Benefits and, in lieu of further Annual Base Salary for periods following the Termination Date, as liquidated damages and additional severance pay and in consideration of the covenant of the Executive set forth in Subsection 15(a) hereof, the Termination Payment pursuant to Subsection 10(b) hereof.

(b) If there is a Covered Termination and the Executive is entitled to Accrued Benefits and the Termination Payment, then the Company shall provide to the Executive the following additional benefits:

(i) The Executive shall receive until the end of the second calendar year following the calendar year in which the Executive's Termination of Employment occurs, at the expense of the Company, outplacement services, on an individualized basis at a level of service commensurate with the Executive's status with the Company immediately prior to the date of the Change in Control of the Company (or, if higher, immediately prior to the Executive's Termination of Employment), provided by a nationally recognized executive placement firm selected by the Company; provided that the cost to the Company of such services shall not exceed 10% of the Executive's Annual Base Salary.

(ii) Until the earlier of the end of the Employment Period or such time as the Executive has obtained new employment and is covered by benefits which in the aggregate are at least equal in value to the following benefits, the Executive shall continue to be covered, at the expense of the Company, by the same or equivalent life insurance, hospitalization, medical and dental coverage as was required hereunder with respect to the Executive immediately prior to the date the Notice of Termination is given, subject to the following:

(A) If applicable, following the end of the COBRA continuation period, if such hospitalization, medical or dental coverage is provided under a health plan that is subject to Section 105(h) of the Code, benefits payable under such health plan shall comply with the requirements of Treasury regulation section 1.409A-3(i)(1)(iv)(A) and (B) and, if necessary, the Company shall amend such health plan to comply therewith.

(B) During the first six months following the Executive's Termination Date, the Executive shall pay the Company for any life insurance coverage that provides a benefit in excess of \$50,000 under a group term life insurance policy. After the end of such six month period, the Company shall make a cash payment to the Executive equal to the aggregate premiums paid by the Executive for such coverage, and thereafter such coverage shall be provided at the expense of the Company for the remainder of the period.

If the Executive is entitled to the Termination Payment pursuant to Subsection 3(b), within ten days following the Change in Control of the Company, the Company shall reimburse the Executive for any COBRA premiums the Executive paid for his or her hospitalization, medical and dental coverage under COBRA from the Executive's Termination Date through the date of the Change in Control of the Company.

(iii) The Company shall cause the Executive to be fully and immediately vested in his or her accrued benefit under any supplemental executive retirement plan of the Employer providing benefits for the Executive (the "SERP") and in any restricted stock paid as part of the Executive's Bonus Amount as contemplated by Subsection 6(g)(ii).

(iv) If the Executive is not fully vested in all accrued benefits under any defined contribution retirement plan of the Employer, the Company shall make a lump sum payment to the Executive in an amount equal to the difference between the fully vested amount of the Executive's account balances under such plan at the Termination Date and the vested amount of such balances at such time.

(v) The Company shall reimburse the Executive for up to an aggregate of \$10,000 in (A) tax preparation assistance fees for the tax year in which the Termination Payment is made and (B) fees and expenses of consultants and/or legal or accounting advisors engaged by the Executive to advise the Executive as to matters relating to the computation of benefits due and payable under Subsection 10(b).

(vi) The Company shall cause all restrictions on Restricted Stock Awards held by the Executive upon the Termination Date to lapse such that the Executive is fully and immediately vested in his or her restricted stock or restricted stock units and shall cause all unexercised stock options held by the Executive upon the Termination Date to be fully vested and exercisable in full.

#### 10. Payments.

(a) Accrued Benefits. For purposes of this Agreement, the Executive's "Accrued Benefits" shall include the following amounts, payable as described herein: (i) all Annual Base Salary for the time period ending with the Termination Date; (ii) reimbursement for any and all monies advanced in connection with the Executive's employment for reasonable and necessary expenses incurred by the Executive on behalf of the Employer for the time period ending with the Termination Date; (iii) any and all other cash earned through the Termination Date and deferred at the election of the Executive or pursuant to any deferred compensation plan then in effect; (iv) a lump sum payment of the bonus or incentive compensation otherwise payable to the Executive with respect to the year in which termination occurs under all bonus or incentive compensation plan or plans in which the Executive is a participant, but subject to any deferral election then in effect; and (v) all other payments and benefits to which the Executive (or in the event of the Executive's death, the Executive's surviving spouse or other beneficiary) may be entitled as compensatory fringe benefits or under any benefit plan of the Employer, excluding severance payments under any Employer severance policy, practice or agreement in effect immediately prior to the Change in Control of the Company. Payment of Accrued Benefits shall be made promptly in accordance with the Company's prevailing practice with respect to Subsections 10(b) (i) and (ii) or, with respect to Subsections 10(b)(iii), (iv) and (v), pursuant to the terms of the benefit plan or practice establishing such benefits.

(b) Termination Payment and Other Payments.

(i) The Termination Payment shall be an amount equal to (A) the Executive's Annual Base Salary (as defined in Subsection 6(a) and determined as of the time of the Change in Control of the Company or, if higher, immediately prior to the date the Notice of Termination is given) plus (B) an amount equal to the greater of the Executive's Targeted Bonus for the year in which the Termination Date occurs or the bonus the Executive received (w) for the year in which the Change in Control of the Company occurred or (x) for the year prior to the year in which the Change in Control of the Company occurred (each year described in clauses (w) and (x) is herein referred to as a "Prior Year") plus (C) an amount equal to the "actuarial equivalent" (as defined in the Company's defined benefit pension plan on the determination date) of the Executive's benefit accruals under the pension plan and the SERP for, whichever is greater, the year in which the Termination Date occurs or a Prior Year plus an amount equal to the Company's matching contribution and profit sharing contribution under the Company's defined contribution profit sharing and savings plan for, whichever is greater, the year in which the Termination Date occurs or a Prior Year (the aggregate amount set forth in (A), (B) and (C) hereof shall hereafter be referred to as "Annual Cash Compensation"), times (D) the Multiplier; *provided, however, that* such amount shall not be less than the severance benefits to which the Executive would have been entitled under the Company's severance policies and practices in effect immediately prior to the Change in Control of the Company.

The Termination Payment shall be paid to the Executive in cash equivalent in two lump sums if any stock of the Company or any Affiliate is publicly traded on an established securities market or otherwise at the time of the Executive's Termination of Employment. The first lump sum shall be paid within ten (10) business days after the Executive's Termination Date and shall equal the amount that (aa) does not exceed the Termination Payment amount and (bb) is the lesser of two times (y) the Executive's annualized compensation based upon the annual rate of pay for services provided to the Company for the taxable year of the Executive preceding the taxable year in which the Executive has a Termination of Employment or (z) the maximum amount that may be taken into account under a qualified plan pursuant to Code Section 401(a)(17) for the year in which the Executive has a Termination of Employment. The second lump sum payment shall be equal to the difference between the amount calculated under the first sentence of this paragraph and the amount calculated under the second sentence of this paragraph, and such amount shall be paid six months and one day after the Executive's Termination Date. Each lump sum payment shall be deemed a separate payment for purposes of Code Section 409A. If the Company or any Affiliate has no publicly traded stock, as described above, at the Executive's Termination of Employment, then the entire Termination Payment shall be paid within ten (10) business days after the Executive's Termination Date. Any Termination Payment amount paid six months and one day after the Executive's Termination Date shall be accompanied by a payment of interest calculated at Prime, compounded quarterly. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event the Executive's Termination Date is pursuant to Subsection 3(b), the Termination Payment shall be paid within ten (10) business days after the date of the Change in Control of the Company (as defined without reference to Subsection 3(b)), without interest. The Termination Payment shall not be reduced by any present value or similar factor, and the Executive shall not be required to mitigate the amount of the Termination Payment by securing other employment or otherwise, nor will such Termination Payment be reduced by reason of the Executive securing other employment or for any other reason. The Termination Payment shall be in lieu of, and acceptance by the Executive of the Termination Payment shall constitute the Executive's release of any rights of Executive to, any other severance payments under any Company severance policy, practice or agreement.

(ii) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, if any portion of any payment or benefit under this Agreement, or under any other agreement with or plan of the Employer, including payments that may be deemed to have occurred on account of accelerated vesting of restricted stock, restricted stock units or stock options (in the aggregate "Total Payments"), would constitute an Excess Parachute Payment, and would, but for this Subsection 10(b)(ii), result in the imposition on the Executive of an excise tax under Code Section 4999 (the "Excise Tax"), in each case, as determined by National Tax Counsel as provided for in Subsection 10(b)(iii), then the Total Payments to be made to the Executive shall be adjusted as follows:

(A) If the Executive is 62 years old or older on the date of a Change in Control of the Company and/or the Effective Date of this Agreement is on or after October 23, 2014, then the Total Payments shall be reduced such that the value of the Total Payments that the Executive is entitled to receive shall be One Dollar (\$1) less than the maximum amount that the Executive may receive without becoming subject to the Excise Tax;

(B) If the Executive is less than 62 years old on the date of a Change in Control of the Company and the Effective Date of this Agreement is before October 23, 2014, then the Total Payments shall be reduced as provided in Subsection 10(b)(ii)(A) only if the after-tax value to the Executive if the Total Payments are so reduced is greater than the after-tax value to the Executive of the Total Payments if they are not so reduced;

in each case as determined by National Tax Counsel as provided for in Subsection 10(b)(iii).

For purposes of the calculations required pursuant to this Subsection 10(b), the Executive shall be deemed to pay federal income tax and employment taxes at the highest marginal rate of federal income and employment taxation (including, for the avoidance of doubt, the tax imposed by Code Section 4999 to the extent applicable) on the date on which the applicable payment is made and state and local income taxes at the highest marginal rate of taxation in the state and locality of the Executive's domicile for income tax purposes on the date on which the applicable payment is made, net of the maximum reduction in federal income taxes that may be obtained from the deduction of such state and local taxes.

(iii) Promptly following notice by the Company or the Executive to the other of its belief that there is a payment or benefit due the Executive which, if not reduced in accordance with Section 10(b)(ii)(A), will result in an Excess Parachute Payment, the Executive and the Company shall obtain the opinion (which need not be unqualified) of nationally recognized tax counsel ("National Tax Counsel") selected by the Company's independent auditors and reasonably acceptable to the Executive (which may be regular outside counsel to the Company), which opinion sets forth (A) the amount of the Base Period Income, (B) the amount and present value of Total Payments before any reduction, (C) the amount and present value of any Excess Parachute Payments, (D) the Excise Tax on any Excess Parachute Payment, (E) payments or benefits to be included in Total Payments, and (F) for Executives described in Subsection 10(b)(ii)(B), (1) the after-tax value of the Total Payments if the reduction in Total Payments contemplated under Subsection 10(b)(ii)(A) did not apply, and (2) the after-tax value of the Total Payments taking into account the reduction in Total Payments contemplated under Subsection 10(b)(ii)(A). As used in this Agreement, the term "Base Period Income" means an amount equal to the Executive's "annualized includible compensation for the base period" as defined in Section 280G(d)(1) of the Code. For purposes of the opinion of National Tax Counsel, the value of any noncash benefits or any deferred payment or benefit shall be determined by the Company's independent auditors in accordance with the principles of Section 280G(d)(3) and (4) of the Code (or any successor provisions), which determination shall be evidenced in a certificate of such auditors addressed to the Company and the Executive. The opinion of National Tax Counsel shall be addressed to the Company and the Executive and shall be binding upon the Company and the Executive.

Any reduction in Total Payments required by Section 10(b)(ii) shall apply to the Total Payments so that, under the bases of calculations set forth in such opinion, there will be no Excess Parachute Payment. In such event, payments or benefits included in the Total Payments shall be reduced or eliminated by applying the following principles, in order: (1) the payment or benefit with the higher ratio of the Parachute Payment value to present economic value (determined using reasonable actuarial assumptions) shall be reduced or eliminated before a payment or benefit with a lower ratio; (2) the payment or benefit with the later possible payment date shall be reduced or eliminated before a payment or benefit with an earlier payment date; and (3) cash payments shall be reduced prior to non-cash benefits; provided that if the foregoing order of reduction or elimination would violate Code Section 409A, then the reduction shall be made in whatever manner complies with Code Section 409A, as determined by National Tax Counsel. If such National Tax Counsel so requests in connection with the opinion required by this Subsection 10(b), the Executive and the Company shall obtain, at the Company's expense, and the National Tax Counsel may rely on, the advice of a firm of recognized executive compensation consultants as to the reasonableness of any item of compensation to be received by the Executive solely with respect to its status under Section 280G of the Code and the regulations thereunder.

(iv) Clauses (ii)-(v) of this Subsection 10(b) shall be amended to comply with any amendment or successor provision to Sections 280G or 4999 of the Code. If such provisions are repealed without successor, then clauses (ii)-(v) of this Subsection 10(b) shall be cancelled without further effect.

(v) The Company agrees to bear all costs associated with, and to indemnify and hold harmless, the National Tax Counsel of and from any and all claims, damages, and expenses resulting from or relating to its determinations pursuant to this Subsection 10(b), except for claims, damages or expenses resulting from the gross negligence or willful misconduct of such firm.

(vi) Intentionally omitted.

(vii) Executive agrees that this Agreement and any other agreements which may give rise to any Section 409A Tax may be amended by the Company on one or more occasions without the consent or approval of the Executive if in the determination of the Compensation Committee such amendment is necessary or appropriate to conform the provisions of such agreement to Treasury Regulation 1.409A-1 et seq. or any position published by the Internal Revenue Service with respect to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. The right of the Company to make such an amendment does not depend on whether the applicable agreement is subject to such Section but will enable the Company to have uniform provisions among all agreements having provisions such as the one being amended, including those under which compensation is subject to such Section. Any such amendment will become effective upon notice to the Executive. The Company will seek to give the Executive notice of an amendment with reasonable promptness after the Compensation Committee has approved the amendment.

11. Death.

(a) Except as provided in Subsection 11(b) hereof, in the event of a Covered Termination due to the Executive's death, the Executive's estate, heirs and beneficiaries shall receive all the Executive's Accrued Benefits through the Termination Date.

(b) In the event the Executive dies after a Notice of Termination is given (i) by the Company or (ii) by the Executive for Good Reason, the Executive's estate, heirs and beneficiaries shall be entitled to the benefits described in Subsection 11(a) hereof and, subject to the provisions of this Agreement, to such Termination Payment as the Executive would have been entitled to had the Executive lived, except that the Termination Payment shall be paid within 90 days following the date of the Executive's death, without interest thereon. For purposes of this Subsection 11(b), the Termination Date shall be the earlier of thirty days following the giving of the Notice of Termination, subject to extension pursuant to the definition of Termination of Employment, or one day prior to the end of the Employment Period.

12. Retirement. If, during the Employment Period, the Executive and the Employer shall execute an agreement providing for the early retirement of the Executive from the Employer, or the Executive shall otherwise give notice that he is voluntarily choosing to retire early from the Employer, the Executive shall receive Accrued Benefits through the Termination Date; *provided*, that if the Executive's employment is terminated by the Executive for Good Reason or by the Company other than by reason of death, disability or Cause and the Executive also, in connection with such termination, elects voluntary early retirement, the Executive shall also be entitled to receive a Termination Payment pursuant to Subsection 9(a) hereof.

13. Termination for Disability. If, during the Employment Period, as a result of the Executive's disability due to physical or mental illness or injury (regardless of whether such illness or injury is job-related), the Executive shall have been absent from the Executive's duties hereunder on a full-time basis for a period of six consecutive months and, within thirty days after the Company notifies the Executive in writing that it intends to terminate the Executive's employment (which notice shall not constitute the Notice of Termination contemplated below), the Executive shall not have returned to the performance of the Executive's duties hereunder on a full-time basis, the Company may terminate the Executive's employment for purposes of this Agreement pursuant to a Notice of Termination given in accordance with Section 14 hereof. If the Executive's employment is terminated on account of the Executive's disability in accordance with this Section, the Executive shall receive Accrued Benefits in accordance with Subsection 10(a) hereof and shall remain eligible for all benefits provided by any long term disability programs of the Company in effect at the time of such termination.

14. Termination Notice and Procedure. Any Covered Termination by the Company or the Executive (other than a termination of the Executive's employment that is a Covered Termination by virtue of Subsection 3(b) hereof) shall be communicated by a written notice of termination ("Notice of Termination") to the Executive, if such Notice is given by the Company, and to the Company, if such Notice is given by the Executive, all in accordance with the following procedures and those set forth in Section 25 hereof:

(a) If such termination is for disability, Cause or Good Reason, the Notice of Termination shall indicate in reasonable detail the facts and circumstances alleged to provide a basis for such termination.

(b) If the Notice is given by the Executive for Good Reason, the Executive may cease performing his duties hereunder on or after the date fifteen days after the delivery of Notice of Termination and shall in any event cease employment on the Termination Date. If the Notice is given by the Company, then the Executive may cease performing his duties hereunder on the date of receipt of the Notice of Termination, subject to the Executive's rights hereunder.

(c) To the extent provided by Subsection 2(w)(1), the Executive shall have ten days, or such longer period as the Company may determine to be appropriate, to cure any conduct or act, if curable, alleged to provide grounds for termination of the Executive's employment for Cause under this Agreement pursuant to Subsection 2(e)(iii) hereof.

(d) The recipient of any Notice of Termination shall personally deliver or mail in accordance with Section 25 hereof written notice of any dispute relating to such Notice of Termination to the party giving such Notice within fifteen days after receipt thereof; *provided, however*, that if the Executive's conduct or act alleged to provide grounds for termination by the Company for Cause is curable, then such period shall be thirty days. After the expiration of such period without a dispute, the contents of the Notice of Termination shall become final and not subject to dispute.

15. Further Obligations of the Executive.

(a) Competition. The Executive agrees that, in the event of any Covered Termination where the Executive is entitled to Accrued Benefits and the Termination Payment, the Executive shall not, for a period expiring twelve months after the Termination Date, without the prior written approval of the Company's Board of Directors, participate in the management of, be employed by or own any business enterprise at a location within the United States that engages in substantial competition with MGIC, where such enterprise's revenues from any such competitive activities amount to 10% or more of such enterprise's net revenues and sales for its most recently completed fiscal year; *provided, however*, that nothing in this Subsection 15(a) shall prohibit the Executive from owning stock or other securities of a competitor amounting to less than five percent of the outstanding capital stock of such competitor.

(b) Confidentiality. During and following the Executive's employment by the Company, the Executive shall hold in confidence and not directly or indirectly disclose or use or copy or make lists of any confidential information or proprietary data of the Company (including that of the Employer), except to the extent authorized in writing by the Board of Directors of the Company or required by any court or administrative agency, other than to an employee of the Company or a person to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary or appropriate in connection with the performance by the Executive of duties as an executive of the Company. Confidential information shall not include any information known generally to the public or any information of a type not otherwise considered confidential by persons engaged in the same business or a business similar to that of the Company. All records, files, documents and materials, or copies thereof, relating to the business of the Company which the Executive shall prepare, or use, or come into contact with, shall be and remain the sole property of the Company and shall be promptly returned to the Company upon termination of employment with the Company.

16. Expenses and Interest. If, after a Change in Control of the Company, (i) a dispute arises with respect to the enforcement of the Executive's rights under this Agreement, (ii) any arbitration proceeding shall be brought to enforce or interpret any provision contained herein or to recover damages for breach hereof, or (iii) any legal proceeding shall be brought with respect to the arbitration provisions hereof, in each case so long as, and to the extent that, the Executive prevails in such proceeding, the Executive shall recover from the Company the reasonable attorneys' fees and necessary costs and disbursements incurred as a result of the dispute, arbitration or legal proceeding as to which the Executive has prevailed ("Expenses"), and prejudgment interest on any arbitration award obtained by the Executive calculated at Prime from the date that payments to him or her should have been made under this Agreement. Within ten days after the Executive's written request therefore (but in no event later than the end of the calendar year following the calendar year in which such Expense is incurred), the Company shall reimburse the Executive, or such other person or entity as the Executive may designate in writing to the Company, the Expenses. Any dispute as to the reasonableness of the Expenses incurred, or the extent to which the Executive has prevailed, shall be resolved by the arbitrator.

17. Payment Obligations Absolute. The Company's obligation during and after the Employment Period to pay the Executive the amounts and to make the benefit and other arrangements provided herein shall be absolute and unconditional and shall not be affected by any circumstances, including, without limitation, any setoff, counterclaim, recoupment, defense or other right which the Company may have against him or anyone else. Except as provided in Subsection 10(b) and Section 16 of this Agreement, all amounts payable by the Company hereunder shall be paid without notice or demand. Each and every payment made hereunder by the Company shall be final, and the Company will not seek to recover all or any part of such payment from the Executive, or from whomsoever may be entitled thereto, for any reason whatsoever.

18. Successors.

(a) If the Company sells, assigns or transfers all or substantially all of its business and assets to any Person or if the Company merges into or consolidates or otherwise combines (where the Company does not survive such combination) with any Person (any such event, a "Sale of Business"), then the Company shall assign all of its right, title and interest in this Agreement as of the date of such event to such Person, and the Company shall cause such Person, by written agreement (an "Assumption Agreement"), to expressly assume and agree to perform from and after the date of such assignment all of the terms, conditions and provisions imposed by this Agreement upon the Company, and the Assumption Agreement shall be in form and substance reasonably satisfactory to the Executive (but if at the time of a Sale of Business, the chief executive officer of the Company or any officer of Company who is among the next four highest ranking officers of the Company has a Key Executive Employment and Severance Agreement, and any of such officers approves the Assumption Agreement, the Executive, if not one of such five officers, shall be deemed to have approved the Assumption Agreement). Failure of the Company to obtain an Assumption Agreement prior to the effective date of such Sale of Business shall be a breach of this Agreement constituting "Good Reason" hereunder, except that for purposes of implementing the foregoing the date upon which such Sale of Business becomes effective shall be deemed the Termination Date. In case of such assignment by the Company and of assumption and agreement by such Person, as used in this Agreement, "Company" shall thereafter mean such Person which executes and delivers the Assumption Agreement or which otherwise becomes bound by all the terms and provisions of this Agreement by operation of law, and this Agreement shall inure to the benefit of, and be enforceable by, such Person. The Executive shall, in his or her discretion, be entitled to proceed against any or all of such Persons, any Person which theretofore was such a successor to the Company and the Company (as so defined) in any action to enforce any rights of the Executive hereunder. Except as provided in this Subsection 18(a), this Agreement shall not be assignable by the Company. This Agreement shall not be terminated by the voluntary or involuntary dissolution of the Company.

(b) This Agreement and all rights of the Executive shall inure to the benefit of and be enforceable by the Executive's personal or legal representatives, executors, administrators, heirs and beneficiaries. All amounts payable to the Executive under Sections 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 16 hereof if the Executive had lived shall be paid, in the event of the Executive's death, to the Executive's estate, heirs and representatives; *provided, however*, that the foregoing shall not be construed to modify any terms of any benefit plan of the Employer, as such terms are in effect on the date of the Change in Control of the Company, that expressly govern benefits under such plan in the event of the Executive's death.

19. Severability. The provisions of this Agreement shall be regarded as divisible, and if any of said provisions or any part hereof are declared invalid or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the validity and enforceability of the remainder of such provisions or parts hereof and the applicability thereof shall not be affected thereby.

20. Contents of Agreement; Waiver of Rights; Amendment. This Agreement sets forth the entire understanding between the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof, and the Executive hereby waives all rights under any prior or other agreement or understanding between the parties with respect to such subject matter. Any implication to the contrary in the preceding sentence notwithstanding, this Agreement shall not affect the Executive's obligations under non-competition or confidentiality agreement with the Company, the Employer or MGIC. This Agreement may not be amended or modified at any time except by written instrument executed by the Company and the Executive, *provided, however*, the Company may, on one or more occasions without the approval of the Executive, amend this Agreement with the approval of the Board to the extent the Company determines such amendment is necessary to comply with the provisions of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 or any regulation or program issued or established thereunder. Any such amendment will become effective upon notice to the Executive. The Company will seek to give the Executive notice of an amendment with reasonable promptness after the Board has approved the amendment.

21. Withholding. The Company shall be entitled to withhold from amounts to be paid to the Executive hereunder any federal, state or local withholding or other taxes or charges which it is from time to time required to withhold; *provided* that the amount so withheld shall not exceed the minimum amount required to be withheld by law. In addition, if prior to the date of payment of the Termination Payment hereunder, the Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) tax imposed under Sections 3101, 3121(a) and 3121(v)(2), where applicable, becomes due with respect to any payment or benefit to be provided hereunder, the Company may provide for an immediate payment of the amount needed to pay the Executive's portion of such tax (plus an amount equal to the taxes that will be due on such amount) and the Executive's Termination Payment shall be reduced accordingly. The Company shall be entitled to rely on an opinion of the National Tax Counsel if any question as to the amount or requirement of any such withholding shall arise.

22. Additional Section 409A Provisions.

(a) If any payment amount or the value of any benefit under this Agreement is required to be included in an Executive's income prior to the date such amount is actually paid or the benefit provided as a result of the failure of this Agreement (or any other arrangement that is required to be aggregated with this Agreement under Code Section 409A) to comply with Code Section 409A, then the Executive shall receive a distribution, in a lump sum, within 90 days after the date it is finally determined that the Agreement (or such other arrangement that is required to be aggregated with this Agreement) fails to meet the requirements of Section 409A of the Code; such distribution shall equal the amount required to be included in the Executive's income as a result of such failure and shall reduce the amount of payments or benefits otherwise due hereunder.

(b) The Company and the Executive intend the terms of this Agreement to be in compliance with Section 409A of the Code. To the maximum extent permissible, any ambiguous terms of this Agreement shall be interpreted in a manner which avoids a violation of Section 409A of the Code and, subject to mutual written consent of the Executive and the Company, payments may be deferred hereunder to comply with Code Section 409A requirements.

(c) The Executive acknowledges that to avoid an additional tax on payments that may be payable or benefits that may be provided under this Agreement and that constitute deferred compensation that is not exempt from Section 409A of the Code, the Executive must make a reasonable, good faith effort to collect any payment or benefit to which the Executive believes the Executive is entitled hereunder no later than 90 days after the latest date upon which the payment could have been made or benefit provided under this Agreement, and if not paid or provided, must take further enforcement measures within one 180 days after such latest date.

23. Certain Rules of Construction. No party shall be considered as being responsible for the drafting of this Agreement for the purpose of applying any rule construing ambiguities against the drafter or otherwise. No draft of this Agreement shall be taken into account in construing this Agreement. Any provision of this Agreement which requires an agreement in writing shall be deemed to require that the writing in question be signed by the Executive and an authorized representative of the Company. This Agreement supersedes any prior Key Executive Employment and Severance Agreement between the Executive and the Company.

24. Governing Law; Resolution of Disputes. This Agreement and the rights and obligations hereunder shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Wisconsin applicable to contracts made therein between residents thereof. Any dispute arising out of this Agreement shall be determined by arbitration under the rules of the American Arbitration Association then in effect. The venue for the arbitration (and any legal action to enforce the foregoing obligation to arbitrate) shall be Milwaukee, Wisconsin or, if the Executive is not then residing or working in the Milwaukee, Wisconsin metropolitan area, in the judicial district encompassing the city in which the Executive resides; *provided*, that, if the Executive is not then residing in the United States, the election of the Executive with respect to such venue shall be either Milwaukee, Wisconsin or in the judicial district encompassing that city in the United States among the thirty cities having the largest population (as determined by the most recent United States Census data available at the Termination Date) which is closest to the Executive's residence. For purposes of any legal action to enforce the foregoing obligation to arbitrate, the parties consent to personal jurisdiction in each trial court in the selected venue having subject matter jurisdiction notwithstanding their residence or situs, and each party irrevocably consents to service of process in the manner provided hereunder for the giving of notices.

25. Notice. Notices given pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and, except as otherwise provided by Subsection 14(d) hereof, shall be deemed given when actually received by the Executive or actually received by the Company's Secretary or any officer of the Company other than the Executive. If mailed, such notices shall be mailed by United States registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, addressee only, postage prepaid, if to the Company, to MGIC Investment Corporation, Attention: Secretary (or President, if the Executive is the Secretary), 250 East Kilbourn Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, or if to the Executive, at the address set forth below the Executive's signature to this Agreement, or to such other address as the party to be notified shall have theretofore given to the other party in writing.

26. No Waiver. No waiver by either party at any time of any breach by the other party of, or compliance with, any condition or provision of this Agreement to be performed by the other party shall be deemed a waiver of similar or dissimilar provisions or conditions at the same time or any prior or subsequent time.

27. Headings. The headings herein contained are for reference only and shall not affect the meaning or interpretation of any provision of this Agreement.

**MGIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION  
DIRECT AND INDIRECT SUBSIDIARIES<sup>(1)</sup>**

1. MGIC Assurance Corporation
2. MGIC Australia Pty Limited<sup>(2)</sup>
3. MGIC Credit Assurance Corporation
4. MGIC Indemnity Corporation
5. MGIC Insurance Services Corporation
6. MGIC Investor Services Corporation
7. MGIC Mortgage and Consumer Asset I, LLC<sup>(3)</sup>
8. MGIC Mortgage and Consumer Asset II, LLC<sup>(3)</sup>
9. MGIC Mortgage Reinsurance Corporation
10. MGIC Mortgage Services, LLC
11. MGIC Reinsurance Corporation
12. MGIC Reinsurance Corporation of Vermont<sup>(4)</sup>
13. MGIC Reinsurance Corporation of Wisconsin
14. MGIC Residential Reinsurance Corporation
15. MIC Reinsurance Corporation of Wisconsin
16. MIC Reinsurance Corporation
17. MGICA Pty Limited<sup>(2)</sup>
18. Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation
19. eMagic.com LLC

The names of certain entities that would not in the aggregate be a significant subsidiary are omitted.

- (1) Except as otherwise noted in a footnote, all companies listed are 100% directly or indirectly owned by the registrant and all are incorporated in Wisconsin.
  - (2) Organized under Australian law.
  - (3) Organized under Delaware law.
  - (4) Organized under Vermont law.
-

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements listed below of MGIC Investment Corporation of our reports dated February 27, 2015 relating to the financial statements, financial statement schedules and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appear in this Form 10-K.

1. Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-101621)
2. Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-123777)
3. Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-177920)
4. Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-188537)

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin  
February 27, 2015

---

## CERTIFICATIONS

I, Curt S. Culver, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of MGIC Investment Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2015

/s/ Curt S. Culver

---

Curt S. Culver  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

---

## CERTIFICATIONS

I, Timothy J. Mattke, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of MGIC Investment Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2015

/s/ Timothy J. Mattke

---

Timothy J. Mattke  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

---

**SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATIONS**

The undersigned, Curt S. Culver, Chief Executive Officer of MGIC Investment Corporation (the "Company"), and Timothy J. Mattke, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S. C. Section 1350, that to our knowledge:

- (1) the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: February 27, 2015

/s/ Curt S. Culver  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Curt S. Culver  
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Timothy J. Mattke  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy J. Mattke  
Chief Financial Officer

---

**EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO**  
**CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE**

This EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE (“Amendment”) is made and is effective as of October 30, 2014, by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation (“MGIC”), Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (“CHL”) and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (“Servicer”). Capitalized terms used in this Amendment without definition have the meaning given them in the Settlement Agreement.

**RECITALS**

WHEREAS, MGIC, CHL, and Bank of America are Parties to a Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release, dated as of April 19, 2013 (as amended, the “Settlement Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, the Parties desire further to amend the Settlement Agreement in certain respects as specified in this Amendment.

NOW, THEREFORE, intending to be legally bound, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, including the promises and other matters contained herein, the Parties agree, pursuant to Section 19(g) of the Settlement Agreement, that the Settlement Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

1. Other Consents. The words “October 31, 2014” in Sections 5(a), 5(c)(i) and 5(c)(ii) are replaced with the words “November 30, 2014”.
  2. Settlement Agreement. The Parties hereby affirm all other terms, provisions, and conditions of the Settlement Agreement. All references in the Settlement Agreement to the Settlement Agreement shall mean the Settlement Agreement as amended by all Amendments.
  3. Governing Law. This Amendment and any Cause of Action arising under or related to this Amendment shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the internal laws of the State of New York without regard to the law of conflicts.
  4. Interpretation. This Amendment shall not be construed against any Party, but shall be construed as if the Parties jointly prepared the Amendment and any uncertainty and ambiguity shall not be interpreted against any one Party.
  5. Severability. If any provision of this Amendment is declared invalid or unenforceable, then, to the extent possible, all of the remaining provisions of this Amendment shall remain in full force and effect and shall be binding upon the Parties.
-

6. Representations and Warranties. Each of the Parties represents that: (1) it has full power and authority to execute and deliver this Amendment and to perform its obligations under the Amendment; (2) it has taken all necessary corporate action to authorize the execution and delivery of this Amendment and the performance of its duties and obligations contemplated hereby; (3) none of such execution, delivery, or performance of this Amendment and the transactions contemplated hereby: (A) conflicts with the obligations of such Party under any material agreement binding upon it; (B) requires any authorization, consent or approval by, or registration, declaration or filing with, or notice to, any governmental authority, agency or instrumentality, or any third party, except for (x) filing with the appropriate periodic report with the Securities and Exchange Commission and (y) any authorization, consent, approval, registration, declaration, filing, or notice that has been obtained or given prior to the date hereof; (C) results in, or requires, the creation or imposition of any lien or other charge upon or with respect to any of the assets now owned or hereafter acquired by a Party; and (4) this Amendment, upon execution and delivery, is a valid and binding agreement, enforceable against it in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, as amended by this Amendment, subject to applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, insurers' rehabilitation and liquidation, and other similar laws affecting creditor's rights generally and general principles of equity.
7. Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in counterparts, and when each Party has signed and delivered at least one such counterpart, each counterpart shall be deemed an original, and, when taken together with the other signed counterparts, shall constitute one agreement, which shall be binding upon and effective as to all Parties. Signatures of the Parties transmitted by fax or .pdf shall be deemed to be their original signatures for all purposes.

*[The next page is the signature page.]*

---

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Eighth Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release as of the date first stated above.

**MORTGAGE GUARANTY INSURANCE CORPORATION**

/s/ Jeffrey H. Lane

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name: Jeffrey H. Lane

Title: Executive Vice President and General Counsel

**COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.**

/s/ Michael Schloessmann

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name: Michael Schloessmann

Title: President

**BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.**, as Master Servicer or Servicer

/s/ John S. Cousins

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name: John S. Cousins

Title: Senior Vice President

---

**NINTH AMENDMENT TO**  
**CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE**

This NINTH AMENDMENT TO CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE (“Amendment”) is made and is effective as of November 30, 2014, by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation (“MGIC”), Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (“CHL”) and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (“Servicer”). Capitalized terms used in this Amendment without definition have the meaning given them in the Settlement Agreement.

**RECITALS**

WHEREAS, MGIC, CHL, and Bank of America are Parties to a Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release, dated as of April 19, 2013 (as amended, the “Settlement Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, the Parties desire further to amend the Settlement Agreement in certain respects as specified in this Amendment.

NOW, THEREFORE, intending to be legally bound, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, including the promises and other matters contained herein, the Parties agree, pursuant to Section 19(g) of the Settlement Agreement, that the Settlement Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

1. **Other Consents.** The words “November 30, 2014” in Sections 5(a), 5(c)(i) and 5(c)(ii) are replaced with the words “January 29, 2015”.
  2. **Settlement Agreement.** The Parties hereby affirm all other terms, provisions, and conditions of the Settlement Agreement. All references in the Settlement Agreement to the Settlement Agreement shall mean the Settlement Agreement as amended by all Amendments.
  3. **Governing Law.** This Amendment and any Cause of Action arising under or related to this Amendment shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the internal laws of the State of New York without regard to the law of conflicts.
  4. **Interpretation.** This Amendment shall not be construed against any Party, but shall be construed as if the Parties jointly prepared the Amendment and any uncertainty and ambiguity shall not be interpreted against any one Party.
  5. **Severability.** If any provision of this Amendment is declared invalid or unenforceable, then, to the extent possible, all of the remaining provisions of this Amendment shall remain in full force and effect and shall be binding upon the Parties.
-

6. Representations and Warranties. Each of the Parties represents that: (1) it has full power and authority to execute and deliver this Amendment and to perform its obligations under the Amendment; (2) it has taken all necessary corporate action to authorize the execution and delivery of this Amendment and the performance of its duties and obligations contemplated hereby; (3) none of such execution, delivery, or performance of this Amendment and the transactions contemplated hereby: (A) conflicts with the obligations of such Party under any material agreement binding upon it; (B) requires any authorization, consent or approval by, or registration, declaration or filing with, or notice to, any governmental authority, agency or instrumentality, or any third party, except for (x) filing with the appropriate periodic report with the Securities and Exchange Commission and (y) any authorization, consent, approval, registration, declaration, filing, or notice that has been obtained or given prior to the date hereof; (C) results in, or requires, the creation or imposition of any lien or other charge upon or with respect to any of the assets now owned or hereafter acquired by a Party; and (4) this Amendment, upon execution and delivery, is a valid and binding agreement, enforceable against it in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, as amended by this Amendment, subject to applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, insurers' rehabilitation and liquidation, and other similar laws affecting creditor's rights generally and general principles of equity.
7. Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in counterparts, and when each Party has signed and delivered at least one such counterpart, each counterpart shall be deemed an original, and, when taken together with the other signed counterparts, shall constitute one agreement, which shall be binding upon and effective as to all Parties. Signatures of the Parties transmitted by fax or .pdf shall be deemed to be their original signatures for all purposes.

*[The next page is the signature page.]*

---

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Ninth Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release as of the date first stated above.

**MORTGAGE GUARANTY INSURANCE CORPORATION**

/s/ Jeffrey H. Lane

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name: Jeffrey H. Lane

Title: Executive Vice President and General Counsel

**COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.**

/s/ Michael Schloessmann

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name: Michael Schloessmann

Title: President

**BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.**, as Master Servicer or Servicer

/s/ John Cousins

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name: John Cousins

Title: Senior Vice President

---

**TENTH AMENDMENT TO**  
**CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE**

This TENTH AMENDMENT TO CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE (“Amendment”) is made and is effective as of January 29, 2015, by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation (“MGIC”), Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (“CHL”) and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (“Servicer”). Capitalized terms used in this Amendment without definition have the meaning given them in the Settlement Agreement.

**RECITALS**

WHEREAS, MGIC, CHL, and Bank of America are Parties to a Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release, dated as of April 19, 2013 (as amended, the “Settlement Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, the Parties desire further to amend the Settlement Agreement in certain respects as specified in this Amendment.

NOW, THEREFORE, intending to be legally bound, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, including the promises and other matters contained herein, the Parties agree, pursuant to Section 19(g) of the Settlement Agreement, that the Settlement Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

1. **Other Consents.** The words “January 29, 2015” in Sections 5(a), 5(c)(i) and 5(c)(ii) are replaced with the words “February 6, 2015”.
  2. **Settlement Agreement.** The Parties hereby affirm all other terms, provisions, and conditions of the Settlement Agreement. All references in the Settlement Agreement to the Settlement Agreement shall mean the Settlement Agreement as amended by all Amendments.
  3. **Governing Law.** This Amendment and any Cause of Action arising under or related to this Amendment shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the internal laws of the State of New York without regard to the law of conflicts.
  4. **Interpretation.** This Amendment shall not be construed against any Party, but shall be construed as if the Parties jointly prepared the Amendment and any uncertainty and ambiguity shall not be interpreted against any one Party.
  5. **Severability.** If any provision of this Amendment is declared invalid or unenforceable, then, to the extent possible, all of the remaining provisions of this Amendment shall remain in full force and effect and shall be binding upon the Parties.
-

6. Representations and Warranties. Each of the Parties represents that: (1) it has full power and authority to execute and deliver this Amendment and to perform its obligations under the Amendment; (2) it has taken all necessary corporate action to authorize the execution and delivery of this Amendment and the performance of its duties and obligations contemplated hereby; (3) none of such execution, delivery, or performance of this Amendment and the transactions contemplated hereby: (A) conflicts with the obligations of such Party under any material agreement binding upon it; (B) requires any authorization, consent or approval by, or registration, declaration or filing with, or notice to, any governmental authority, agency or instrumentality, or any third party, except for (x) filing with the appropriate periodic report with the Securities and Exchange Commission and (y) any authorization, consent, approval, registration, declaration, filing, or notice that has been obtained or given prior to the date hereof; (C) results in, or requires, the creation or imposition of any lien or other charge upon or with respect to any of the assets now owned or hereafter acquired by a Party; and (4) this Amendment, upon execution and delivery, is a valid and binding agreement, enforceable against it in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, as amended by this Amendment, subject to applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, insurers' rehabilitation and liquidation, and other similar laws affecting creditor's rights generally and general principles of equity.
  
7. Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in counterparts, and when each Party has signed and delivered at least one such counterpart, each counterpart shall be deemed an original, and, when taken together with the other signed counterparts, shall constitute one agreement, which shall be binding upon and effective as to all Parties. Signatures of the Parties transmitted by fax or .pdf shall be deemed to be their original signatures for all purposes.

*[The next page is the signature page.]*

---

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Tenth Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release as of the date first stated above.

**MORTGAGE GUARANTY INSURANCE  
CORPORATION**

/s/ Jeffrey H. Lane

Name: Jeffrey H. Lane

Title: Executive Vice President  
and General Counsel

**COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.**

/s/ Michael Schloessmann

Name: Michael Schloessmann

Title: President

**BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,  
as Master Servicer or Servicer**

/s/ John Cousins

Name: John Cousins

Title: Senior Vice President

---

**ELEVENTH AMENDMENT TO**  
**CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE**

This ELEVENTH AMENDMENT TO CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE (“Amendment”) is made and is effective as of February 6, 2015, by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation (“MGIC”), Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (“CHL”) and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (“Servicer”). Capitalized terms used in this Amendment without definition have the meaning given them in the Settlement Agreement.

**RECITALS**

WHEREAS, MGIC, CHL, and Bank of America are Parties to a Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release, dated as of April 19, 2013 (as amended, the “Settlement Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, the Parties desire further to amend the Settlement Agreement in certain respects as specified in this Amendment.

NOW, THEREFORE, intending to be legally bound, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, including the promises and other matters contained herein, the Parties agree, pursuant to Section 19(g) of the Settlement Agreement, that the Settlement Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

1. Other Consents. The words “February 6, 2015” in Sections 5(a), 5(c)(i) and 5(c)(ii) are replaced with the words “February 13, 2015”.
  2. Settlement Agreement. The Parties hereby affirm all other terms, provisions, and conditions of the Settlement Agreement. All references in the Settlement Agreement to the Settlement Agreement shall mean the Settlement Agreement as amended by all Amendments.
  3. Governing Law. This Amendment and any Cause of Action arising under or related to this Amendment shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the internal laws of the State of New York without regard to the law of conflicts.
  4. Interpretation. This Amendment shall not be construed against any Party, but shall be construed as if the Parties jointly prepared the Amendment and any uncertainty and ambiguity shall not be interpreted against any one Party.
  5. Severability. If any provision of this Amendment is declared invalid or unenforceable, then, to the extent possible, all of the remaining provisions of this Amendment shall remain in full force and effect and shall be binding upon the Parties.
-

6. Representations and Warranties. Each of the Parties represents that: (1) it has full power and authority to execute and deliver this Amendment and to perform its obligations under the Amendment; (2) it has taken all necessary corporate action to authorize the execution and delivery of this Amendment and the performance of its duties and obligations contemplated hereby; (3) none of such execution, delivery, or performance of this Amendment and the transactions contemplated hereby: (A) conflicts with the obligations of such Party under any material agreement binding upon it; (B) requires any authorization, consent or approval by, or registration, declaration or filing with, or notice to, any governmental authority, agency or instrumentality, or any third party, except for (x) filing with the appropriate periodic report with the Securities and Exchange Commission and (y) any authorization, consent, approval, registration, declaration, filing, or notice that has been obtained or given prior to the date hereof; (C) results in, or requires, the creation or imposition of any lien or other charge upon or with respect to any of the assets now owned or hereafter acquired by a Party; and (4) this Amendment, upon execution and delivery, is a valid and binding agreement, enforceable against it in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, as amended by this Amendment, subject to applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, insurers' rehabilitation and liquidation, and other similar laws affecting creditor's rights generally and general principles of equity.
7. Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in counterparts, and when each Party has signed and delivered at least one such counterpart, each counterpart shall be deemed an original, and, when taken together with the other signed counterparts, shall constitute one agreement, which shall be binding upon and effective as to all Parties. Signatures of the Parties transmitted by fax or .pdf shall be deemed to be their original signatures for all purposes.

*[The next page is the signature page.]*

---

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Eleventh Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release as of the date first stated above.

**MORTGAGE GUARANTY INSURANCE CORPORATION**

/s/ Jeffrey H. Lane

Name: Jeffrey H. Lane

Title: Executive Vice President  
and General Counsel

**COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.**

/s/ Michael Schloessmann

Name: Michael Schloessmann

Title: President

**BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,**  
as Master Servicer or Servicer

/s/ John Cousins

Name: John Cousins

Title: Senior Vice President

---

**TWELFTH AMENDMENT TO**  
**CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE**

This TWELFTH AMENDMENT TO CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE (“Amendment”) is made and is effective as of February 13, 2015, by and among Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation (“MGIC”), Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (“CHL”) and Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as master servicer or servicer of Subject Loans (“Servicer”). Capitalized terms used in this Amendment without definition have the meaning given them in the Settlement Agreement.

**RECITALS**

WHEREAS, MGIC, CHL, and Bank of America are Parties to a Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release, dated as of April 19, 2013 (as amended, the “Settlement Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, the Parties desire further to amend the Settlement Agreement in certain respects as specified in this Amendment.

NOW, THEREFORE, intending to be legally bound, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, including the promises and other matters contained herein, the Parties agree, pursuant to Section 19(g) of the Settlement Agreement, that the Settlement Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

1. Other Consents. The words “February 13, 2015” in Sections 5(a), 5(c)(i) and 5(c)(ii) are replaced with the words “February 20, 2015”.
  2. Settlement Agreement. The Parties hereby affirm all other terms, provisions, and conditions of the Settlement Agreement. All references in the Settlement Agreement to the Settlement Agreement shall mean the Settlement Agreement as amended by all Amendments.
  3. Governing Law. This Amendment and any Cause of Action arising under or related to this Amendment shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the internal laws of the State of New York without regard to the law of conflicts.
  4. Interpretation. This Amendment shall not be construed against any Party, but shall be construed as if the Parties jointly prepared the Amendment and any uncertainty and ambiguity shall not be interpreted against any one Party.
  5. Severability. If any provision of this Amendment is declared invalid or unenforceable, then, to the extent possible, all of the remaining provisions of this Amendment shall remain in full force and effect and shall be binding upon the Parties.
-

6. Representations and Warranties. Each of the Parties represents that: (1) it has full power and authority to execute and deliver this Amendment and to perform its obligations under the Amendment; (2) it has taken all necessary corporate action to authorize the execution and delivery of this Amendment and the performance of its duties and obligations contemplated hereby; (3) none of such execution, delivery, or performance of this Amendment and the transactions contemplated hereby: (A) conflicts with the obligations of such Party under any material agreement binding upon it; (B) requires any authorization, consent or approval by, or registration, declaration or filing with, or notice to, any governmental authority, agency or instrumentality, or any third party, except for (x) filing with the appropriate periodic report with the Securities and Exchange Commission and (y) any authorization, consent, approval, registration, declaration, filing, or notice that has been obtained or given prior to the date hereof; (C) results in, or requires, the creation or imposition of any lien or other charge upon or with respect to any of the assets now owned or hereafter acquired by a Party; and (4) this Amendment, upon execution and delivery, is a valid and binding agreement, enforceable against it in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, as amended by this Amendment, subject to applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, insurers' rehabilitation and liquidation, and other similar laws affecting creditor's rights generally and general principles of equity.
7. Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in counterparts, and when each Party has signed and delivered at least one such counterpart, each counterpart shall be deemed an original, and, when taken together with the other signed counterparts, shall constitute one agreement, which shall be binding upon and effective as to all Parties. Signatures of the Parties transmitted by fax or .pdf shall be deemed to be their original signatures for all purposes.

*[The next page is the signature page.]*

---

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Twelfth Amendment to Confidential Settlement Agreement and Release as of the date first stated above.

**MORTGAGE GUARANTY INSURANCE CORPORATION**

/s/ Jeffrey H. Lane

Name: Jeffrey H. Lane

Title: Executive Vice President  
and General Counsel

**COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.**

/s/ Michael Schloessmann

Name: Michael Schloessmann

Title: President

**BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,**  
as Master Servicer or Servicer

/s/ John Cousins

Name: John Cousins

Title: Senior Vice President

---